|                  |           | <u> </u>  |
|------------------|-----------|---|
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4 |           | INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW PLANNING BOARD PUBLIC HEARING December 18, 2019 7:00 p.m.  VILLAGE HALL |
| 5                |           | 1492 Laurel Hollow Road<br>Syosset, New York 11791-9603   |
| 6                |           |   |
| 7                | PRESENT:  | CHRIS HADJANDREAS, Chairman   |
| 8                |           | SCOTT ABRAMS, Member  |
| 9                |           | ELIZABETH DiBLASIO, Member  |
| 10               |           | NANCY JONES, Member   |
| 11               |           | JAMES GALTIERI, Member  |
| 12               |           |   |
| 13               | ALSO PRES | ENT:  |
| 14               |           | HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney JAMES ANTONELLI, Village Engineer   |
| 15               |           |   |
| 16               |           |   |
| 17               |           |   |
| 18               | D         | 112 2010 0 TEO 2010 Cri nno 7 Di nob Count  |
| 19               | P         | 13-2019 & T50-2019 - Grippo - 7 Birch Court   |
| 20               |           |   |
| 21               |           |   |
| 22               |           |   |
| 23               |           |   |
| 24               |           |   |
| 25               |           | RONALD KOENIG<br>OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  |

| MR. AVRUTINE: This is case P13-2019 and                  |
|--|
| T50-2019, public hearing on the application of Ted and   |
| Heidi Grippo, 7 Birch Court, Laurel Hollow, for approval |
| to remove 21 trees in connection with a building permit  |
| for proposed new in-ground pool, spa and patio.          |
| The nartial of property under application is             |

The partial of property under application is also known as Section 26, Block 1, Lot 2 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map.

The exhibits in connection with this application are as follows:

First, Affidavit of Posting from Elizabeth Kaye that the legal notice was posted on the bulletin board at Village Hall on November 26, 2019.

The next exhibit is an Affidavit of Publication that the legal notice was published in the North Shore Leader on December 4, 2019.

The next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was published to the village website on November 26, 2019.

The next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was sent to village website NEWS subscribers on December 12, 2019.

The next exhibit is an Affidavit of Mailing from the applicant indicating that the notice of public hearing was mailed on December 4, 2019.

| And the final exhibit is notification from the         |
|--|
| Nassau County Planning Commission dated November 15,   |
| 2019 that the matter is referred to the Village of     |
| aurel Hollow Planning Board to take action as it deems |
| appropriate.   |

Is there a representative here this evening on behalf of the applicant?

MR. LeBLANC: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: State your name and address for the record.

MR. LeBLANC: Jason LeBlanc from Landscapes by Bob Dohne. My address is 293 Oakland Avenue, Miller Place.

MR. AVRUTINE: You may proceed.

MR. LeBLANC: Okay. Good evening, Members of the Zoning Board.

MR. AVRUTINE: This is the Planning Board.

MR. LeBLANC: Planning Board, and anyone in the audience.

We are seeking permission to take down 18 trees for the construction of an in-ground swimming pool, spa and related landscape development. Our original design called for the take down of 21 trees at the site. However, after a meeting on November 11, 2019 with Elizabeth Bibla, the Village arborist, we modified

our plan as per her recommendations and guidance.

The trees we are seeking permission to take down are identified on our tree removal plan. There are a total of approximately 275 trees on the entire property. We are proposing adding an additional 28 new trees and we have identified these new plantings in a planting schedule on our landscape development plan which was prepared this past Monday.

In addition to the new trees, we are adding a substantial number of shrubs and ground cover plantings also identified in the planting schedule on our landscape development plan.

The location and size of the proposed swimming pool and landscape development was reviewed by the Zoning Board of Appeals and Jim Antonelli, the Village Engineer, last Thursday, December 12, 2019, and was approved.

The purpose of the ZBA hearing was to seek relief for the construction of the swimming pool and landscaping because the rear of the property meets

Moores Hill Road and is viewed by the Village as a front yard and part of the pool area development enters into steep slope category. Both of the above were reviewed and approved by the ZBA and Jim Antonelli.

Thank you for reviewing our request for the

take down of the trees.

Now I will go through --

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Do you have the landscape plan that you are going to submit?

MR. LeBLANC: Yes, and I have copies there too. But, because I guess you all pretty much know, you've been at the site, so the yellow are the trees that are to be removed, you know, as impacted by the placement of the swimming pool, which you can basically see. And this is the proposed landscape plan here.

At the meeting last Thursday, we talked about putting in a buffer to screen out Moores Hill Road between Moores Hill Road and the residence. So what I proposed is 21 Leyland cypress that are staggered 6 foot on center and elevated on a 2 1/2 to 3 foot earth mounding, you know, which will be a big, you know, improvement over screening in the wintertime.

MR. AVRUTINE: Is that going to be a berm?

Because I know there was a concern at the BZA meeting regarding having a berm and whether there would be runoff from that.

MR. LeBLANC: The direction of the water is going, if this is the east, so it basically runs east to west. So by placing a slight berm back here, that shouldn't, I think Jim would agree with me, that just

| slightly elevating, you know, across the north side    |
|--|
| won't hinder any water because you can see with the    |
| natural grade it's going east to west and we're only   |
| berming up high enough just to, you know, get a little |
| bit of elevation.                                      |

MR. AVRUTINE: Sure. I understand. Again, I wanted to reference that because the question was raised when the concept of a berm was brought up at the BZA hearing. I just want to make sure that it's covered here.

Another question I have is, has this plan been filed with the BZA yet?

MR. LeBLANC: We just got done preparing it on Monday. So I have copies, six copies of it here, or I can file it tomorrow.

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes, please do, when Nancy is here.

MR. LeBLANC: Officially done with Nancy.

MR. AVRUTINE: Because in the event the Planning Board decides to approve the application, it will have a similar condition to the one that was imposed by the Board of Zoning Appeals which is the landscaping plan be satisfactory to the Board. So what will happen in this case is, it's not typical but it's appropriate here, that the landscape plan be approved by

| 1  | both boards and they will consult as required to ensure  |
|----|--|
| 2  | that we have consensus on one plan rather than drive you |
| 3  | crazy.   |
| 4  | MR. LeBLANC: Yeah.                                       |
| 5  | MR. AVRUTINE: So, that's going to be the                 |
| 6  | issue.   |
| 7  | The other thing I'd just like you to clarify             |
| 8  | for the record is the number of trees being 21 from the  |
| 9  | original application and how many are you proposing to   |
| 10 | remove by virtue of the revised plan.                    |
| 11 | MR. LeBLANC: Okay. Going back to this, when              |
| 12 | I had met Elizabeth Bibla on site, she recommended       |
| 13 | saving 15 and 16 up on this eastern slope here, and then |
| 14 | 21 putting a rock wall so the soil wouldn't encroach     |
| 15 | into the trunk of the tree, like a rock barrier wall.    |
| 16 | MR. AVRUTINE: Just again to clarify for the              |
| 17 | record   |
| 18 | MR. LeBLANC: Then that would reduce                      |
| 19 | originally we wanted to take 21 down. So we're going to  |
| 20 | reduce that number, you know, as I stated in my opening  |
| 21 | statement to 18.   |
| 22 | MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.                                 |
| 23 | MR. LeBLANC: And, you know, that's noted on              |
| 24 | the revised remarks and tree removal schedule.           |

MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.

| 1  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Can you with the                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | updated landscape plan you pointed out the 21 Leyland    |
| 3  | cypress that are going in, I guess, in the north of the  |
| 4  | property.  |
| 5  | MR. LeBLANC: Right.                                      |
| 6  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And you noted in your              |
| 7  | opening statement you said there were 28 trees going in. |
| 8  | Can you just go through those.                           |
| 9  | MR. LeBLANC: So the 21, 8 to 9 foot heavy                |
| 10 | Leyland cypress which is a fast growing tree, very fast, |
| 11 | and then these are flowering deciduous trees in the      |
| 12 | front and those are styrax japonica. They get a pink     |
| 13 | flower.  |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Do you know how big                |
| 15 | they get at maturity?                                    |
| 16 | MR. LeBLANC: They're not a large scale tree.             |
| 17 | They're a small scale tree. So at maturity 18 to         |
| 18 | 25 feet.   |
| 19 | MR. AVRUTINE: What's the height when planted?            |
| 20 | MR. LeBLANC: They will be 8 to 9 foot. And               |
| 21 | then three more trees on the eastern slope which would   |
| 22 | be Kousa dogwood which is again a smaller scale tree.    |
| 23 | The owners, the Grippos felt                             |

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I was just counting up

24

25

-- okay.

MR. LeBLANC: So, it's the 21 plus 4, 5, 6, 7 -- 28 trees. And the rest would be shrub planting to control the erosion on the slope.

But the Grippos felt that instead of wanting maple or oak trees that are going to grow very large, you know, they felt like it would enhance their backyard to have smaller scale flowering trees since the majority of the plantings on the property are large scale oaks and maples and what have you.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: A small concern I have is, the lot is very wooded that we saw when we went there and taking down let's just say in the area where the pool is going and around the pool, behind it it's going to remain very wooded. The issue with Leylands is, they kind of need full sun. Do you think they're going to handle that area?

MR. LeBLANC: I mean, they will because they will do well in sort of a semi shade. You know, if not, we can change it over to a Green Giant arborvitae which will tolerate the shade or Cryptomeria which will tolerate the shade if you feel that the Leylands wouldn't work.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I'm not an arborist or a landscape designer. I know in my personal situation that Leylands need full sun to really grow and to

thrive.

Again, this is the screening, my personal opinion, is adequate. I'm just not sure if the type of trees, you know, what's going to last there or what's going to sustain.

MR. LeBLANC: Right.

MR. AVRUTINE: Excuse me one second.

MR. LeBLANC: As you move it, it's very much open through here, and as we move into the back, you know, it does become denser. So I would say, you know, I can go out and/or, you know, you could go out and, you know, we can see exactly how much sunlight we're talking about. Of course, I wouldn't put something --

MR. ANTONELLI: If I could just add something.

Jim Antonelli, Village Engineer.

This is very similar to what I did in my backyard after we lost a lot of our evergreens after the Sandy inundation on the south shore. I see a southern exposure here with a lot of open area and it's very similar to what I have. I put in 8-to-10-foot Leylands. I think my neighbor put in 8 footers two years later. His are taller than mine right now and they all have this very similar exposure in total privacy.

We did the same thing with smaller trees. We got rid of the invasive ailanthus and so on and put in

flowering dogwood. You don't get all the leaves in the pool. You don't get the shade hiding the pool. And I thought it worked very well.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Absolutely understood.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Absolutely understood. Everybody wants sun on their pool, and I think it looks very beautiful.

Do any of the board members have any questions?

MEMBER ABRAMS: I have one question.

I think you said there are a total of 28 trees that are being planted?

MR. LeBLANC: That we're proposing.

MEMBER ABRAMS: The tree removal plan also has a note on here saying, tree suggestions to replace trees to be removed, and here there's 21 trees, then there's all the shrubs and the perennials. And we don't have a landscape plan. This is the first I'm seeing of a landscape plan.

MR. AVRUTINE: That's going to supersede the drawing you're looking at. The way this will work, as I said before, is that if the Board is inclined to approve the application, it will have the condition that it's, the approval is conditioned --

MEMBER ABRAMS: Now it's 18 trees.

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes. The application has been

RK

# 

amended to remove 18 rather than the 21 originally requested. And, if approved, I would recommend that the Board condition that upon submission and acceptance and approval of a landscape plan that's satisfactory to the Planning Board.

And I think that, as I also indicated, it should be done in consultation with the Board of Zoning Appeals so that there's not inconsistency and that there's one uniformly approved plan by both boards that the applicant can rely upon.

MEMBER ABRAMS: That's fine. I just wanted to make sure that we realize that there was a slight inconsistency in count between what is being proposed right now and what is showing on this existing tree removal plan. Because this tree removal plan does at least address the three out of 21 trees that are now going to remain. I wouldn't have done it this way, but that's okay. But it shows 15, 16 and 21 on the tree removal schedule as not really being removed anymore, they are to remain.

MR. AVRUTINE: The reason for that is because this plan was prepared prior to the BZA meeting and so the gentleman is attempting to address what the BZA requested.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Normally, the process

RK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be when there's a slope disturbance and a tree removal, the homeowner would go in front of a Planning Board and if there is anything additional to slope and trees as it is with the Grippo residence where it's confusing everybody, it's obviously that's the backyard but for whatever reason it's shown as the front yard, and that caused them to go to ZBA. Normally, again, this would have been settled already. You would have been in front of the Planning Board first, but because of the way the schedule was and the calendar, we had to do it this way.

So what's going to happen is, we're going to, you know, discuss the plan a little further and vote on If we vote to approve it, a couple things. I would it. have to, you know, go through it with the Zoning Board Chair, make sure it's acceptable to both of our boards. And then that plan, whatever the final plan is, I don't know if the Zoning Board is going to say we want to see this or whatever gets amended, whatever the final plan is, you being the landscape designer of record, after everything is said and done and what you're attesting to planting, what you're saying is going to be planted, after the pool is built after the plants are put, the screening trees, not the shrubs and perennials and all that, just the screening is put in the ground, you're

| 1  | going to have to self-certify that they're in the ground |
|----|--|
| 2  | and alive and healthy. And that's going to be one of     |
| 3  | the conditions to closing out the permit for the Grippo  |
| 4  | family.  |
| 5  | Just so you're aware of the process.                     |
| 6  | MR. LeBLANC: Right.                                      |
| 7  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Do any of the board                |
| 8  | members have any questions about the proposed landscape  |
| 9  | plan or tree removal?                                    |
| 10 | MEMBER JONES: I do have a question.                      |
| 11 | In Ms. Bibla's letter, it mentioned that                 |
| 12 | there's going to be a temporary access road constructed. |
| 13 | Where is that going to be?                               |
| 14 | MR. LeBLANC: It's right here just so, you                |
| 15 | know, with heavy equipment coming in they don't get      |
| 16 | bogged down in the mud. And then that will be removed    |
| 17 | at the completion of the construction.                   |
| 18 | MEMBER JONES: And that won't affect Tree                 |
| 19 | No. 1 or   |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: That's coming out.                 |
| 21 | Is that the reason why Tree 1 is because of              |
| 22 | the access road, is that the reason that Tree No. 1      |
| 23 | is   |
|    |  |

MR. LeBLANC: That's part of the reason, and

it is a larger tree that is leaning in towards the pool

24

| 1  | and they're afraid it could come down in a storm.       |
|----|---|
| 2  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Is it noted on your               |
| 3  | plan, on the landscape plan, the rock wall that you're  |
| 4  | going to build around Tree No. 21, is it noted how far  |
| 5  | from the trunk? Because the tree needs to be able to    |
| 6  | breathe. You could put a rock wall there and it will be |
| 7  | dead in a year. How many feet from the trunk of the     |
| 8  | tree? Is it shown on the plan?                          |
| 9  | MR. LeBLANC: It's not shown on the plan.                |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: On the plan that you're           |
| 11 | going to submit, I mean, the landscape plan.            |
| 12 | MR. LeBLANC: It isn't noted on the landscape            |
| 13 | plan. I could combine the landscape plan with the tree  |
| 14 | removal plans then.                                     |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I mean, you're going to           |
| 16 | have to amend this and resubmit it, so I just want to   |
| 17 | see in the plan that the wall is shown                  |
| 18 | MR. LeBLANC: Right.                                     |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: and how far.                      |
| 20 | MR. LeBLANC: Right.                                     |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: In your expert opinion,           |
| 22 | how far should the wall be from the base of the tree so |
| 23 | that the tree can stay healthy?                         |
| 24 | MR. LeBLANC: We try to get it out at the                |
| 25 | drinling of the tree But that being said some           |

| 1  | driplines of major trees could go out 40 feet, then you  |
|----|--|
| 2  | have to take maybe a distance of                         |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: What's the minimum that            |
| 4  | you would say the wall would have to be for it to be     |
| 5  | effective?   |
| 6  | MR. LeBLANC: I would say 10 feet, 10 feet                |
| 7  | out, 12 feet is good, just so the roots in that distance |
| 8  | can take oxygen in.                                      |
| 9  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So in your plan, when              |
| 10 | you amend the plan, just stipulate that the rock wall    |
| 11 | that you're going to put in to retain will be at a       |
| 12 | minimum of 12 to 15 feet or, you know, from the base of  |
| 13 | the tree.  |
| 14 | MR. LeBLANC: Okay.                                       |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: And just spell that                |
| 16 | out. Again, that's going to be part of what you're       |
| 17 | going to sign off at the end that that was done and it   |
| 18 | was done properly.                                       |
| 19 | MR. LeBLANC: Right.                                      |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: That's all I have.                 |
| 21 | Is there anybody in the audience that has                |
| 22 | anything that they want to say about this application,   |
| 23 | the Grippo application?                                  |

MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect there

24

25

are none.

| 1  | Mr. Antonelli, do you have anything further to           |
|----|--|
| 2  | add?   |
| 3  | MR. ANTONELLI: Just a suggestion. Typically              |
| 4  | the plan could include a standard detail for tree        |
| 5  | protection or the welling or walling off                 |
| 6  | MR. LeBLANC: That is. I don't have the tree              |
| 7  | well, but I do have the detail for tree protection which |
| 8  | should be in your packet.                                |
| 9  | MR. ANTONELLI: I would suggest that it go on             |
| 10 | that sheet.  |
| 11 | MR. LeBLANC: And the planting details.                   |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: For those details,                 |
| 13 | please put those on the landscape plan as well. And      |
| 14 | again, the trees that are staying, please protect them   |
| 15 | so that they stay healthy.                               |
| 16 | MR. LeBLANC: Right. And I do show tree                   |
| 17 | protection, which is the heavy duty solid, circles these |
| 18 | trees out on the edge. This is a silt fence here to      |
| 19 | control erosion out there.                               |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: I see.                             |
| 21 | MR. LeBLANC: With the legend.                            |
| 22 | MR. ANTONELLI: I just have one more thing I              |
| 23 | want to add for the record because I used a genus of a   |
| 24 | specific tree, ailanthus for the record is               |
| 25 | A-I-L-A-N-T-H-U-S.                                       |

| CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: One other thing I just           |
|--|
| wanted to get on the record. From the area that the    |
| Grippos are basically planting the screening, where    |
| they're not cutting the trees, to Moores Hill, what is |
| the distance?  |

MR. LeBLANC: This is the 100-foot setback line, so where the trees are going to be inside the fence. So I would say about 130, 140 foot would be the back of the screening.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: That's completely undisturbed and to remain natural. I just wanted to get that on the record.

MR. LeBLANC: Right. And I have a limit line of clearing right here which is on your plan which should be the dashed line.

MR. AVRUTINE: If there was any intention to remove any of those trees, they would have to come back to this board for an application to seek removal.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Any additional trees, anything beyond what we're talking about, I wanted to just get on the record because it is a very wooded lot and there is a significant buffer between where they're doing the work and Moores Hill Road.

MR. AVRUTINE: Just also for your edification, there was discussion at the Board of Zoning Appeals

meeting about the possibility of moving the location of the pool further south to accommodate saving some additional trees. But I think that the Board decided to approve it in its present location due to some slope issues and utility issues that were explained by the applicant's representatives.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: That's another reason why a lot of times the Planning Board goes before the Zoning Board because we may say shift the pool this way to save a tree, and because the Zoning Board has already sited the pool, the patios, everything, we can't now make those changes.

MR. LeBLANC: Right.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: So --

MR. LeBLANC: But as was discussed at that meeting, there is a ridge that runs, you know, east to west, and because of the length of the pool, even moving it 10 or 15 foot, we still have to take that ridge out to do the proper grading, and it wouldn't make a difference.

CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Absolutely.

MR. AVRUTINE: We need a motion to close the public hearing.

Member DiBlasio.

MEMBER JONES: Second.

|    | 110000411195   |
|----|--|
| 1  | MR. AVRUTINE: Second by Member Jones.                    |
| 2  | All in favor?  |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Aye.                               |
| 4  | MEMBER ABRAMS: Aye.                                      |
| 5  | MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.                                    |
| 6  | MEMBER JONES: Aye.                                       |
| 7  | MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.                                    |
| 8  | MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that                |
| 9  | this matter is deemed Type II under the New York State   |
| 10 | Environmental Quality Review Act.                        |
| 11 | May I have a motion on the application.                  |
| 12 | MEMBER GALTIERI: Motion.                                 |
| 13 | MR. AVRUTINE: Motion to approve.                         |
| 14 | MEMBER DiBLASIO: Second.                                 |
| 15 | MR. AVRUTINE: By Member DiBlasio.                        |
| 16 | And the conditions are submission of landscape           |
| 17 | plan to the satisfaction of the Planning Board and also  |
| 18 | the self-certification by the applicant's professional   |
| 19 | that the landscape plan is being fully implemented once  |
| 20 | completed, and the usual conditions that we also         |
| 21 | attached.  |
| 22 | MEMBER ABRAMS: Do we need to specifically                |
| 23 | reference that we're talking about Revision Four of this |
| 24 | proposed site plan?                                      |
| 25 | MR. AVRUTINE: No. I don't think that's                   |
|    |  |

| 1  | necessary.  |
|----|---|
| 2  | MEMBER ABRAMS: Okay.  |
| 3  | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Because what he has   |
| 4  | there is going to supersede that.   |
| 5  | MR. LeBLANC: I do have six copies of what I   |
| 6  | have here, if you want.   |
| 7  | MR. AVRUTINE: No, because you're going to   |
| 8  | resubmit.   |
| 9  | May I have a vote on the motion by Member   |
| 10 | Galtieri seconded by Member DiBlasio. All in favor?   |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN HADJANDREAS: Aye.  |
| 12 | MEMBER ABRAMS: Aye.   |
| 13 | MEMBER DiBLASIO: Aye.   |
| 14 | MEMBER JONES: Aye.  |
| 15 | MEMBER GALTIERI: Aye.   |
| 16 | MR. AVRUTINE: Approved as conditioned.  |
| 17 | MR. LeBLANC: Thank you.   |
| 18 | **********  |
| 19 | CERTIFIED THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES |
| 20 | IN THIS CASE.   |
| 21 | Ronald Koenig   |
| 22 | RONALD H. KOENIG $^{\prime\prime}$  |
| 23 | Official Court Reporter   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |