VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Villag on August 15, 2017 & October 25, 2017 at 7:30 p.m. relati	e Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, ve to the following matter:		
Applicant: James Murphy, Esq On behalf of: Chri	stopher Bragoli		
Property Located at: 1216 Moore's Hill Road, Laurel Hollow			
Sec. <u>26</u> Blk. <u>C</u> Lot(s) <u>2154</u>			
Zoning District: Residential Case #: ZV7-2017			
Requirement for which Variance is requested: <u>total surface coverage to exceed 20% of lot</u> Area: 27.0% is proposed and 23.0% is existing			
Applicable Section(s) of Chapter 145-5(A)(1)(d)			
At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated.			
1) Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties? yes noX Reason: Elimination of the proposed tennis court and installation			
of substantial landscaping created a proposal suitable for the area.			
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance? yes noX Reason: <u>Installation of a swimming pool necessitated relief from</u> surface coverage limitations.			
3) Is the variance requested substantial? yes no _ X Reason: _ See #1 and #2 above.			
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?			
yes no _X Reason: See #1 and #2 above.			
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created? yes <u>X</u> no <u>Reason</u> : <u>However, on the facts of thi</u> application is appropriate.	s case, approval of the		

The Board of Appeals, after taking into consideration the above five factors, finds that:

The benefit to the applicant does not outweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community and therefore the variance requested is denied.

X The benefit to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community, and the Board of Zoning Appeals further finds that a variance of **surface coverage** of Section: **145- 5(A) (1)(d)** of the Zoning Code is the minimum variance that should be granted in order to preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community because **no adverse impacts will result**.

and for these reasons the variance is granted with conditions as indicated.

CONDITIONS: The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:

Condition #1:	N/A	
	<u> </u>	
Adverse impact to be minimized	:	
Condition #2:		
Adverse impact to be minimized	•	
Adverse impact to be minimized		
Condition #3:		
Adverse impact to be minimized	:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW APPROVED / BZA These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit. Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner.		
ZV7-2017	10/25/2017	Russel A. Mohr
Case #	Date Si	ignature, Chairman, BZA
Record of Vote on Motion as stated	d above: <u>Member Name</u> Chairman Mohr	Aye Nay X
Motion to Approve by Member Le	—	Excused
Seconded by Chairman Mohr	Member Kaufman	Excused
	Member Burkett _ Member Lebedin	X