## VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, on October 25, 2017 at 7:30 p.m. relative to the following matter:
Applicant: Dennis Oliver, Architect On behalf of: Margaret Austin
Property Located at: 391 Cold Spring Road, Laurel Hollow
Sec. 25 Blk. C1 Lot(s) 1065
Zoning District: Residential Case #: ZV9-2017
Requirement for which Variance is requested: Swimming pools shall be located in the rear yard.  Proposed location of pool is side yard.
Applicable Section(s) of Chapter 145-20(F)
At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated.
1) Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties?  yes no X Reason: The location of the pool is such that no adverse
consequences will result.
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance?  yes no _X _ Reason: _The configuration of the parcel and location of the  principal building along with location of power lines mandate location of the pool as proposed.
3) Is the variance requested substantial?  yes no _X Reason: See #1 and #2 above.
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?
yes noX Reason: _See #1 and #2 above.
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created?  yes no _X Reason: _See #1 and #2 above.

The Board of Appeals, after taking into consideration the above five factors, finds that:	
The benefit to the applicant does not outweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community and therefore the variance requested is denied.	y
X The benefit to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community, and the Board of Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of rear yard location for pools	
of Sections: <b>145-20(F)</b> of the Zoning Code is the minimum variance that should be granted in order to preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community because <b>no adverse consequences will result.</b>	ł
<u> </u>	
and for these reasons the variance is granted with conditions as indicated.	
CONDITIONS: The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:	
Condition #1: N/A	
Advaraa impaat ta ha minimizad	
Adverse impact to be minimized:	
Condition #2:	
Condition #2.	
Adverse impact to be minimized:	
Candition #2.	
Condition #3:	
	_
Adverse impact to be minimized:	
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW  APPROVED / BZA	
These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the	
Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.	
Applicant must now submit any and all additional	
documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner.	
zv9-2017 10/25/2017 Russel A. Mohr	
Case # Date Signature, Chairman, BZA	
Record of Vote on Motion as stated above: Member Name Aye Nay  Chairman Mohr X	
Motion to Approve by Member Burkett  Member Blumin  Excused	
Seconded by Member Lebedin Member Kaufman Excused	