1			
2		INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF TRUSTEES	
3		PUBLIC HEARING October 11, 2017	
4		7:00 p.m.	
5		VILLAGE HALL 1492 Laurel Hollow Road	
6		Syosset, New York 11791-9603	
7	PRESENT:	DANIEL DeVITA, Mayor	
8		KEVIN JUSKO, Trustee JEFFREY MIRITELLO, Trustee	
9		JEFFREY NEMSHIN, Trustee MARTIN NOVICK, Trustee	
10		RICHARD NICKLAS, Trustee NICHOLAS TSAFOS, Trustee	
11			
12	ALSO PRESENT:		
13		HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney	
14		KAREN A. NAVIN, Clerk/Treasurer	
15			
16			
17			
18	APPLICAT	TION OF VERIZON NEW YORK, INC. FOR A RENEWAL CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		RONALD KOENIG	
25		OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	

October 11, 2017.

MR. AVRUTINE: This is the public hearing on
the renewal of the Village's cable franchise agreement
with Verizon. It's Verizon New York, Inc., technically.
I will provide the exhibits in connection with

the hearing.

The first exhibit is by reference to the proposed cable franchise renewal agreement filed on

The next exhibit is an excerpt from the minutes of the September 19, 2017 meeting of the Board of Trustees setting this evening's public hearing.

The next exhibit is the legal notice of public hearing prepared by the Village Clerk as published in the Oyster Bay Guardian.

The next exhibit is an affidavit from the Oyster Bay Guardian that the legal notice was published in the Oyster Bay Guardian on September 29, 2017.

The next exhibit is the corrected legal notice of public hearing prepared by the Village Clerk.

The next exhibit is an affidavit from Carlos
Hernandez that the corrected legal notice was posted at
six public places within the village on September 29,
2017.

The final exhibit is evidence that the corrected legal notice was posted to the Village website

and sent to Village website NEWS subscribers on September 29, 2017.

The Board may recall that back in 2006, Verizon first introduced its FIOS cable to the Village to compete with Cablevision. And after an extensive negotiation, public hearings were held and the Board of Trustees agreed upon an initial franchise agreement with Verizon to allow cable services to be provided and allow the rights-of-way to have the necessary equipment.

And essentially, that agreement allowed for the provision of a service to the village residents first and foremost. And secondly, it authorizes payment of franchise fees to the Village as the approving authority. So, the maximum rate by law is 5 percent, and it's 5 percent of gross revenues. And that was essentially the agreement. There were a lot of other provisions that we won't get into. And that agreement had a duration of ten years.

So, the agreement expired in 2016, and we've been having discussions and negotiations since the agreement expired. Obviously, even with the expiration of the initial agreement, the services have nonetheless been provided uninterrupted. So, there was no detriment to having a gap between the expiration of the original ten-year agreement and the one that the Board is

L

considering this evening.

So essentially, the agreement is substantially similar to first agreement. This one, however, is a five-year agreement rather than a ten-year agreement.

And we discussed this during the negotiations, and it was Verizon's request that it be a five-year agreement.

From a legal perspective, I was in agreement primarily because, the way technology advances are these days and other changes in the law that could take place, it's probably in the Village's best interest to renegotiate or to negotiate at the end of a five-year term rather than the end of a ten-year term because there might be additional revenues available to us and additional services that could be provided that would not be covered by this agreement that might be available to the Village after a five-year term. So, I do recommend a five-year agreement in lieu of the ten-year agreement.

Also, the newly negotiated renewal agreement contains the most expansive definition you can have under the law for gross revenues, which is the number that is utilized to calculate the franchise fee paid to the Village. The Village is paid 5 percent of gross revenues that Verizon generates from its business in the Village. And so, that definition was a large part of

the negotiation.

And also, we were very, very conscious during the negotiation just to ensure that all of the necessary services to the residents are provided and their concerns are adequately addressed, and we believe this agreement does that.

I worked with a gentleman from CMS, our consultant to actually the same company that is assisting us with our cellular issues. They also have an expertise in cable franchise work. So, Mr. Rusty Monroe from CMS assisted in the negotiations and provided valuable expertise in particulars of cable law that, because I don't necessarily practice that, I would not have knowledge of necessarily.

So overall, the agreement has been furnished to the Board. And, like I said, if the Board has any questions, we can certainly answer them.

Mr. Brendon Pinkard is here. He is the attorney representing Verizon, and he can answer any questions that the Board has regarding the agreement, if it has any. Otherwise, that's essentially a thumbnail explanation of the renewal agreement.

TRUSTEE NICKLAS: Does that improve in any gross revenues that accrue to our benefit with regard to revenues?

1	MR. AVRUTINE: We believe it will. I can't
2	tell you until we get the first check, but it should.
3	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: What is the start date?
4	Does it go back retroactive?
5	MR. AVRUTINE: No. From now.
6	MR. PINKARD: The new terms would be effective
7	as of the Public Service Commission's approval of this
8	franchise.
9	MR. AVRUTINE: Can you give your name and
10	affiliation?
11	MR. PINKARD: Brendon Pinkard, outside counsel
12	for Verizon.
13	So, under New York Law, the agreement is
14	effective as of the issuance of the certificate of
15	renewal by PSC.
16	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: Thank you.
17	MAYOR DeVITA: Anyone else from the Board?
18	Anyone in the audience?
19	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that
20	this matter is deemed Type II under the New York State
21	Environmental Quality Review Act, as the original
22	agreement was, requiring no further environmental
23	review.
24	We will entertain a motion to close the public
25	hearing.

1	TRUSTEE NOVICK: One thing. Outside the
2	five-year term, is there anything else in there that's
3	material of any change between the last one and this
4	one?
5	MR. AVRUTINE: Other than what I've described,
6	no, the expansion of the gross revenue's definition and
7	a lot of it was just changes in the law that took place
8	over the past ten years.
9	TRUSTEE NOVICK: So, nothing material?
LO	MR. AVRUTINE: Nothing of a practical, just
L1	legal issues.
L2	THE CLERK: A motion to close the hearing?
L3	Trustee Nicklas. Seconded by Trustee Jusko.
L4	All in favor?
L5	TRUSTEE JUSKO: Aye.
L6	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: Aye.
L7	TRUSTEE NOVICK: Aye.
L8	TRUSTEE TSAFOS: Aye.
L9	TRUSTEE MIRITELLO: Aye.
20	TRUSTEE NEMSHIN: Aye.
21	MAYOR DeVITA: Aye.
22	MR. AVRUTINE: And a motion to approve the
23	agreement and authorize the Mayor to sign it.
24	THE CLERK: Trustee Nemshin. Seconded by
25	Trustee Tsafos.

	Proceedings 8
1	All in favor?
2	TRUSTEE JUSKO: Aye.
3	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: Aye.
4	TRUSTEE NOVICK: Aye.
5	TRUSTEE TSAFOS: Aye.
6	TRUSTEE MIRITELLO: Aye.
7	TRUSTEE NEMSHIN: Aye.
8	MAYOR DeVITA: Aye.
9	THE CLERK: This concludes the public hearing.
10	**************************************
11	ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES IN THIS CASE.
12	IN THIS CASE.
13	
14	RONALD H. KOENIG Senior Court Reporter
15	Sention Count of Report Co.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	