ĺ	1	<b>-</b>
1 2 3 4		INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING November 20, 2019 7:00 p.m. VILLAGE HALL
5		1492 Laurel Hollow Road Syosset, New York 11791-9603
6 7	PRESENT:	CHRIS HADJANDREAS, Chairman
8		SCOTT ABRAMS, Member
9		ELIZABETH DiBLASIO, Member
10		NANCY JONES, Member
11		JAMES GALTIERI, Member
12		
13	ALSO PRES	ENT:
14		HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	1	P14-2019 & T49-2019 - Barriola - Ridge Road Tree Removal
20		ri ee kelilovai
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		RONALD KOENIG OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
l		

I

1	MR. AVRUTINE: This is a public hearing on
2	Case P14-2019 and T49-2019, the application of Manuel
3	Barriola, owner of the property located on Ridge Road,
4	for approval to remove 29 trees in connection with a
5	proposed new single-family dwelling and pool.
6	The parcel of land is known as Section 26,
7	Block C, Lot 2011 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map.
8	The exhibits in connection with this

application are as follows:

First, Affidavit of Posting from Elizabeth Kaye that the legal notice was posted on the front bulletin board in Village Hall on November 8, 2019.

The next exhibit is a copy of the legal advertisement as it appeared in the North Shore Leader on November 13, 2019. The affidavit of actual publication will be made part of the file when received.

The next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was published to the village website on November 8, 2019.

The next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was sent to village website NEWS subscribers on November 14, 2019.

The next exhibit is an Affidavit of Mailing from the applicant indicating that the Notice of Public Hearing was mailed on November 12, 2019.

The final exhibit consists of the Nassau
County Planning Commission letter entered into the
record of hearing on November 15, 2016 by reference in
connection with the previous case heard by the Board of
Zoning Appeals under cases ZV4-2016 and ZS5-2016.

You may proceed. Please state your name and address for the record.

MS. SORDELLA: Raffaella Sordella,

R-A-F-F-A-E-L-L-A, S-O-R-D-E-L-L-A, 1640 Moores Hill

Road.

So, you see from the first page --

MR. AVRUTINE: I'm sorry to interrupt you. I want to mark for the record as Applicant's Exhibit 1 a bound booklet of some depictions, renderings and photographs that states on the front, Sordella-Barriola Residence, November 2019, and that's Applicant's Exhibit 1.

MS. SORDELLA: As you see from Page 1, the property provides some challenges. It's typical of this area. It's on a slope.

when we designed the house, we essentially we wanted to design a sustainable property. We tried to position the house in a manner to really distract as less as possible trees and have a minimal impact on the property. As you see, especially on the lower part of

the property, there are severe slope, and we didn't want to affect that. So we positioned the house on the opposite side, and especially we wanted to position it as far as possible from the neighbor to have a minimal impact also on them.

Building on a slope is possible, especially coming from Italy, we have great experience, and it's possible by using terraces. We have good drainage. This is what we have done when we designed the house. You can see from the rendering, indeed, that there are several terraces in which there is also vegetation, shrubs. And these terraces, they have ideal drainage and new materials. They have been designed to absorb water. The house and the plan have been approved.

In the next pages, you will see some rendering. In Page 2 is essentially a rendering of how essentially the house will look in regard to the tree and the shrubs that they will be plant. The other, they are essentially for the rendering how the house will look like with the new trees. As you see, we are trying to maintain as much as possible the current landscape.

The last, I think, two pages, they actually they have picture also of the current property on the little inlet. And as you see, the property, it will not look much different than what is currently looking.

We are asking the permit to remove 29 trees. We are full aware that the trees, they have important function, especially in the slope to provide security and stability to the hill. So when essentially we designed with our architect and our consultant the planting schedule, we essentially we want also to be very aware of the importance of tree to maintain and to preserve the slope. So as you will see in terms of example of the tree, we didn't substitute oak. We decided to plant instead red maple and eastern red cedar. Also, plant all the tree, the shrub and the perennial that we are using are essentially local and we want to essentially to maintain a feeling of essentially local landscape.

The reason why we decided not to substitute oak but instead to go with red cedar, and we actually we are proposing to plant 32 instead of the 29 oak tree, is because you must be aware that oak tree they look beautiful but they have really small root system. They just go 18 inches deep, while instead northern red cedar, they are much deeper. They are actually two, three times deeper. They essentially they maintain and they essentially will sustain better the slope and they will prevent essentially erosion.

As you will see also from the shrub, we

essentially we not only we are removing some of them,
but we are actually restoring and planting much more.
We are proposing to plant 39 laurel. We are proposing
also to plant azalea and rhododendron, some 24. In this
case, again, like the goal is not only to restore the
landscape, but actually to impact and to essentially
improve the maintenance of the hill and to provide
essentially what we call sustainable landscape.

In regards, for example, there was a problem with the swimming pool that we essentially we have a breath again like with terraces. And as you see, the retaining wall, they are not just retaining wall, but essentially they have also drainage and they have essentially, we will essentially plant shrub within these terraces, again to provide for the drainage and to essentially provide a sustainable landscape.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: How many trees are slated to be removed?

MS. SORDELLA: Twenty-nine.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And besides the cedars,

I'm counting 46 trees that you're planning on --

MS. SORDELLA: All big trees.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Yes. You're planning on putting in.

MS. SORDELLA: Yes.

	Proceedings
1	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: This lot does have a
2	lot of mountain laurel on it, and I see you're planting
3	39 shrubs of mountain laurel, replanting.
4	MS. SORDELLA: Yes. And 24 rhododendron.
5	They are a similar species. They are just a little bit
6	smaller. They have better root system. They are ideal
7	for steep slope.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: In Laurel Hollow, mountain laurel is protected. I'm not sure what the number is in terms of we know the tree count, we don't know how much mountain laurel is being removed with the project. But again, they're planting 29, so it should be a pretty fair balance.

MEMBER JONES: Thirty-nine.

MS. SORDELLA: If can I address that also.

So, we were very careful. We did a survey also of the property. We didn't count the hollow that they were there. But a lot of them, they are not in good quality because the property has not been maintained. So there's a lot of dead branches, a lot of dead trees. Especially where we are contracting the house, the hollow are not in good condition.

MR. AVRUTINE: You mean the laurel?

MS. SORDELLA: The laurel, yes.

So what we are planning to do actually is, the

RK

ones that they are there, to just move them as much as possible, just to transplant them in another side of the property.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Does the public or -- MEMBER GALTIERI: I have a question.

You know, in looking at the road, the road is extremely narrow and it turns.

MS. SORDELLA: Yes.

MEMBER GALTIERI: I'm just concerned about the equipment that goes on that road to build this house in terms of will there be possibly any damage to the existing road or the trees that are lining that road.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: That are stated to be maintained.

MS. SORDELLA: Yeah. I took a picture, actually. So if you go the one before the last, you see essentially there is a picture. So, the first thing that we are planning to do is essentially to build the driveway, the side road, in a manner that essentially we will have a minimal impact on the existing road. So we have discussed it actually with one of our neighbor.

So, we are thinking just to move a chipping machine first when essentially we are cutting the tree and essentially clear the path. And then we will built the access road. And then essentially this is where

essentially all the machinery. So we will essentially build the road, flatten the area, and there essentially is where the machinery essentially will go.

So addressing that, we originally didn't want to build a big access road. We wanted to make a smaller one. The reason why we decided to proceed with that is essentially because the road is very narrow, so we would essentially have required much more work in terms of retaining wall and --

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So to clarify, the driveway is basically going to -- that's going to be built first to accommodate machines, parking. There's going to be many people working on this project that have to put their car somewhere.

Any other comments from the Board?

For the record, this project was reviewed by the Zoning Board a couple years ago.

MR. AVRUTINE: Back in 2016.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And the Zoning Board had approved not only the plan as we're seeing it, but they approved the siting of the house, the retaining walls, the septic, the drainage, the driveway, the access, all of that. And for us to say, oh, let's shift the driveway, we can't, you know, it would again send it back to the Zoning Board. So basically what is drawn,

because of the previous decisions that were made, has to be what we approve. We can't move anything.

MEMBER ABRAMS: Chris, I assume the Zoning Board approved a variance for building in the setback.

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes. The BZA approved slope disturbance as well as variances.

MEMBER ABRAMS: Okay.

MR. AVRUTINE: But for the tree removal application before the Planning Board this evening, they have all required approvals.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: For the record, I was previously a member of the Zoning Board and voted to approve this application back when it was first presented to the Zoning Board.

MR. AVRUTINE: This property, as you can see from the drawings, primarily because of the slopes was a challenge to design a suitable home and with as minimal intrusion due to topography on the property as possible. So the public hearing process focused on that issue as far as the slope disturbances were concerned. So this set of plans that you see before you is the set of plans that was approved by the BZA after the public hearing process was completed.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So the total area, is it two acres or --

1	MS. SORDELLA: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: The square footage of
3	the residence, do you know about how big the house is?
4	MS. SORDELLA: I don't know, sorry.
5	MS. MAYER: I think 4826.
6	MS. SORDELLA: I just know that it's smaller
7	than
8	MEMBER ABRAMS: What number did you say?
9	MS. MAYER: I think 4,826.
LO	MS. SORDELLA: It's like 60 percent of what
L1	MS. MAYER: The footprint was something like
L2	2,400, but the square footage of the house including the
L3	multiple floors was something like 4,800.
L4	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Does anybody from the
L5	public have any comments?
L6	MS. MAYER: Yes, two things.
L7	MR. AVRUTINE: First, your name and address
L8	for the record.
L9	MS. MAYER: Marsha Mayer. I have the adjacent
20	property, 1320 Ridge Road.
21	One concern is the tree plan. So, yesterday I
22	looked at the maps here at Village Hall. I didn't have
23	a copy to take with me. I walked the property. The
24	trees are marked in orange. And two or three of the
25	trees, I have a picture of one of them, that are slated

for removal, are very far from the entrance and they're on what certainly looks to be the extremely steep slope of the property. So I can't be certain sitting here if it's the very steep or the extremely steep, but because they're so far back from the entrance to the property, I wonder do those particular trees which are holding onto the slope have to come down. So I have a picture. I don't know the number because I didn't have a copy of the plan to take with me.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Do you know in relation? Here is the plan.

MS. MAYER: I know sort of where it is.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So from my information to you, the surveyor of the property that did all the survey work, the tree survey, the slope survey and the siting of the house and everything, he was instructed to mark with ribbon the area of clearing. And the area of clearing includes retaining walls, drainage, septic, everything that's going to go into building the house. So, you know, it's hard to see on these plans because these are landscape plans, but you can see over here retaining walls and then there's requirements beyond them for trees.

MS. MAYER: You enter from here and it's over here that the land becomes extremely steep. And

approximately here and here, it's in this area that
is --

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Here's the structure, but then below it, as you can see, they have a dry well, retaining wall. And, you know, again, because those parts of the plan were already approved by the ZBA in terms of the limits of clearing, you know, all the aspects of the construction, we just get involved with the trees. And the limits of clearing, the area, let's say, was approved by the ZBA previously. My information is that that's the area they have to clear for what was approved previously.

MS. MAYER: I can't tell from the plans as I've seen them. And from my walking on this site is if this is on the very edge of -- there's this orange area roped off, and on the very edge of the orange area closest to the water there is this particular tree.

MS. SORDELLA: I'm sorry to interrupt. We are not really close to the water.

MS. MAYER: Closest, on the side of the property closest to the water where the steep --

MS. SORDELLA: I'm not really sure. But, for example, there are these -- some of the trees, they are close to the retaining wall. Those tree, unfortunately, they have to go just because of the construction. It's

on a steep slope. And it's just very hard, there are 29 trees, and it's really hard to know which one you have marked.

MS. MAYER: Well, if they were numbered, I would have had the number of the tree.

MS. SORDELLA: As I was mentioning, we are essentially to protect the topography of the property. We are essentially have put a retaining wall to essentially prevent slides and so on. And the type of retaining wall that you saw, they are not just wall, they essentially have drainage. They will have plant on top. So we have been very, very careful on the type of landscape that we've been doing.

The tree again, you can see we are not really cutting any tree that they are really far from the property. The property and the size essentially of excavation have been approved.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: The walls they are putting in, unlike the first application that came before us tonight, the walls they are putting in are those stacked stone walls that interlock, and those walls require 4 to 6 inches of gravel. The walls they're putting in from the plans that I've seen that are submitted are poured concrete walls that require a 3-to-4-foot footing. You know, the excavation that's

required for those walls isn't a small little channel.

They have to get equipment there.

So again, it's going back over two years, but I remember going through these plans. It was a big discussion. And building on a slope has its challenges. You know, when your house was built, I don't know if they came from below or whatever. But this house doesn't have the ability to do that, otherwise a lot more trees would be cut down because they would have to clear all of those trees between the house and the water.

So when you're building a retaining wall, it's not just the size of the wall, it's 10 feet in either direction. And looking at the plans, seeing where the walls are and seeing where the limit of clearing is, it does go another 10 to 15 to 20 feet past because, again, of the construction requirements.

MS. MAYER: I'm sorry. You're saying the tree clearance goes 10 feet --

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: No. The limit of clearing that is marked on this line on this plan that goes all the way around here is the limit of the clearing. And this line is represented in the field and this was certified by the surveyor that that is represent -- the ribbon, the orange ribbon that's in the

	Proceedings 16
1	field, is represented on this page by this line. And
2	that's the limit of clearing. And the reason for that
3	is, again, the construction requirements that have to
4	take place eventually after they get their building
5	permit, you know, to build this house.
6	MS. MAYER: But I'll just note that not every
7	tree within that limit of clearing is marked to come
8	down. So I was wondering whether this particular tree
9	on a very steep part of the slope
10	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: They didn't mark every
11	tree. They just put the ribbon around the perimeter and
12	basically everything inside that perimeter is being
13	removed.

MS. MAYER: Twenty-nine trees have a ribbon around them within the perimeter.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So those are the trees of size there.

MS. MAYER: And other trees within the perimeter are not marked. So that's why I'm questioning the marking of a particular tree.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Trees under a certain size are not required to get a permit.

> MS. MAYER: I see.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And that's why large caliper trees are marked and small calipers are not.

	Proceedings
1	MS. MAYER: The other issue, I don't know if
2	it's one that this Board can address, but as part of the
3	zoning variance that was granted there was an agreement
4	by the Zoning Board that the pool would have to be moved
5	somewhat, like one-third of it would have to be moved
6	over.
7	MS. SORDELLA: There was not. There is no
8	report on that.
9	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Whatever the Zoning
10	Board agreed to, I don't remember the exact decision
11	that was made, but whatever it was, it's been, you know,
12	is on these plans. So if there was any kind of movement

is on these plans. So if there was any kind of movement of anything, we wouldn't see -- we would see the final iteration of the plans. So this is what went to court.

This is what was upheld by, you know, the decisions by

the State Supreme Court.

MR. AVRUTINE: If I can just clarify. I believe what you're referring to was during the hearing process there was some discussion about relocating the pool out of the severely sloped area, which was done.

MS. MAYER: It was moved out of that area?

MR. AVRUTINE: It was moved out of the severely sloped area.

MS. MAYER: That is what I was referring to.

MR. AVRUTINE: That's what was done. So the

final plan that you can see and you can ask Nancy, she'll make it available to you, the approval that the BZA issued back in 2016 was predicated upon a specific plan. So that is the approved plan in accordance with the direction of the Board when changes were required, and it is a plan specific approval meaning that there can be no deviation from that approved plan.

So you're free to look at the plan. You can request a copy if you wish and it can be reproduced for you to have if you want one for a souvenir or something else. But seriously, I was joking, if you wish to have a copy for whatever purpose, you can get one from Nancy. And that is, like I said before, the approved plan which must be adhered to and which, when all of the inspections throughout the construction process are taking place, the Village will ensure that the construction is being done in accordance with that plan.

MS. MAYER: Since with the other three applications there was a lot of detailed discussion about particular trees and what should happen to the trees, I guess here it sounds like that's not even up for discussion.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Well, the issue is again, because the house, the walls, the septic, the drainage, everything was sited by the Zoning Board.

Normally the trees go first in terms of we would make and then they would move the house around to accommodate. But, you know, we're not able to do that and it will be -- the house has been sited, so we can't shift.

And when you're building on a slope, it's very hard to save a specific tree because the whole slope is getting regraded. So you can't save a tree here and lower the grade. It's not going to happen. So typically when you're building on a slope, and this has happened on other areas in the village, the area is cleared and there's cut and fill, cut in terms of they remove dirt here and put it there. And when you are doing that, you can't save trees. It's just the way construction is. It's just the building and with the terraces they're going to build, you can't save a tree and keep, you know, do the actual house, build the house.

MR. AVRUTINE: Ms. Mayer, you may recall that during the hearing process before the BZA back in 2016 there was quite a bit of discussion and input dealing with the siting of the home taking into consideration the challenges on this lot with the slopes.

MS. MAYER: Sure.

MR. AVRUTINE: And so while the Board

recognized that as a building lot, something was appropriate to be built here, they had a very, very serious consideration regarding while believing that a house should be allowed to be built on this property, to require that the slope intrusion be minimized to maximum extent that could be accomplished. And I specifically recall that even though maybe some dimensional variances were made maybe a little bit more severe that unbalanced, the Board felt that that was appropriate to minimize slope intrusions. Plus the fact that the size of the home was minimized as well, considering the fact that you have a two-acre lot, in order to minimize slope intrusions.

So when the Board approved the whole design concept for the property, it necessarily approved the line of disturbance as Chairman Hadjandreas was referring to before. So in doing so, the growth within that line of disturbance needs to be removed. And in contemplation of that and in an attempt to remediate the impacts of that, there was a substantial landscape plan which was submitted at that time which is the same plan that is really here today before this Court.

So, this application has essentially come full circle to the point where now in order to obtain the permit and to proceed, the implementation of the

1 original approved plan needs to be reviewed here, and that's what's going on this evening. 2 Do you have any further questions? 3 It seems like this isn't MS. MAYER: No. 4 5 really a tree permit application, that it's been essentially approved in advance. 6 MR. AVRUTINE: No. That's not the case. 7 think the reality here is that in order to develop the 8 9 property as approved already, the trees depicted do have to be removed. It's not that it's been approved in 10 advance. The purpose of this is for this Board to 11 12 approve it. But the Board is considering what's before 13 it, is looking at the proposed remediation plan and presumably will make a decision on that based upon 14 15 what's before it. 16 Do you have anything further to add? 17 MS. MAYER: No. 18 MR. AVRUTINE: Does anyone else? 19 MS. WALL: I do. 20 MR. AVRUTINE: Please give your name and address for the record. 21 22 MS. WALL: My name is Laura Wall. It was 23 The property is 1372 Ridge Road, and my

parents built that home there when I was seven.

we've been taking care of it for a long time and my dad

24

25

plowed that driveway when he was alive, and I've been plowing it for seven years now.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Really.

MS. WALL: And we just, just listed the house for sale, and there's a number of things I have questions about that I would like to find out about.

We talked. We met on Monday and talked about there's an S curve on the driveway, a very sharp curve. That curve is where all the snow goes when you plow because you can't get around that sharp curve. The snow has to go somewhere. So the way the driveway grades, if your car is going to slip or anything like that, right now you go straight into that berm on the S curve which keeps you from skyrocketing off the hill.

There's two huge trees there that Raffaella said her person said were not well, but I tend to disagree on that. They've got leaves. Yes, one of them you can see its roots. But those trees are what -- that's the steepest hill on the property. That's where everybody gets stuck if they're going to get stuck there. And I think if, I mean I don't know how wide the bodies of those trees are, but if you remove those, you are creating like a shoot. There's no way to stop the cars from going down the hill. And then just looking at this picture, there's, from what you were given --

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Where they're putting a parking area.

MS. WALL: The problem I see, though, is that this line, number one, is not in the right place in your diagram here because I have the survey here. But whatever this is is sitting on our property line and they want to store all the construction equipment in there. Their driveway is up the hill. The only person that's going to see that stuff is us.

MS. SORDELLA: Sorry. That is a confusion. Here essentially that part is because, you know -- I don't know if you went on site.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: We did.

MS. SORDELLA: There is an S turn that is very hard. The condition of that part is really not good.

So there is sand that is going up. Also, the trees --

MS. WALL: What do you mean the condition is not good?

MS. SORDELLA: Is not flattened. Essentially you go like this. All this is sand. So because again, like it has been plowed for a long time against these big trees, so if you keep plowing snow against trees, and these are just oak tree on a steep slope, these are really not the best way to maintain it. If you talk to arborist, they will tell you it's oak. And if you just

go around after a storm, the oak are the first ones that come down.

So our plan to restore the area was essentially to flatten out, and, unfortunately, we have to take out those two trees, but in any case they are small. The idea was to flatten out and restore and improve the quality of the road, and essentially on the side of the road of this little parking lot that is very small, essentially can just fit one car, to have trees. So actually if the concern is for people sliding down, that's actually better because it will be flattened and they will essentially stop.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I just want to clarify with you because you made a couple -- so if you want to approach here, I want to make sure that we are all talking about the same area.

this is the existing road here and this is the area where the trees are currently, and this is the area they're going to make a flat parking area. But you were saying that it was near your property line. Your property line is over here. Where the power lines are is representing the property line.

MS. WALL: You're at the wrong curve.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: They're not disturbing

1	anything, this is the limit of disturbance, so they're
2	not disturbing anything over here. This is your road
3	and this is the entrance where they're coming onto
4	there. So this is the only S curve. In that S curve is
5	where they are flattening and putting a small parking
6	area. This is the only S curb. You know, everything
7	else is your property.
8	MS. WALL: The property line is right here. I
9	can show you on the survey that I have.
10	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Because their survey is
11	showing the property line here and the edge of the road
12	is shown here. Their property line is showing it
13	MS. WALL: This is Mr. Reese's survey I have.
14	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: This was done by a
15	licensed surveyor.
16	MR. AVRUTINE: Do you have a copy of the
17	survey that you were referring to?
18	MS. WALL: I do. It was given to us by
19	Mr. Reese before any of this came to be.
20	See, on here is the marking on the road.
21	MR. AVRUTINE: The road that you're referring
22	to
23	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: This is the corner
24	right here. This is this corner. And does this show
25	the road?

1	MR. AVRUTINE: Well, I think the cross-hatched
2	area is the road. So the road goes on both sides of the
3	property line.
4	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Yes, it does. And it
5	is exactly the same on here.
6	MR. AVRUTINE: Yes, the property line matches.
7	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So what I'm saying is
8	the area that, and then what they're disturbing stops
9	over here which is a good if this is 20 feet
10	MR. AVRUTINE: Can you point, generally
11	speaking, on here where the trees you're talking about
12	are?
13	MS. WALL: They are right there.
14	MR. AVRUTINE: So, they're adjacent to the
15	road on the Barriola property.
16	MS. WALL: Yes.
17	MR. AVRUTINE: That would translate to right
18	here. So that's where Chairman Hadjandreas is saying is
19	going to be where the flat area of the parking court is
20	going to be.
21	MS. WALL: Right. And it's legal to put a
22	parking area at the end of your property like that?
23	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Well, the end of the
24	property would be over here.
25	MR. AVRUTINE: This parking area was approved

as part of the approved plans by the Zoning Board back in 2016. And that case was the subject of a court action, and the approval of the Board of Appeals was sustained by the Court.

MS. WALL: So as far as storing all the construction equipment and things like that there, that's no problem, that you can just put?

MR. AVRUTINE: Well, understand that this
Board doesn't have jurisdiction or authority over the
means and methods of construction or anything else.
That is the responsibility of the Building Department to
monitor those issues.

Rest assured that there'll be no trespassing onto your property from activities that take place on this property. And if there are, then that's a totally different issue, but that should not be the case based upon everything that's been submitted to the Village.

In addition to that, there is an erosion control plan which is part of the approved drawings to take steps that are necessary to ensure that there is no erosion and runoff of water onto adjacent properties. So all of those drawings have been prepared, submitted and approved as part of the overall project.

So, I understand the concern, but also I want to make it clear for the record and for you that these

1	issues have been addressed in terms of the drawings that
2	have been submitted and approved.
3	MS. WALL: So, you're saying taking those

trees down is already approved?

MR. AVRUTINE: No. What I'm saying is that the concern you're raising about the elimination of those trees has been addressed by virtue of the fact that the property will be regraded and there will be retaining structures, and a flattened area with a parking area that would alleviate the concern that you raised which you thought would result of removal of those trees.

MS. WALL: So if there is eventual fall off on that road, there's some kind of plan to fix it?

MR. AVRUTINE: What do you mean by fall off?

MS. WALL: Well, if you walk along the beach you'll see tons of trees that come down on the shore because the hill is eroding. And if you take those big trees out and that whole hill then goes over, which there's no kind of upward part of that hill anymore, I think with the way the water runs when the snow melts or a heavy rain which is like a river, it's going to take the dirt down with it.

MR. AVRUTINE: I understand your concern.
What I would suggest to you is, and you can get your own

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

copy of the plans if you want or share the one that Ms. Mayer is going to get, that this was taken into account in the design in terms of this is a common practice when there's going to be a slope disturbance. Generally speaking, you have a sloped area and you have growth on it already. So, to the extent that there's going to be retaining structures and additional growth planted there which is intended to minimize or eliminate runoff, so they're -- as a legal matter and as a code matter in the Village no construction is allowed which would result in runoff onto adjacent properties. development that takes place must be designed so that all water on the property is retained by drainage structures on the property, and that is determined and approved based upon engineering calculations that are done in order to assure that that is the case, and that's what happened here.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I just want to add one thing. There are no dry wells or drainage structures on this property currently. And because of what they're doing and which also is the reason for the additional clearing besides just where the house is, they're adding, it doesn't show it on here but again I recall from my previous role, there is many, many dry wells being installed throughout the property.

MS. WALL: We actually saw them at the very first hearing on that property when they brought the plan. I'm not concerned.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I wanted to address that so that you knew.

MS. WALL: I'm sorry.

MR. AVRUTINE: You can finish what you were saying.

MS. WALL: I'm not concerned so much about the water flow in general. That one area, though, it's the only place that if your car went off that driveway you can get hurt badly.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Now what they're doing to remediate that is flattening the area which I, from just looking at this, there are two below that area. It's going to be, it looks to me, again this isn't a topography map, that that is at the height of the current road and then there are two tiered retaining walls that go down. So basically right now it's this. They are going to make it like that with straight so it gives more runoff, not runoff, but more area that if you were to miss that turn it's now flat and a parking area. Hopefully, there is not a car there, but if you slide you will be on flat land, not hitting a tree or going down the hill the wrong way.

1	MS. WALL: As long as there is something to
2	stop you. That's the only thing I worry about because
3	you will keep going.
4	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And there are two
5	retaining walls, the upper retaining wall and then the
6	lower one. And then the house well, the house is
7	over here.
8	MS. WALL: I have a question, though, about
9	now the trees are marked out on Ridge Road to cut. What
LO	is happening there?
L1	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: The Zoning Board the
L2	access to your property and this property goes I have
L3	a letter from him, from another one of your neighbors.
L4	MS. WALL: Marino.
L5	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Let me find that
L6	letter. Mr. Marino's address is
L7	MR. AVRUTINE: 1368.
L8	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So the current access
L9	for this property and your property goes through
20	Mr. Marino's driveway and then it makes a sharp left and
21	then a sharp right onto what's now a gravel, overgrown
22	gravel path, and then that passes, I forgot the number
23	of the house, the last name is Miriam and Ali
24	MS. WALL: Khaledi.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Right. It passes that

25

house and then goes onto the road we were speaking about.

Currently, everybody is driving on Miriam and Ali's driveway and then going onto your driveway to get to your house and to get to this site. We cannot, the homeowners, the builder, they cannot with trucks and equipment that they're going to need to bring in to build this house, cannot make the turns that are required to make from the existing entrance to the property. So, what was sited and what was approved by the Zoning Board was a construction entrance from Ridge Road that doesn't interfere with Mr. Khaledi's driveway and doesn't interfere with Mr. Marino's driveway. So it's a new curb cut on Ridge Road that gives access to the existing gravel driveway that is supposed to feed the new house and your house.

MS. WALL: Not us. We use the Khaledi driveway. That's the right-of-way.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: They have to use the other one.

MS. WALL: Correct.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So all we're doing, there are two trees that are in that area, that small area by Ridge Road, and, you know, again that was part of the Zoning Board's decision to put in that

construction entrance like their decision to put the house where they put the house and not put the house further down by the beach, so that's why we're reviewing tonight the trees to be removed in the areas that they've already sited.

MS. WALL: I get it.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So that's the construction.

This is from Salvatore Marino. It says, I'm writing this letter as the owner of an abutting property to the above proposed application. My address is 1368 Ridge Road, Laurel Hollow, New York. The new road opening which was previously approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals is located on my property and is part of the current application for tree removal. Please let this letter serve as my permission for the tree removal related to the aforementioned access road as I will not be able to make tonight's meeting.

In addition, I have no issue with the tree removal necessary for the building of the new home. If I'm needed to answer any questions, feel free to reach out to me.

MS. WALL: So "construction entrance" means that when the home is finished that entrance doesn't get used anymore? It's a forever entrance to that property?

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I don't recall the Zoning Board's decision. I do remember that -- again, you know, if they're bringing in a ten-wheel dump truck, it's not going the way that it's currently supposed to go.

MS. WALL: I follow.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So, I don't have that answer, if it has to be returned back or if that's going to be now their new entrance. I don't know that answer.

MR. AVRUTINE: I think that question will be answered when you review a copy of the plans that have been approved. If it doesn't depict it, that means it's only temporary.

MS. WALL: I got you.

And one last question. That driveway looks abandoned. It looks like it's a foreclosed property driveway or something. So I'm wondering who is responsible to care of it.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: That driveway that we're talking about that is on Sal Marino's property --

MS. WALL: Right.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: -- they have an easement on his property for that driveway. I have a similar situation where I live here in Laurel Hollow and, again, that's not our decision. This is an

1	assumption on my part, that the homeowners that use the
2	driveway maintenance and plow the driveway. It's their
3	responsibility, otherwise they can't access their
4	property. They're not allowed to go onto Mr. Khaledi's
5	property to access their property. Maybe Mr. Khaledi
6	allows you to, but
7	MS. WALL: Let me clarify that. In 1964, my
8	father bought the four acres that our house was put on.
9	That house at the top that the Khaledis live in was a
10	house owned by Admiral Perry that owned eight acres, and
11	my father wanted to be down by the water, so they split
12	it. The Gallo family bought the house with the four
13	acres and my family bought the bottom. When they did
14	that, there were rights-of-way written back then, and so
15	the Khaledi driveway we use legally.
16	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I know. I'm not making
17	any judgment.
18	MS. WALL: I'm just saying that's the way
19	we're supposed to go.
20	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: But they have to use
21	the other driveway.
22	MS. WALL: Correct.
23	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And that's what was the

easement or whatever words that were written previously.

MR. AVRUTINE: By the way, it could very well

24

25

be that in the agreements that you just mentioned there
are provisions in there about the responsibilities of
maintenance and otherwise of the easement areas that
were created by those agreements. In many instances,
agreements like that do specify who is responsible. I
don't have the agreements, so I couldn't tell you.
MC WALL, What Mn Marino did was had one hi

MS. WALL: What Mr. Marino did was had one big driveway. He split it in half, planted a line of trees and said this one on the outside is your driveway. But meanwhile, he has landscaping people come in and everything but nobody touches that driveway. So it's sort of like weeds like that.

MS. SORDELLA: We just acquired the property recently because all the legal issue related to the property. We don't live there. We are just trying to build the house, and then I think we will maintain the driveway. But we just acquired the property.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Eventually when they get their building permit and there's construction being done, the driveway is going to have to be maintained and fixed. I know I drove on it and --

MEMBER GALTIERI: And I did.

MEMBER ABRAMS: And I did.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: It's traversable.

MS. WALL: It looks shabby. I'm trying to

1 sell the property and it's like, oh, this is nice. This is just one small issue. 2 CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: What I will do because 3 I've been in contact with the homeowner, well the 4 homeowners, and also with the building superintendent of 5 that project for the homeowners, I will express your 6 concerns and ask them to clean it up. I'm going to say 7 to the building inspector, because people have to 8 maintenance their property in Laurel Hollow, and point 9 it out to him that it looks derelict. The building 10 11 inspector will address that issue. 12 And that's actually a good point. If you send a letter or just a note to the building inspector, it 13 will get addressed right away because whoever, you know, 14 15 is responsible for that property will be notified officially that they have to maintenance it. 16 17 MS. WALL: Got you. I sent my landscape guy 18 up to work on it, and Mr. Marino came out and basically 19 gave him a very hard time. So, I said don't go up there 20 again. 21 CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Does anybody else from 22 the public have any comments on this application? 23 MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect there

A motion to close the public hearing?

24

25

are none.

	<b>3</b>
1	MEMBER JONES: A motion.
2	MR. AVRUTINE: Member Jones.
3	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Second.
4	MR. AVRUTINE: Seconded by Chairman
5	Hadjandreas.
6	All in favor?
7	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Aye.
8	MEMBER ABRAMS: Aye.
9	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.
10	MEMBER JONES: Aye.
11	MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.
12	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect this
13	matter is deemed Type II under the New York State
14	Environmental Quality Review Act.
15	A motion on the application?
16	MEMBER GALTIERI: Moved.
17	MR. AVRUTINE: A motion to approve.
18	MEMBER GALTIERI: Approved.
19	MR. AVRUTINE: By Member Galtieri.
20	Do I have a second?
21	MEMBER JONES: Second.
22	MR. AVRUTINE: Seconded by Member Jones.
23	All in favor?
24	CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Aye.
25	MEMBER ABRAMS: Aye.

	Proceedings 39
1	MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.
2	MEMBER JONES: Aye.
3	MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.
4	MR. AVRUTINE: Approved in accordance with the
5	plans submitted.
6	********
7	CERTIFIED THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES
8	IN THIS CASE.
9	Para del Karria
10	Ronald Koenig RONALD H. KOENIG
11	Senior Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	