VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, on March 19, 2019 at 7:30 p.m. relative to the following matter:		
Applicant: Vincent J. Amato On behalf of: himself		
Property Located at: 38 Vista Drive, Laurel Hollow		
Sec25_ Blk64_ Lot(s)17		
Zoning District: Residential Case #: ZV6-2018		
Requirement for which Variance is requested: maximum permitted floor area ratio to be greater than 0.09. Proposed F.A.R. = 0.1032		
Applicable Section(s) of Chapter 145-5(D)(4)		
At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated.		
1) Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties?		
yes no _X_ Reason: <u>The portions of the dwelling causing the need for the</u> relief sought will not be visible from surrounding properties.		
Teller sought will not be visible from surrounding properties.		
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance? yes X no But on the facts of this case, denial is not mandated.		
3) Is the variance requested substantial? yes no _X Reason: _The relief sought is reasonable under the specific facts		
of this case.		
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?		
yes no _X Reason: See #1 and #3 above.		
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created? yes X no Reason: See #2 above.		

The Board of Appeals, after taking into consid	leration the above five factors, finds that:	
The benefit to the applicant does not ou and therefore the variance requested is	atweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community denied.	
X The benefit to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community, and the Board of Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of floor area ratio		
	de is the minimum variance that should be haracter of the neighborhood and the health, safety and effects will not result to surrounding properties.	
and for these reasons the variance is granted with conditions as indicated.		
CONDITIONS: The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:		
Condition #1: N/A		
Adverse impact to be minimized:		
Condition #2:		
Adverse impact to be minimized:		
Condition #3:		
Adverse impact to be minimized:		
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW / BZA		
Majority vote not obtained. No action taken.		
ZV6-2018 Case #	Date Signature, Chairman, BZA	
Record of Vote on Motion as stated above:	Member Name Aye Nay	
Motion to Approve by Member Parziale Seconded by Chairman Mohr	Chairman Mohr X Member Blumin Excused Member Kaufman Abstain Member I ehedin Abstain	

Filed in the Office of the
Village Clerk on the 18
day of April 2019.
Signed: Name of April 2019.