			_
1 2 3		INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING September 13, 2018 7:30 p.m.	
4		VILLAGE HALL	
5		1492 Laurel Hollow Road Syosset, New York 11791-9603	
6			
7	PRESENT:	SCOTT ABRAMS, Member	
8		ELIZABETH DiBLASIO, Member	
9		JAMES GALTIERI, Member	
10			
11			
12	ALSO PRESE	NT:	
13		HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	P6-2	2018 & T21-2018, Golden Homes Property, Inc., 16 Waylor Lane	
19		To way! Of Lane	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		RONALD KOENIG	
25		OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	

MR. AVRUTINE: Public hearing on the
application of Golden Homes Property, Inc., Case No.
P6-2018 and T21-2018, seeking permission to remove trees
in connection with property at 16 Waylor Lane. The
proposal calls for approval to remove 41 trees in
connection with a building permit for a proposed new
swimming pool.

The parcel of land is known as Section 25, Block 48, Lot 13 on the Land and Tax Map of Nassau County.

The exhibits in connection with this hearing are as follows:

First, an affidavit of posting from Elizabeth Kaye that the legal notice was posted on the front bulletin board in front of Village Hall on August 10, 2018.

The next exhibit is a copy of legal notice and affidavit of publication that the legal notice was published in the North Shore Leader on August 15, 2018.

And the next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was published to the website on August 10, 2018.

The next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was sent to Village NEWS subscribers on September 7, 2018.

And the last exhibit is an affidavit of
mailing from the applicant indicating that the notice of
public hearing was mailed on August 31, 2018 to the
individuals set forth in the affidavit.

Do we have a representative on behalf of the applicant?

MR. REILLY: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: Your name and address for the record.

MR. REILLY: Vincent M. Reilly, 5 Godfrey Lane, Huntington, New York.

MR. AVRUTINE: Good evening.

MR. REILLY: Good evening.

MR. AVRUTINE: You may proceed.

MR. REILLY: Thank you.

Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Tonight I will be speaking for Golden Homes, their project at 16 Waylor Lane, concerning the tree removal and subsequent landscape to replace that.

I just want to, first, I have to draw everything like one inch is equal to ten feet so you can actually differentiate between the plants and everything. So it made for an awkward drawing being it's a narrow property that really goes back far. But enough said about that.

The tree removal -- I'll just overlay that quickly here. As you can see, these are the same scale. The tree removal, nothing goes on beyond this limit. Same line right there. So a really nice feature of what we are doing here is that this entire area which is slightly over half of the property, slightly over one acre, is going to be left completely untouched. It'll be just natural woodland.

And this area back here, all back in here, connects with several neighbors' houses that have the same thing. It goes all the way out to Cold Spring Road is the next property. So it's going to contribute to a very large greenbelt in here and make a great place for the animals, the native plants and animals. So they're really not developing much of the land that hasn't already been developed from the previous house site.

The trees that we're looking to take out, they're basically -- everything on this property has been neglected for at least 15 years, maybe 20. So really all of the plants that we're talking about taking out were completely vine covered. And the only growth -- when they get covered in vines, it kills all the lower branches. The only thing alive on almost all of these plants is the top 10, 20 percent of these trees, which does absolutely nothing for privacy

screening from the neighbors, from the road. There's nothing to these plants.

In addition, six of the plants are Norway maples which are now considered an invasive species from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. The majority of the remainder of these trees, especially here, here and a couple in here, they are all eastern red cedar.

Eastern red cedar is more or less a weed plant. That's what happened. These were weeds that no one ever pulled out and they developed into these trees. They have little to no landscape value. They're never going to look nice. They're kind of something that would grow in the middle of a field. So we're really not taking out anything of any landscape value in this. The others is a couple of cherries, they have black knot on them. There is a couple of Norway spruces that are also in poor shape.

I met with the arborist, Ms. Bibla, there. We reviewed every tree on the application, and she came to a conclusion that the request was reasonable and recommended the permit be granted as is. You have copies of her report. I'm sure you've read it.

The replacement trees that we are going to be putting in -- we're taking out 11 deciduous trees.

we're going to put in 11 deciduous trees, all 8-to-10-foot trees, all more appropriate trees, replacing the aforementioned maple trees, which are again invasive species, with more natural plants that are recommended for the area, some dogwood, some other cherry trees. The remainder of the plants are all evergreen trees. There is 29 of them altogether. About half of them are fast-growing evergreen trees that will lead to getting our privacy screening built up quicker. So there's 29 of them, all 8-to-10 footers, very large big trees.

Then there's an additional about 145 plants from 21 inches to 5 feet. There's 95 perennials. There's annual beds. Obviously we will have grass through here. It really represents a net increase in plant life on the property as it is compared to now. And in years to come, that will only be magnified as everything grows in.

As I said, along here which that's where most of the trees that we're looking to take out, here, a little in here, here, and here, they are all the trees that are just the tops are alive on them. We're replacing them with all evergreen plants, and that will give us all the screening that is kind of not there now because of the way those trees are growing.

Also, I put in a large bed along the road so that we're not going to have grass down to the road.

We'll have a nice, thick bed. It kind of is higher on this side and this side, kind of like picture frame the house a little bit. It will be very nice.

I went and I spoke to the neighbors on the project. The two neighbors that are the most affected are the Diefendorfs to the west here and across the court right here, the Maccarones. Both of them were greatly in favor of the project as it's going along and also to get the landscape done as proposed. They looked at it. They are very happy with it. They want to get everything done and back to, you know, just having the neighborhood with no construction going on in there again.

The one neighbor -- over here to the east, there's another neighbor. But this is just heavy woodland in here. You cannot see one house from the other. And I added down here where his driveway is, that's the only part where there is any privacy issue at all between the houses. I stopped at his house maybe three times. I just couldn't get him to try to get a letter from him to get the approval on it, but I'm sure he's been made aware of it through the mailings. He's not here tonight, so I hope he likes it.

And normally, a lot of times when I'm doing these, I'll have a request from the arborist or a request from one of the neighbors or a request from you folks on the Board, and I do whatever I can to satisfy those things, but I've had no requests from anyone to change from what we've done here, unless you have some tonight.

The property was long abandoned and it was a horrible eyesore. Two years ago, I was here working on a different job, and the gutters, the shutters, even the facias boards were falling off. I'd have to imagine raccoons and squirrels were living in there. So the building of this house is not only an improvement to the neighborhood, you are getting rid of basically a safety hazard that was there one time. And when I spoke to Mr. Diefendorf, he told me that years ago there was a half a dozen junk cars and a junk boat in the woods. So to improve upon that property is not a hard bar to pass.

That's basically it. I think it's well thought out, and I hope to get your approval. If you have any questions on anything, I'd be happy to answer.

MEMBER GALTIERI: My only concern were the neighbors. And if they are in shape, the property is narrow, and the frontage, a lot of houses in that area go right to the road as well, so it's not quite as

heavily as wooded as down here, but if the neighbors are good, I mean I see no problem.

MR. REILLY: They were enthusiastic, to tell you the truth. They loved getting rid of what was here.

MEMBER ABRAMS: Is there another set of plans that show the tree removals with respect to the current placement of the house?

I can't tell from these plans. This is the trees that are coming out with the outline of the old home, not the new home. So I don't know how that placement is. I mean, are we just taking out trees because we don't like the way they look and they're not healthy?

MR. REILLY: Basically, yes and no. They are unsightly. They are unhealthy and they are not doing the effect that we're trying to do by screening out on Mr. Diefendorf's side by making a screen from the road. I mean, it's basically a question of replacing some diseased, damaged trees with trees that are going to grow up to be really nice looking plants.

MEMBER ABRAMS: So the application says, this is installation of new landscape, lawns and pool. It's my understanding there is no pool permit that's been submitted.

MR. REILLY: I would have to ask Dary on that.

1

MR. SHOOSTARY: Not at the moment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. AVRUTINE: Can you give your name and address for the record.

MR. SHOOSTARY: Dary Shoostary, Golden Homes, I represent, 70 Hofstra Drive, Plainview, New York.

we didn't file for a pool permit yet, only because I wanted to make sure that this was going to pass. And if this did pass, then we will file for the pool permit. That's going to happen.

MR. REILLY: One thing that I'd like to add to that is that our intentions at this point were basically do the landscape kind of like across like that. This would be left out obviously because of the construction of the pool.

So the neighbors definitely want to get this done as soon as possible. So we had to discussed it. We have gotten estimates on the tree removal and also the landscape installation. It is our hope to have the trees removed maybe within two weeks after we get the finalization of the permit and to get the landscape put in prior to winter for this part, not the pool part, which is really about 80 percent of the landscape.

How do the rest of the members MEMBER ABRAMS: feel here that we've got an application that's referring to a pool that's, I guess, it's on the landscape plan,

but --

MR. AVRUTINE: If I can make a suggestion. If the Board were inclined to approve the application, it can be conditioned on filing an application to construct a pool. In other words, if the applicant is representing this evening that the purpose of the tree removal is to accommodate installation of a swimming pool, then it would not be inappropriate for the Board to issue a condition stating that the permit is conditioned upon the obtaining an approval for a permit for a swimming pool.

MR. REILLY: The trees involved in the pool really are limited to this group here and pretty much this group here.

MR. SHOOSTARY: It's just really a handful of trees.

MR. REILLY: It's probably somewhere in the area I'd say eight or nine trees that are really involved in the pool area. But I mean, you've been to the property, there's not a nice tree among them, you know, you really want to save. So --

MEMBER GALTIERI: So making it conditional upon the permit makes sense to me.

MR. AVRUTINE: If that's what the Board was inclined to do.

1	MEMBER ABRAMS: If we wanted to do that. If
2	we feel like the trees that are in question we would be
3	okay with them coming out regardless of whether the pool
4	is put in or not, I guess we would be able
5	MEMBER GALTIERI: I think your point is sound.
6	This is a permit for a pool. I mean this is an
7	application for tree removal for a pool.
8	MR. AVRUTINE: That's what the application
9	states.
10	MEMBER ABRAMS: It has multiple things listed
11	here, landscape, lawn and pool.
12	MEMBER GALTIERI: I think our approval has got
13	to have some reference to the pool issue, I would think.
14	MEMBER ABRAMS: I would think so.
15	MR. AVRUTINE: That's certainly something that
16	the Board can consider.
17	Would the applicant have any objection to
18	that?
19	MR. SHOOSTARY: I don't have any objection
20	because I am going to put in for a pool permit. But I
21	honestly don't feel that that's fair because we are
22	talking about 40 trees here that we want to take out,
23	and we're talking about maybe five trees that are in the
24	way of the pool. So to say what you guys are saying is
25	honestly, I feel, not fair. I will put in for a pool

1	permit. I will. It's a hundred percent. But honestly,
2	I don't feel this to be fair.
3	MR. REILLY: Aren't you saying that you're
4	going to issue a permit that we will be able to go ahead
5	with the trees on condition of him filing for the pool
6	permit?
7	MR. AVRUTINE: That's what we were talking
8	about.
9	MR. SHOOSTARY: Okay. That's fine. I'm just
LO	stating how I take this, how I interpret it. So if I
L1	don't put in for a pool permit, none of this goes
L2	through. That's what we are saying, correct?
L3	MR. AVRUTINE: That would be the case. If
L4	there is no application
L5	MR. SHOOSTARY: Ninety percent of these trees
L6	have nothing to do with a pool, and they are dead. I'm
L7	just letting you know that.
L8	MR. AVRUTINE: Understood. However, the
L9	application that you submitted
20	MR. SHOOSTARY: Okay.
21	MR. AVRUTINE: states it.
22	MR. SHOOSTARY: That's fine.
23	MR. AVRUTINE: It's not the Board.
24	MR. SHOOSTARY: I understand. Then we should
25	have, I guess, put in the application a little

1	differently. But that's fine. I'm going to put in for
2	a pool permit. That's no problem.
3	MR. REILLY: It's really that we went out of
4	the way to kind of give full disclosure that we're
5	having a problem with the pool. If I wiped that off and
6	just had that as lawn
7	MEMBER ABRAMS: But we also have a landscape
8	plan that shows a pool and a cabana.
9	MR. SHOOSTARY: Right. That is in the plans.
10	MEMBER GALTIERI: It's in the application, and
11	that's what Howard is saying.
12	MR. SHOOSTARY: That's fine. I'm okay.
13	MEMBER GALTIERI: If it wasn't in the
14	application, it wouldn't be a comment.
15	MR. SHOOSTARY: That's fine. I have no
16	problem.
17	MR. AVRUTINE: Any other questions from the
18	Board?
19	Anybody in the audience?
20	MR. McCARTHY: My name is Phillip McCarthy. I
21	live at 8 Waylor Lane. I live next door to
22	Mr. Diefendorf.
23	I just have a first of all, a general
24	concern is that a developer is coming into Laurel Hollow
25	and clear-cutting the entire areas just to put in lawns.

They feel that's better than trees. Personally, I think why Laurel Hollow is beautiful is because of the trees, not because of houses or the cabana houses. If you get rid of all the trees and you just see the cabana houses, not so pretty. But if you got rid of all the houses and just saw the trees, it would be nice still.

I had an experience over the last five years at 4 Waylor Lane which they did that, they clear-cut the entire area and a cabana house was put very close to me. The pool filter was put very close to me making a lot of noise. A huge house. Instead of seeing trees from my property, I see buildings.

And then Mr. Diefendorf decided to put in a soccer field and clear-cut his entire backyard because he was somehow inspired by the 4 Waylor Lane because they would visit there every weekend and look out on the grass, and they did the same thing. And saying that they would plant trees, it's always that we will plant X amount of trees, and I don't see that he planted any trees at all. I don't know of any trees that he planted.

I don't know who follows up with that when they say, okay, we're going to take out, you know, 80-year-old trees that are 50 feet high, 80 feet high and we'll put in 10-foot trees to replace that, whether

that's followed up at all, because those trees are gone now and all I see is grass. So a concern here, you know, I was never told about this as far as you never contacted me.

MR. SHOOSTARY: I didn't have to.

MR. McCARTHY: I'm not right next to --

MR. AVRUTINE: You can't -- folks, stop, please. This is a public hearing. This isn't a conversation in someone's living room. When one person is speaking -- you have a court reporter, he has to take down what's going on. So when you are done, you will get an opportunity if you want to say anything further. I want to make sure we have a record, a public record that we're making with the transcript. If people speak over each other, it becomes very, very difficult to read it.

Sir, please finish what you were saying.

MR. McCARTHY: You know, my concern with this project -- well, first of all, I was a little confused hearing, because as far as I understood they were cutting down 41 trees for a pool. So now we're getting a little different story. I don't know if that was the story that you had all along or it was a miscommunication between the residents. It sounds like there is five trees, you said, for the pool, and all the

1 other trees are for this entire area.

Now 41 trees are a lot of trees. I know some of them are close to each other, but these trees are 50, 70, 80-year-old trees. They are eastern red cedars which some of them are nice. I know you mentioned the Norways that are invasive, but some of them are beautiful to cut them down just because they are invasive too.

I just hate to see so many trees cut down. You know, it's just kind of a heartbreak. I know there is a lot of seedy looking trees in the front. I know that would be nice to clear that up. But so many trees to clearcut just for grass? What I'm going to see instead of trees now from my backyard, and I see because of the elevation difference here, that house is high on a hill. From my backyard I see the house pretty well exposed because there's been a number of trees already taken down at the border between Diefendorf's property, 12, and their property, No. 16. Already a lot of trees taken down there completely exposing the house and not having nice trees to look at, now you want to cut down more trees and put a cabana house. I'm tired of seeing houses and cabana houses. I like to see trees.

My statement, please don't cut down so many trees.

RK

1	MR. REILLY: If I can answer that?
2	MR. AVRUTINE: Of course you can.
3	MR. REILLY: As I stated in the beginning,
4	over half of the property is going to be untouched.
5	It's going to remain complete woodland in the back. So,
6	you are not going to find that many houses in Laurel
7	Hollow that's done that much to keep a natural look.
8	On top of that, maybe from your house you are
9	seeing the very tops of these cedar trees which I said
10	that 10, 20 percent of it is a decent tree, but below
11	that it's sticks. And to get a proper screening across
12	Mr. Diefendorf's property, we are going to put in plants
13	that will grow up and they will be much nicer, much
14	better suited to the property.
15	MR. McCARTHY: Can I add
16	MR. AVRUTINE: No, we really can't.
17	MR. McCARTHY: Just a little bit?
18	MR. AVRUTINE: I have a question. How many
19	trees are you putting in, new trees?
20	MR. REILLY: A total of 40.
21	MR. AVRUTINE: You are putting in 40 trees?
22	MR. REILLY: Yes, 8 to 10 feet tall, yes.
23	MR. AVRUTINE: I thought the list said there
24	were about 10 trees and the rest were shrubs.
25	MR. REILLY: No. Eleven deciduous trees and

1 29 evergreen trees.

MR. AVRUTINE: So 40 trees are replacing 41 essentially --

MR. REILLY: That's --

MR. AVRUTINE: -- plus new shrubs and new perennials?

MR. REILLY: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: Sir, you want to add something?

MR. McCARTHY: I'm 62 years old. When you take down a 50-to-80-foot tree and you promise to plant a 10-foot tree, it doesn't really cut it, you know, because I'm not going to live that long to see these trees up and big and actually protect and screen these houses and these cabana houses.

It's also the sound. You know, people have parties. It's a lot nosier when you don't have any of these trees.

And also, these trees add to a buffer for Cold Spring Harbor Road. Now I've heard a big difference since Mr. Diefendorf clear-cut his backyard. And I can imagine what it's going to be with these trees gone also. It's nice when we didn't hear the road like we do now, and that's just with Diefendorf. Now you are going to take out the large, thick, big trees and put in what I call King Kullen bushes. It just doesn't cut it. You

can consider maybe taking out 20 trees, maybe, bargain here and take out less trees.

MR. REILLY: If I may, your property,
Mr. Diefendorf, and yours is the next one. Cold Spring
Road is here. All these trees are staying. 25-A is out
here. So this will not add any noise or anything from
Cold Spring Road which you're talking about. And
basically, I mean, it's nice to say we want something to
change, but it's progress. And compared to what was
here, I mean, you know, what was here, it was a
dilapidated house that was really not only an eyesore,
but really a safety hazard. I can't see the downside
really.

MR. McCARTHY: Okay. But your argument is the house.

MR. AVRUTINE: Sir. Sir. Sir, we can't have a conversation. You made your statement. And unless there is something new to add, the back and forth is really not productive. If there is something more you want to say on behalf of your position --

MR. McCARTHY: Just to counter his point he's saying about the house. The house isn't the issue.

It's the trees we are talking about. The house is gone.

We knew that was dilapidated. You put in a larger house. That's fine. It's the trees, and they screen

1	the sound tremendously. We are very, very high up
2	there. The road is about 130 feet above sea level. We
3	are about 165 feet above level. 120 down there at 25-A,
4	these trees make a big difference.
5	MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Reilly, is there anything
6	else you want to add?
7	MR. REILLY: No.
8	MR. AVRUTINE: Any Board members?
9	MEMBER GALTIERI: One of the letters that you
10	got, was it a Diefendorf letter?
11	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Yes.
12	MR. SHOOSTARY: Yes.
13	MEMBER GALTIERI: Was he in favor of
14	MR. AVRUTINE: Diefendorf and Maccarone, the
15	two abutting neighbors, signed letters in support.
16	MEMBER ABRAMS: Maccarone is not abutting.
17	It's on the opposite side of Waylor.
18	MR. AVRUTINE: Understand.
19	Anything else from the Board?
20	Anything else from the folks in attendance?
21	Do we have a motion to close the public
22	hearing?
23	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Yes.
24	MR. AVRUTINE: Member DiBlasio.
25	A second?

	Proceedings
1	By Member Galtieri.
2	MR. AVRUTINE: All in favor?
3	MEMBER ABRAMS: Aye.
4	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.
5	MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.
6	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that
7	this matter is deemed Type II under the New York State
8	Environmental Quality Review Act and, therefore, no
9	further environmental review is required.
10	At this point in time, we are still awaiting a
11	response from the Nassau County Planning Commission
12	regarding its recommendation on the application, so the
13	Board cannot decide at this time. As soon as we get
14	that back, the Board will schedule to meet and render a
15	decision on the application.
16	MR. REILLY: Thank you.
17	**************************************
18	ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES IN THIS CASE.
19	IN THIS CASE.
20	
21	RONALD H. KOENIG Official Court Reporter
22	official court Reporter
23	
24	

25