INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
March 19, 2019
7:30 p.m.

HEARINGS -
ZV5-2017 \& ZS6-2017: Poll
Variances are needed for side yard setback and heating system. Approval is needed for revised Site Plan

PRESENT:
Russell Mohr, Chairman
Jeffrey Blumin, Board Member
Cindy Kaufman, Board Member
Louis Lebedin, Board Member
Vincent Parziale, Board Member
James Antonelli, Village Engineer
Howard Avrutine, Esq., Village Attorney

MR. AVRUTINE: Next public hearing case ZV5-2017 and ZS6-2017. The reopening of the public hearing on application of Edward Butt on behalf of George Poll to revise site plan previously approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals where the proposed amended plan deviate from the plan previously approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals on 11/29/2017.

An additional wrap-around deck on the west side of the building will violate section 145-5B2 of Laurel Hollow Village Code in that an accessory building shall be set back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting a street. The proposed setback is 14.85 feet; previously approved setback was 20.85 feet.

Lastly the installation of a propane-fueled fireplace will violate section 145-5A1C of the Laurel Hollow Village Code in that no accessory building shall have a heating system.

The property under application designated as section 26 block C lot 258 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map.

The exhibits in connection with this application are as follows, first notification
from the Nassau County Planning Commission January 14, 2019 that the matter is referred from Laurel Hollow Board of Zoning Appeals action as it deemed appropriate.

Next exhibit is the legal notice of public hearing dated February 27, 2019.

Next exhibit affidavit of posting from Nick Porcaro that the legal notice was posted conspicuously on the bulletin for the main entrance to the village hall on March 8, 2019.

Affidavit of publication illustrating that the legal notice was published in the North Shore Leader on March 6, 2019.

Next exhibit affidavit from the Deputy Clerk stating that the notice of public hearing was mailed to other interested parties on February 28, 2019.

Next exhibit consists of notice public hearing was published to the Village of Laurel Hollow website and sent to village website NEWS subscribers on March 4, 2019.

Next exhibit affidavit of mailing from the an applicant indicating notice of public hearing was mailed on March 4, 2019 to the person named
in the affidavit.
Next exhibit is prior variance copy of the prior variance approved by the board on 11/29/2017.

Next exhibit is an amended site plan prepared by Edward Butt architect dated 6/27/2016 most recently updated 11/9/2018.

Finally the final exhibit is a letter from James Antonelli, village engineer, dated February 7, 2019 regarding the proposed slope disturbance.

Mr. Butt, if you wouldn't mind just signing in on the sign-in sheet. Have your clients do the same, if you would.

MR. BUTT: Edward Butt from Edward Butt Architect, 82 Haddon Road, New Hyde Park, New York 11040.

Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the zoning board, I have two. I'd like to present a couple of elevations to compare what was to what is so that everybody has a copy of it. May make things a little simpler. So to sort of understand, if I may.

MR. AVRUTINE: Are these reproductions?

MR. BUTT: You already have them. Just to simplify it a little bit. Just so you can see what we are proposing from a visual standpoint.

So while we were under construction, we noticed that there was a tremendous view facing out in the other direction of this already approved accessory structure. And we felt that it would be in the best interest of the homeowner to have sort of a wraparound view as far as the esthetic is concerned.

I think you can see also that it sort of balances the additional structure itself. We're not increasing any floor area here. The floor area is remaining the same. And it's just a little bit more of a visual to gain the spectacular view that the village affords all its residents. That's really the request for that.

And as far as the conversion from electric to gas, both fireplaces produce heat. The electric fireplace does as well. It's very minimal. As far as heat is concerned we don't plan on using this during the winter time or any of the colder months of the year.

But the electric fireplace when you look at it it's sort of like cartoon almost when you look at it. It's not an of actual fire. It's just -- it doesn't give the same effect that gas fireplace would give. And certainly we have all volted ceilings in here the amount of heat that puts up would not heat this space.

This space is practically enclosed on at least three sides with glass. You turn this thing on and it's 30 degrees out and it's not heating the space. It's not going to serve as a heating element or encourage a heating in any, way, manner shape or form.

It's just again it looks like a fire as opposed to the electric heater which is sort of visual thing, but it does give off 11,000 BTUs electric one. And the gas one gives off about 11,000. It's very minimal change in the case and it's not the intent of the homeowner to use this as some sort of winter retreat.

I would imagine after the time in the winter it's probably dangerous to go down that common road anyway. So it's really -- we're really looking to sort of request just the fact
make it feel more like a fire, so.
But like I said, the gas fireplace -- and I have brought brochures if you would like to look at them. You can pass them around. Those are the two. One with the gas it says it on the front but --

MR. AVRUTINE: Do you have extra copy of your rendering so I can mark as an exhibit. I will mark this item as well.

MR. BUTT: So we're here to respectfully request the granting of both variances. We don't believe it has any impact on the community. We believe that it's certainly not going to encourage any winter activity down there. That's not the case. It's not their intention at all.

Even if it has to be some sort of conditional order we'd be glad to accept that as such. And we're just requesting those two changes so.

MR. MOHR: You had said electric was how many?

MR. BUTT: 11,000.
MR. MOHR: And how many with gas?

MR. BUTT: 10,000 --
MR. MOHR: 10 and 11.
MR. BUTT: Yes.
MR. MOHR: The other question would be is whether the electric approved by the Building Department prior because you do not believe it was in front of this board.

MR. BUTT: It was fireplace was always on there but it was told to us by the Building Department that it had to be electric.

MR. MOHR: Fair enough.
MR. AVRUTINE: For the record, the rendering document that the applicant submitted will be marked as applicant's exhibit one. The brochure for the gas fireplace will be marked as applicant's exhibit two. And the brochure for the electric fireplace will be marked as applicant's exhibit three. Thank you.

MR. MOHR: Any questions from the board?
MR. LEBEDIN: I'm confused. Like what was the reason why you were told it had to be electric?

MR. BUTT: We are not allowed to have gas fire place. That's in the code. That's the
interpretation that that gas is not permitted but electric was.

MR. MOHR: I discussed this with counsel earlier with regards to that. And I said it was a cold issue. And the -- Howard, you can explain it to the board.

MR. AVRUTINE: I believe that the building inspector interpreted the codes such as an electric unit such as this does not constitute heating. Whereas the actual fire whether it be birch wood or a gas fireplace, would constitute heating. And, therefore, in order to have that would require an approval by this board since the code does preclude heated accessory structures.

MR. MOHR: Therefore it kicked to us.
MR. LEBEDIN: Heating issues aspects --
MR. AVRUTINE: It has nothing to do with safety or nothing. Building code is a separate issue. Which the applicant must meet in all respects.

This is a zoning issue because our zoning code in the village does not allow an accessory structure to be heated. And the building
inspector interpreted the code as including this type of fireplace as a heating system. And therefore to have it would require a variance.

MR. MOHR: Any questions of the board?
MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Butt, did you address the slope issue?

MR. BUTT: I think we have -- Mr. Antonelli and I looked at it, we felt that we were not touching the slope at all from what we're doing. Except for the supports themselves for the additional zone. I believe that the slope is minimal in its effect. I guess Mr. Antonelli could add to that if he'd like.

MR. ANTONELLI: Yes, I believe one of the exhibits listed was my letter to the building inspector dated February 7. Where I had indicated essentially that that I did review this as a modification to the slope application. And I thought that the only ground disturbance would be the excavations portions, if you will, to install column supports.

I really didn't think there was any other type of, you know, certainly didn't impact the grading, filling or any other slope disturbance
other than that. And I thought it was minor in my opinion.

MR. MOHR: Any questions of the board?
MR. PARZIALE: No.
MR. MOHR: Public? Any public any questions.

MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that there are none.

Do we have a motion to close the public hearing?

MR. PARZIALE: Motion to close public hearing.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Parziale. May we have a second?

MR. LEBEDIN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: Second by member Lebedin.
All in favor?
MS. KAUFMAN: Aye.
MR. MOHR: Aye.
MR. AVRUTINE: Do the variance application first.

Let the record reflect that the application is type two under New York State Environmental Quality Review Act.

May I have a motion on the variance application?

MS. KAUFMAN: So moved.
MR. AVRUTINE: Motion to approve by member Kaufman.

Do we have a second?
MR. PARZIALE: Second.
MR. LEBEDIN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: Member Lebedin.

All in favor?

MR. MOHR: Aye.
(Board says aye).
MR. AVRUTINE: Approved.
Now on to the slope application.
Motion for the board to declare itself lead agency under New York State Environmental Quality Review Act. May I have a motion?

MR. LEBEDIN: Motion to.
MR. AVRUTINE: That would be motion by member Lebedin.

Second?

MS. KAUFMAN: Second.

MR. AVRUTINE: By member Kaufman.
All in favor?

MR. MOHR: Aye.
MR. PARZIALE: Aye.
MR. AVRUTINE: And now I need a motion to declare the matter unlisted under New York State Environmental Quality Review Act.

MS. KAUFMAN: Motion.
MR. AVRUTINE: That's member Kaufman.
May I have a second?
MR. LEBEDIN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: Member Lebedin.
All in favor?
(Board said aye).
MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Antonelli, if I may have your recommendation regarding the SEQR analysis please?

MR. ANTONELLI: Yes, as part of my review I completed the short Environment Assessment Form part two which is entitled Impact Assessment. I run through the list of questions on the form as provided by New York State DEC. And I have concluded that based on the plan submitted the modification to the application, it is my professional opinion that the action would not result in any significant adverse environmental
impact.
MR. AVRUTINE: Therefore, do you recommend that the board adopt a negative declaration in connection with this slope disturbance application?

MR. ANTONELLI: Yes, I would and I took liberty of signing part two and submit that for the board's consideration.

MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you very much, Mr. Antonelli.

At this time, I would ask that the board make a motion to regarding the environmental impact and that it adopts negative declaration.

MR. PARZIALE: Make that motion.
MR. AVRUTINE: Negative declaration and motion is made by member Parziale.

Second please.
MS. KAUFMAN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: By member Kaufman.
All in favor.
( Board said aye.)
MR. AVRUTINE: And motion on the application for slope disturbance.

MS. KAUFMAN: Motion to approve.

MR. AVRUTINE: Motion to approve by member Kaufman.

Second.
MR. LEBEDIN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: All in favor.
(Board sad aye)
MR. AVRUTINE: Approved.
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