VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollo on October 25, 2017 at 7:30 p.m. relative to the following matter:	W,				
Applicant: Alan J. Cooper, Architect On behalf of: Peter and Nancy Silver					
Property Located at: 12 Woodvale Drive, Laurel Hollow					
Sec. 25 Blk. 48 Lot(s) 7					
Zoning District: Residential Case #: ZV12-2017					
Requirement for which Variance is requested: Accessory structures must be set back at					
least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting a street. Shed setback = 20 feet					
Applicable Section(s) of Chapter 145-5(B)(2)					
At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated.					
1) Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties? yes no X Reason: The relief sought is appropriate as long as there is					
sufficient visual screening.					
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance? yes X no Reason: However, relocation of the shed is not mandated on the					
facts of this case.					
3) Is the variance requested substantial? yes no _X Reason: See #1 above.					
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?					
yes no _X_ Reason: _See #1 above.					
· — —					
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created? yes X no Reason: However, on the facts of this case, approval of the					
application is nonetheless appropriate.					

The Board of App	The Board of Appeals, after taking into consideration the above five factors, finds that:				
The benefit to the applicant does not outweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community and therefore the variance requested is denied.					
	oro ano vanarioo roquook	ou lo dornou.			
X The benefit to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community, and the Board of Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback					
of Sections: 145- 5(B)(2) of the Zoning Code is the minimum variance that should be					
granted in order to preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and					
welfare of the community because No adverse consequences will result as long as adequate					
evergreen screening is provided.					
and for these reasons the variance is granted with conditions as indicated.					
			wing conditions are necessary in munity, for the reasons following:		
Condition #1: Installation of sufficient evergreen screening surrounding the shed so that it					
will not be visible from Woodvale Drive.					
A alva na a iman a	at to be uninimaled. Mis	vial luona ata			
Adverse impa	ct to be minimized: Vis	suai impacts.			
Condition #2:					
Adverse impa	ct to be minimized:				
Condition #3:					
Condition #3.					
Adverse impact to be minimized:					
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW					
APPROVED / BZA					
These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the					
Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.					
Applicant must now submit any and all additional					
documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner.					
order to obtain a permit in a timery manner.					
	ZV12-2017	10/25/2017	Russell A. Mohr		
	Case #	Date S	Signature, Chairman, BZA		
Record of Vote of	on Motion as stated abov	e: <u>Member Name</u>	Aye Nay		
		Chairman Mohr	X		
	ove by Member Burkett		Excused		
Seconded by Mo	ember Lebedin	Member Kaufman	Excused		
		WIDMAD KUTVATT	= 1		

Member Lebedin