| 1 | INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW |
|----|---|
| 2 | BOARD OF ZONING PUBLIC HEARING |
| 3 | February 28, 2017 7:30 p.m. |
| 4 | VILLAGE HALL |
| 5 | 1492 Laurel Hollow Road Syosset, New York 11791-9603 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | PRESENT: |
| 8 | RUSSEL MOHR, CHAIRMAN |
| 9 | JEFFREY BLUMIN, MEMBER |
| 10 | CINDY KAUFMAN, MEMBER |
| 11 | CHRIS HADJANDREAS, MEMBER |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 15 | HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney |
| 16 | |
| 17 | KEVIN MURPHY, KEAN LANDSCAPING, INC. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | PUBLIC HEARING ON ZV12-2016 |
| 21 | SULLIVAN - 1392 Ridge Road |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | MARY ANNE COPPINS OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER |
| | |

| 1 | MR. AVRUTINE: The next Public |
|----|--|
| 2 | Hearing is case ZV12-2016, the Public |
| 3 | Hearing on the application of Kean |
| 4 | Landscaping Incorporated, on behalf of |
| 5 | Robert and Kandy Sullivan to install a |
| 6 | pool, spa, patio and terrace at 1392 |
| 7 | Ridge Road, where the proposed total |
| 8 | surface coverage exceeds 20 percent of |
| 9 | the lot area in violation of Section |
| 10 | 145-5(A)(1)(d) of the Laurel Hollow |
| 11 | Village Code. 22.34 percent coverage |
| 12 | exists, and 23.96 percent coverage is |
| 13 | proposed. The property under |
| 14 | application is designated as Section 26 |
| 15 | Block C Block 2121 on the Land and Tax |
| 16 | Map of Nassau County. |
| 17 | The exhibits in connection with |
| 18 | this application are as follows: |
| 19 | First, notification from the Nassau |
| 20 | County Planning Commission dated January |
| 21 | 12, 2017, that the above matter is |
| 22 | referred to the Laurel Hollow Board of |
| 23 | Zoning Appeals for action as it deems |
| 24 | appropriate. The next exhibit is the |
| 25 | Legal Notice dated February 10, 2017. |

| 1 | The next exhibit is an Affidavit of |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Posting from Nicholas Porcaro that the |
| 3 | legal notice was posted conspicuously on |
| 4 | the bulletin board at the main entrance |
| 5 | of Village Hall on February 17, 2017. |
| 6 | The next exhibit is an Affidavit of |
| 7 | Publication from James Slater stating |
| 8 | that the legal notice was published in |
| 9 | the Oyster Bay Guardian on February 17, |
| LO | 2017. The next exhibit is a document |
| L1 | that confirms that the legal notice was |
| L2 | published to the Village website and |
| L3 | sent to Village website subscribers on |
| L 4 | February 17, 2017. The next exhibit is |
| L5 | an Affidavit from the Deputy Clerk |
| L6 | stating that the legal notice was mailed |
| L7 | on February 16, 2017 to the agencies |
| L8 | listed on the affidavit. The next |
| L9 | exhibit is an Affidavit of Mailing from |
| 20 | the applicant indicating that the Notice |
| 21 | of Public Hearing was mailed on February |
| 22 | 15, 2017 to the individuals named on the |
| 23 | affidavit. |
| 24 | Now you may proceed. |
| 25 | If you would just give your name |
| | |

1 and address. 2 MR. MURPHY: My name is Kevin Murphy, Kean Landscaping, 5 Main Street, 3 Cold Spring Harbor, New York. 5 Good evening, Mr. Chairman and 6 Members of the Board. Mr. And Mrs. Sullivan bought this 8 house recently. It's new to them, a new community and they wanted to put in a 10 pool. Unbeknownst to them, the lot 11 coverage was an issue. 12 This was pointed out to us when we 13 made the application to the Building 14 Department. We figured our square 15 footages based on our own calculations 16 and realized once we made a submission that a road that the Village -- the 17 18 driveway, excuse me, that surfaces a 19 neighbor was figured into the lot coverage, which we didn't calculate. 20 So 2.1 we apologize for that. 22 I think the road that was approved 23 back in the 70's for the driveway it had 24 unintended consequences, because back in 2.5 the 70's there was no lot coverage. The

| 1 | lot coverage didn't come into the |
|----|--|
| 2 | Village until the late 90's, so 20 years |
| 3 | prior to that, that road was allowed. |
| 4 | So it's an unfortunate situation, |
| 5 | unintended consequences, so that's |
| 6 | calculated in our lot coverage. |
| 7 | It's a modest swimming pool, only |
| 8 | 16 feet wide. There is no pool on the |
| 9 | property, there is nothing on the |
| 10 | property, so we are looking for a minor |
| 11 | relief from this square footage |
| 12 | calculations of less than 2 percent. |
| 13 | And the hardship is this road, is this |
| 14 | driveway. |
| 15 | MR. AVRUTINE: What would the |
| 16 | coverage be if you subtracted out with |
| 17 | the pool, but subtracted the roadway. |
| 18 | MR. MURPHY: It's currently a |
| 19 | little bit over. |
| 20 | MR. AVRUTINE: I understand. |
| 21 | I guess my question is would you be |
| 22 | in compliance with the proposed pool |
| 23 | without |
| 24 | MR. MURPHY: If the road was not |
| 25 | calculated, we would be more in |
| | |

| 1 | compliance than we are now. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. AVRUTINE: I understand. The |
| 3 | question is would you be below the 20 |
| 4 | percent. |
| 5 | MR. MURPHY: We'd be at 19.9. |
| 6 | MR. AVRUTINE: Something like that. |
| 7 | MR. MURPHY: So the road pushes us |
| 8 | over. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: You show the road |
| 10 | as the neighbor's driveway is 4350. |
| 11 | MR. MURPHY: Right. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: That brings you |
| 13 | down to around 16,000, 17,000 square |
| 14 | feet in total. |
| 15 | MR. MURPHY: I think the road |
| 16 | accounts for almost 5 percent of the |
| 17 | lot. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: But you don't have |
| 19 | that broken down. |
| 20 | MR. MURPHY: It's a tough |
| 21 | calculation. But without the road, |
| 22 | because we are taking out the patio and |
| 23 | a gravel driveway that is on the plan |
| 24 | itself, we would be below our 20 percent |
| 25 | by a fraction. |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: The existing |
|----|---|
| 2 | conditions already have a 22 percent. |
| 3 | MR. MURPHY: Right. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: Was that a variance |
| 5 | or that was |
| 6 | MR. MURPHY: I don't know how that |
| 7 | happened. Perhaps, there is very little |
| 8 | in the house, perhaps, that got by the |
| 9 | previous owner because no work has been |
| 10 | done there on the outside. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: So we don't know if |
| 12 | there was an existing variance for that |
| 13 | lot coverage. |
| 14 | MR. AVRUTINE: I don't know. It is |
| 15 | not in the materials that we were |
| 16 | furnished. |
| 17 | MR. MURPHY: The was only a patio |
| 18 | there. Maybe it was done without a |
| 19 | permit, I'm not sure when the house was |
| 20 | bought. Nothing has been done yet, this |
| 21 | is the first application. |
| 22 | MEMBER HADJANDREAS: The part of |
| 23 | the driveway that you are moving, that |
| 24 | was in the original calculation? |
| 25 | MR. MURPHY: That's in the original |
| | |

| 1 | calculations. That driveway almost |
|----|--|
| 2 | and it's a little piece of gravel. |
| 3 | That's really just about what pushes it |
| 4 | over the 20 percent to begin with. |
| 5 | Perhaps that was just left after |
| 6 | construction of the house. I'm not sure |
| 7 | if that was ever permitted. So perhaps |
| 8 | those permits did come up to 20 percent |
| 9 | originally. |
| 10 | Then we're taking another patio out |
| 11 | which further reduces our square |
| 12 | footage. The patio off the back is |
| 13 | going to come out and is going to be |
| 14 | replaced with something that is smaller. |
| 15 | So it's a modest application. The |
| 16 | pool is only 16 feet wide. It's a tough |
| 17 | little lot. Everything else complies, |
| 18 | its just this square footage business |
| 19 | that is giving us a problem. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: Any questions from |
| 21 | the Board? |
| 22 | MEMBER BLUMIN: No. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: Would anyone from |
| 24 | the public have any questions or would |
| 25 | like to speak on this matter? |

| 1 | Step up, please, sir, and would you |
|----|--|
| 2 | give your name and address. |
| 3 | MR. RUSH: Larry Rush, 1390 Ridge |
| 4 | Road. |
| 5 | I just would like to see the plan |
| 6 | if that's possible. |
| 7 | MR. MURPHY: Sure. |
| 8 | That driveway goes across your |
| 9 | property, too. |
| 10 | MR. RUSH: That's an easement on my |
| 11 | property and yours. So the addition is |
| 12 | this pool? |
| 13 | MR. MURPHY: This here above the |
| 14 | wall, that road drops down. |
| 15 | MR. RUSH: Okay, no issue, thanks. |
| 16 | MR. AVRUTINE: Does anyone else |
| 17 | wish to be heard? |
| 18 | Let the record reflect no one |
| 19 | further responded. |
| 20 | Motion to close the public hearing? |
| 21 | MEMBER KAUFMAN: Motion. |
| 22 | MEMBER HADJANDREAS: Second. |
| 23 | MR. AVRUTINE: Motion by Member |
| 24 | Kaufman, seconded by Member Hadjandreas. |
| 25 | All in favor? |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: Aye. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MEMBER HADJANDREAS: Aye. |
| 3 | MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye. |
| 4 | MEMBER BLUMIN: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record |
| 6 | reflect that this matter is deemed Type |
| 7 | II under the New York State |
| 8 | Environmental Quality Review Act. |
| 9 | Motion on the application. |
| 10 | MEMBER KAUFMAN: Motion to approve. |
| 11 | MR. AVRUTINE: As presented? |
| 12 | MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. AVRUTINE: Motion by Member |
| 14 | Kaufman. |
| 15 | Second? |
| 16 | MEMBER HADJANDREAS: I would |
| 17 | second. |
| 18 | MR. AVRUTINE: Member Hadjandreas. |
| 19 | All in favor? |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN MOHR: Aye. |
| 21 | MEMBER HADJANDREAS: Aye. |
| 22 | MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye. |
| 23 | MEMBER BLUMIN: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. AVRUTINE: Application approved |
| 25 | as presented. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. MURPHY: Thank you very much. |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | * * * * * |
| 4 | |
| 5 | CERTIFICATION: |
| 6 | I, Mary Anne Coppins, Court |
| 7 | Reporter, hereby certify that the above |
| 8 | transcript is a true and accurate copy |
| 9 | of the minutes taken by myself |
| 10 | stenographically in the within matter. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Mary Anne Coppins |
| 16 | Court Reporter |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |