1	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW
2	PLANNING BOARD Public Hearing
3	July 30, 2019 7:00 p.m.
4	VILLAGE HALL 1492 Laurel Hollow Road
5	Syosset, New York 11791-9603
6	
7	PRESENT:
8	CHRIS HADJANDREAS, CHAIRMAN ELIZABETH DIBLASIO, MEMBER NANCY JONES, MEMBER
10	JAMES GALTIERI, MEMBER
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	KEVIN NATALIE, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
15	P8-2018/T25-2019
16	10 2010/123 2013
17	Application for a building permit for the grading and construction of a driveway, outdoor kitchen,
18	walkway, patio and terrace, along with the removal of 10 trees.
19	removar or ro crees.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	MARY ANNE COPPINS
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 CHAIR HADJANDREAS: The last on the list is the Virbukas family at 47 Timber 2 3 Ridge Drive. MR. AVRUTINE: This is 4 5 P8-2018/T25-2019, the public hearing on 6 the application of Bianca Jurim, 47 7 Timber Ridge Drive in Laurel Hollow for 8 approval to remove 10 trees, in connection with a building permit for 10 grading and construction of a driveway, 11 construction of an outdoor kitchen, 12 walkway, patio and terrace. 13 The property under application is 14 also known as Section 26, Block 1, Lot 7 15 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map. The exhibits in connection with 16 17 this application are as follows: 18 First, an affidavit of posting from 19 Elizabeth Kaye that the legal notice was 20 posted on the bulletin board in front of 21 Village Hall on July 12, 2019. 22 The next exhibit is an affidavit of 23 publication that the legal notice was 24 published in the North Shore Leader on 25 July 17, 2019.

The next exhibit is a document that 1 2 confirms that the legal notice was 3 published in the Village website on July 16, 2019. 4 The next exhibit confirms that the 5 6 legal notice was sent to Village website 7 NEWS subscribers on July 25, 2019. 8 The next exhibit is an e-mail which confirms that the legal notice was sent 10 to the Village of Oyster Bay Cove on 11 July 22, 2019. The next exhibit is an affidavit of 12 13 mailing from the applicant indicating 14 that the notice of public hearing was 15 mailed on July 22, 2019. 16 And the final exhibit is 17 notification from the Nassau County 18 Planning Commission dated November 26, 19 2019 -- excuse me, November 26, 2018, 20 that the matter is deferred to the 21 Village of Laurel Hollow Planning Board 22 to take action as it deems appropriate. 23 As I indicated, the technical 24 applicant would be Bianca Jurim, on

behalf of Dr. Barbara Virbukas at 47

25

1 Timber Ridge Drive. 2 You may proceed. 3 MR. NATALIE: Good evening, Members of the Board. My name is Kevin Natalie. 4 5 I am the landscape architect and I am here on behalf of the Jurim Virbukas 6 7 family. 8 Barbara Virbukas and her family purchased this home about three years 10 ago. They are in the process of 11 updating it. It was a home that was not 12 maintained very, very well, as you've 13 had a chance to walk the property. Now 14 they bought the house with the intent to renovate it and to clean it up and 15 16 update it. 17 Ms. Jurim is going to join the 18 family living there. Her husband passed 19 away and she will be living with them, 20 so this is a family project so I'm sorry about the name confusion. 21 22 We were brought in on the project 23 about six months ago in collaboration 24 after the architecture was started which

was an addition to the garage and an

25

alteration to the back kitchen. That being said, there is a current circular driveway and a parking area which is going to be renovated to accommodate the new garage. With that being said, there is a new side foyer, mudroom entry door, walkway to the basement, egress coming around to a patio. It was currently a patio on the back before. We're renovating that.

There are several trees on the border that are in fair condition to accommodate the construction, there is some grading. There is drainage work that was required to accommodate the Village requirement for runoff. There was an upgraded propane tank, many factors that went into the list that was recommended.

We took great consideration of the comments from the arborist. The original application had 10 trees, we backed that down to seven trees at this time. We are also providing eight evergreens to add a little bit of

1	buffering by the park area along the
2	west side of the property. I have
3	photos of those. The trees that we're
4	recommending are Cryptomeria, which are
5	evergreens that could get quite tall 50,
6	60 feet tall, Norway spruce, something
7	that you will commonly find in this
8	northern region.
9	I have those for the Board, if you
10	would like.
11	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: I have them.
12	MR. NATALIE: This is photos of the
13	plan. I'm not sure if they were
14	provided.
15	We are putting those in of a size
16	of 8 to 10 feet, knowing that they will
17	grow and add privacy and a buffer. Our
18	hope is we would collaborate with the
19	Board in that we're solely renovating
20	the property and bringing it back to a
21	charm and something a little bit more to
22	the level of community.
23	If there are any comments, I would
24	be happy to address them.
25	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: I did notice

the drainage easement that is part of 1 2 this property and you had some trees 3 that were supposed to be -- that you've asked to be removed in this area, and 4 5 after. 6 MR. NATALIE: Advisement by the 7 arborist. CHAIR HADJANDREAS: The Village, 8 just for the public record, this 10 drainage easement or there is no --11 you're not allowed to remove any trees, 12 you're not allowed to do any grading in 13 the drainage easement and it's a decent 14 portion that comes into the area of 15 construction, close to the area of 16 construction. 17 I did notice that you have removed 18 those trees from the list to remove so 19 we're keeping those trees, as per the 20 arborist recommendation. 21 MR. NATALIE: The homeowners were 22 advised early on by someone that they 23 can do some clearing. It's not a very 24 attractive element along that road. We 25 would like to further discuss with the

1	Board, in some way, the measures to
2	clean that up. But here, on behalf of
3	tonight, we're here trying to get the
4	side yard cleaned up and start the
5	rejuvenation process.
6	We look forward to working more
7	collaboratively in the future.
8	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: I noticed there
9	were some other trees outside of the
10	drainage easement that the arborist had
11	concern with you removing, and I see
12	from your revised plan, in terms of tree
13	34, 41 and 42, you have removed them
14	from the list to be removed.
15	MR. NATALIE: Right. So tree
16	number 34, 41 and 42, technically, 34 is
17	outside of the DRA, 41 and 42 are on the
18	fringe, just interior. So based on the
19	arborist's comments we respectfully made
20	those changes.
21	MR. AVRUTINE: You said the DRA,
22	that's the drainage.
23	MR. NATALIE: Reserve area, if I am
24	using that term correctly.
25	MR. AVRUTINE: I just want to make

sure that it's clear for the record what 1 2 you are referring to. 3 MR. NATALIE: Thank you, counsel. CHAIR HADJANDREAS: 4 As per Liz 5 Bibla, the Village arborist's 6 recommendations in her letter on July 7 17, the applicant has adhered completely with her recommendation in terms of 8 keeping the trees of what she wanted to 10 keep and removing the trees she said 11 should be removed, so they are in 12 compliance with the arborist's report. 13 We all visited the site. How much more grading is going to be in the area 14 15 of the --16 MR. NATALIE: What happened was is 17 the original driveway and the original 18 garage when they went to dig for the 19 foundation of the new garage they hit, I 20 guess, a groundwater condition, so 21 that's why the garage had to come up so 22 we're just repitching. So, really, 23 we're just trying to alter the grades to 24 deal with the groundwater condition. Pitch back down to those areas, we might 25

pick up a few inches at the back end
which we're working with the Building
Inspector right now with an application.

There was an original application

filed that showed the driveway very much
in line with what I have, and a patio.

We just altered the design from a

landscape architect's perspective and
are working with the Building Department
to rectify now, after they ran into that
garage situation.

So, like you mentioned and one of other Board members, it is a heavily-wooded property. The whole easterly side is elegant with a lot of big oaks with a front southern side preserve. We are going to be doing some canopy pruning and thinning just to improve the health and character of the trees.

As I mentioned, the homeowner is just getting in and we intend to work on the pool area and look to see if there is something we can do to help them and even the community with that DRA, but we

1	are going to further discuss that later
2	on.
3	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: What you are
4	proposing on planting is I see the
5	Cryptomeria, you have five of them.
6	MR. NATALIE: Norway spruce.
7	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: I'm sorry, I
8	thought they were four different, I got
9	you. So three Japanese cedar trees and
10	five Norway Spruces.
11	Can you just is this the same as
12	that?
13	MR. NATALIE: It should be.
14	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: If you want to
15	point on yours so that the Board can see
16	where the trees.
17	MR. NATALIE: Right on the fringe
18	of the drainage reserve area the
19	Cryptomeria, get a little vertical
20	height, then the Norway spruce acts as a
21	buffer.
22	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: Okay, I see
23	it, it's clearer.
24	Does anyone on the Board have any
25	questions

1	MEMBER JONES: No.
2	MEMBER GALTIERI: No.
3	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: on this
4	plan.
5	(No response.)
6	Anybody in the audience have any
7	questions or concerns.
8	(No response.)
9	So, again, because it is a plan
10	that is very complete and you have done
11	your work in terms of identifying the
12	trees that have to come out and the
13	trees that you are keeping. We are
14	outside of pretty much most of
15	everything that you want to remove, we
16	are outside of the setback, I don't have
17	any issues.
18	Does anybody have any issues with
19	the application as it stands?
20	MEMBER GALTIERI: No.
21	MEMBER JONES: No.
22	MEMBER DiBLASIO: No.
23	MEMBER JONES: Thank you for
24	keeping those trees.
25	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: Anybody from

1	the public have anything you want to
2	say?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. AVRUTINE: Motion to close the
5	public hearing.
6	MEMBER JONES: Motion.
7	MR. AVRUTINE: Motion by Member
8	Jones.
9	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: Second.
10	MR. AVRUTINE: Second by Chairman
11	Hadjandreas.
12	All in favor?
13	MEMBER JONES: Aye.
14	MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.
15	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.
16	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: Aye.
17	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record
18	reflect this matter is deemed Type II in
19	the New York State Environmental Quality
20	Review Act.
21	Motion on the application.
22	MEMBER JONES: Motion.
23	MR. AVRUTINE: Motion by Member
24	Jones moving to approve conditioned
25	upon

1	MEMBER GALTIERI: Second.
2	MR. AVRUTINE: Second by Member
3	Galtieri, conditioned upon strict
4	compliance with the plan prepared by the
5	Laurel Group dated May 17, 2019, last
6	revised July 19, 2019; is that correct?
7	MEMBER JONES: Correct.
8	MR. AVRUTINE: All in favor?
9	MEMBER JONES: Aye.
10	MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.
11	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.
12	CHAIR HADJANDREAS: Aye.
13	MR. AVRUTINE: Approved.
14	MR. NATALIE: Thank you.
15	* * * * *
16	CERTIFICATION:
17	I, Mary Anne Coppins, Court
18	Reporter, hereby certify that the above
19	transcript is a true and accurate copy
20	of the minutes taken by myself
21	stenographically in the within matter.
22	
23	
24	Mary Anne Coppins
25	Court Reporter