		_	L
1		INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF TRUSTEES	
2		PUBLIC HEARING March 11, 2020	
3		7:00 p.m.	
4 5		VILLAGE HALL 1492 Laurel Hollow Road Syosset, New York 11791-9603	
6			
7	PRESENT:	DANIEL DeVITA, Mayor JEFFREY NEMSHIN, Deputy Mayor	
8		KEVIN JUSKO, Trustee JEFFREY MIRITELLO, Trustee	
9		RICHARD NICKLAS, Trustee MARTIN NOVICK, Trustee	
10		NICHOLAS TSAFOS, Trustee	
11			
12	ALSO PRES	ENT:	
13		HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney ELIZABETH KAYE, Clerk/Treasurer	
14 15		NANCY POPPER, Deputy Clerk and Court Clerk MICHAEL MCNERNEY, Superintendent of Buildings	
16			
17			
18		Introductory Local Law E-2020	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23		RONALD KOENIG OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24			
25			

MR. AVRUTINE: This public hearing is in
connection with Introductory Local Law E-2020. This
local law pertains to building permit, certificate of
occupancy, and plan review fees.

The exhibits in connection with this local law are as follows:

First, Affidavit of Publication from the North Shore Leader that the legal ad was published on March 4, 2020.

The next exhibit is an affidavit from Elizabeth Kaye that the legal notice was posted at Village Hall on February 28, 2020.

The next exhibit is an e-mail from the Village Clerk to the Mayor and Board of Trustees sent on February 28, 2020, with the local law in final form attached.

The next exhibit is confirmation that the Notice of Public Hearing was published to the Village of Laurel Hollow website on March 4, 2020.

The next exhibit is confirmation that the Notice of Public Hearing was sent to Village website NEWS subscribers on March 4, 2020.

And the final exhibit is a letter from the Nassau County Planning Commission dated March 11, 2020, that the local law is referred to the Village to take

action as it deems appropriate.

The current setup in the Village Code sets forth building permit fees, certificate of occupancy fees, and plan review fees as part of the code itself. So, all the amounts for the various items that are charged, all the fees that are charged, are set forth in the Code.

what this law does is it allows the Board to set or revise or modify fees by resolution which would obviate the need to amend the Code every time the Board thought it was appropriate to change fees. So that is what this law does. It allows, rather than by local law, fees to be modified by a simple resolution of the Board of Trustees.

MAYOR De VITA: Just understand, when we have to amend the Village Code, it's an expensive process. So, we have to pay for the paper code to be changed, for the e-code to be changed. We have to pay for public hearing, for our stenographer who is the best in the business and worth every penny. So by the change that we are proposing it just basically says the fees for these different categories will be determined by a resolution of the Board of Trustees.

So just in connection with that, and we'll take that up after this, the genesis of this was we

needed to reexamine our fees because after a survey was			
done of a number of villages, we were found to be at the			
lower end of our fee schedule. And then looking at our			
own code, the actual specific fees were set forth in the			
code which makes it very cumbersome and expensive to			
change each time we want to do that. So that was the			
genesis of this and why the proposed amendment is in the			
language that it's in.			

TRUSTEE NOVICK: Is there a shot that this could be contested by somebody who thinks that the fees are exorbitant or whatever?

MAYOR De VITA: After the public hearing, we'll have a discussion and can set the fees. So this is just -- this right now is just to change the law in order so instead of setting forth all individual categories, it's just a reference to resolution which will set the fees, which is legal, which is done even in our own code in many different areas for the same purpose, for the same reason. You don't want to have to go through the --

TRUSTEE NOVICK: I understand.

MAYOR De VITA: -- the expense and time of changing and amending the Code.

TRUSTEE NOVICK: Nobody can come back to it and think it's not legal?

1	MAYOR De VITA: Howard will tell you.
2	MR. AVRUTINE: The process that the Board is
3	following in enacting this is perfectly appropriate and
4	legal. I think what, Trustee Novick, what you're
5	raising is, whether a particular fee would be excessive
6	and someone would have the right to raise that because
7	the law requires that fees charged by a municipality for
8	a service such as processing a building permit fee must
9	bear a reasonable relationship to the amount of work
10	provided required, I should say. So, if someone were
11	to say, well, I believe that the building permit fee is
12	excessive, they could bring a lawsuit in Supreme Court
13	in Mineola contesting the appropriateness of that.
14	TRUSTEE NOVICK: But this is a new precedent
15	that we're setting; is it not?
16	MR. AVRUTINE: It's not a precedent. But what
17	it's doing is, anyone will have access to what the fees
18	are, they are just not printed in the Village Code.
19	TRUSTEE NOVICK: By changing the law.
20	Normally it would always require, before that, the
21	Village had printed or had the fees open. Now it's
22	subject to
23	MAYOR De VITA: Which we've changed in a
24	number of other areas

TRUSTEE NOVICK: Okay.

25

MAYOR De VITA: -- just so we don't have to go through this.

One other thing just to add to what Howard is saying. Even the fees that we're going to propose after this public hearing on a resolution, we're not at the top of the food chain even with the new fees. So I don't think they are at all contestable, but people love to sue these days. God bless them. But I don't think we're anywhere near the limit to what is contestable. So the actual action of what we're doing, if you are asking me and asking Howard, is perfectly proper.

TRUSTEE NOVICK: Okay.

MR. AVRUTINE: This is a procedural -- I just want to make sure you understand it. This is a procedural change in the Code so that, let's assume that the Board wanted to change the fees that are set forth under the Chapter 22 or 23 which pertains to building matters, in order to do that, a local law would have to be passed. This law will allow it to be done by a simple resolution. It's a streamline process in order to change the fees. That's all this law does.

TRUSTEE NOVICK: Okay.

MR. AVRUTINE: The issue of what the fees are will be the subject of a different matter taken up by the Board when it takes up the issue of passing the

1	resolution establishing fees we're choosing.
2	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: The fees are still posted,
3	right?
4	MAYOR De VITA: Of course.
5	MR. AVRUTINE: I believe what will happen, on
6	consultation with the Village Clerk and the Deputy
7	Village Clerk, is that assuming the fees are modified,
8	then there'll be a document prepared which lists all of
9	the fees. So when someone comes in, for argument sake,
LO	to get a building permit application, they're going to
L1	be furnished a list of fees along with it so it's not a
L2	question of not disclosing it and, depending upon what
L3	the Board
L4	TRUSTEE NOVICK: I meant, Howard, which you
L5	did explain and the Mayor as well, the resolution itself
L6	is no problem legally.
L7	MR. AVRUTINE: No, it's not.
L8	TRUSTEE NOVICK: I thought that that would be
L9	contested.
20	MR. AVRUTINE: No. That's perfectly lawful.
21	MAYOR De VITA: Any other questions by the
22	Board?
23	Any questions from the audience?
24	TOMY BITON: I have one question. So I was
25	here last month and you guys talked about the fees

1	because in relation to other villages we're kind of low.
2	My question is, some of the fees again what you
3	suggested, I remember one fee that was like 150 and is
4	going to be 750 now
5	MAYOR De VITA: Wait. In terms of specific
6	fees, we're going to talk about them as soon as we pass
7	this section of the ordinance. We'll end the public
8	hearing and then we're going to talk about resolution
9	with specific fees. So hold your question until then.
10	TOMY BITON: Okay.
11	MAYOR De VITA: Any other questions?
12	Okay.
13	MR. AVRUTINE: Entertain a motion to close the
14	public hearing?
15	MAYOR De VITA: Trustee Nicklas. Second,
16	Trustee Jusko.
17	All in favor?
18	DEPUTY MAYOR NEMSHIN: Aye.
19	TRUSTEE JUSKO: Aye.
20	TRUSTEE MIRITELLO: Aye.
21	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: Aye.
22	TRUSTEE NOVICK: Aye.
23	TRUSTEE TSAFOS: Aye.
24	MAYOR De VITA: Aye.
25	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that

1	this matter is deemed Type II under the New York State
2	Environmental Quality Review Act.
3	Let me just ask Liz a question. Was that
4	change made in that sub B?
5	THE CLERK: To be served, correct.
6	MR. AVRUTINE: So, a motion to adopt the local
7	law as presented?
8	MAYOR De VITA: I'll move. Second, Trustee
9	Miritello.
10	All in favor?
11	DEPUTY MAYOR NEMSHIN: Aye.
12	TRUSTEE JUSKO: Aye.
13	TRUSTEE MIRITELLO: Aye.
14	TRUSTEE NICKLAS: Aye.
15	TRUSTEE NOVICK: Aye.
16	TRUSTEE TSAFOS: Aye.
17	MAYOR De VITA: Aye.
18	**************************************
19	ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES IN THIS CASE.
20	IN THIS CASE.
21	Ronald Koenig
22	RONALD H. KOENIG Senior Court Reporter
23	Sellioi Coult Repolitei
24	
25	