INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW
BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
March 19, 2019
7:30 p.m.

HEARINGS -
ZV2-2019 \& ZS3-2019 \& F1-2019: Zarro
Variances are needed for side yard setback.
Approval is needed to disturb slope and install
fill

PRESENT:
Russell Mohr, Chairman
Jeffrey Blumin, Board Member
Cindy Kaufman, Board Member
Louis Lebedin, Board Member
Vincent Parziale, Board Member
James Antonelli, Village Engineer
Howard Avrutine, Esq., Village Attorney

MR. AVRUTINE: First public hearing of the evening is ZV2 of 2019 ZS3 of 2019 and F1 of 2019. The application of Michael Rant on behalf of Donny Zarro to install a pool, patio, retaining walls and to maintain a shed at 43 Springwood Path where: One, the proposed accessory structures are not set back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting a street as required by section 145-5B.

Two, of the Laurel Hollow Village Code. Proposed setbacks are as follows: The pool patio side yard setback is 26.2 feet, the pool side yard setback is 38.2 feet. The pool equipment side yard setback is 23.9 feet. And the existing shed side yard setback is 29.2 feet.

Secondly, it's seeking relief in connection with retaining walls that are over three feet in height which shall not be located within the required setback areas as required by section 145-18B of the Laurel Hollow Village Code. The proposed setbacks are as follows: The stacked stone wall side set yard setback is 34.9 feet. The east retaining wall side yard setback is
18.5 feet. And the west retaining wall side yard setback is 32.4.

In addition there is requested disturbed steep slopes and very steep slopes in connection with the proposed property improvements. The property is designated as section 14, block 25, lot 15 on the Land and Tax Map of Nassau County.

The exhibits in connection with tonight's hearing are as follows: First notification from the Nassau County Planning Commission dated February 22, 2019, that the matter is referred to the Laurel Hollow Board of Zoning Appeals to take action as it deems appropriate.

The next exhibit is the legal notice of public hearing dated February 27, 2019.

The next exhibit affidavit of posting from Nick Porcaro that the legal notice was posted conspicuously on the bulletin board of the main entrance to the office the Village Hall on March 8, 2019.

The next exhibit is affidavit of publication stating that the legal notices were published in the North Shore Leader on March 6, 2019.

The next exhibit is an affidavit from the deputy clerk stating the notice of public hearing was mailed to other interested parties as required by law on February 28, 2019.

The next exhibit consists of documents confirming that the notice of public hearing was published to the Village of Laurel Hollow website and sent to village website, news subscribers on March 4, 2019.

The next exhibit is an affidavit of mailing from the applicant indicating that the notice of public hearing was mailed on March 8, 2019 to the individual set forth in the affidavit.

The next exhibit is correspondence from James Antonelli, the village engineer, dated February 15, 2019 and February 25, 2019.

The next exhibit is a site plan prepared by Blatycus and Panetta, LS \& PE, dated 12/19/2018 and last revised on February 18, 2019.

And the final exhibit is a draft decision of the Planning Board which approved an application for removal of trees on the premises specifically condition and contingent upon obtaining the necessary approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Good evening, Mr. Rant, please begin.
Your name, et cetera.
MR. RANT: Good evening, Michael Rant Blatycus and Panetta, 23 Spring Street, Oyster Bay, New York. I'm here this evening representing owners of 43 Springwood Path seeking variances in conjunction with the proposed pool, patio, pergola and proposed retaining wall.

I would like to submit before we get started the return sets of mail-ins. As the village attorney had mentioned, we're here this evening for a number of variances in conjunction with a proposed pool, patio all pertaining to setback variances.

We do comply with all coverage requirements and we're here this evening seeking approval setbacks as well as slope disturbance.

I'm not sure if any of the board members had a chance to visit the site, but in the field there is a fencing and edge of lawn area.

So what I have done is highlighted on our site plan in orange delineated where that fence
is in the field. Then in yellow the limits of our work which is the proposed retaining wall.

So it kind of gives you an idea there is existing swing set onsite. And that location is in the same area were the proposed pool is to be located. Just to kind of orient you to the property.

We were before the Planning Board last week and we received approval for removal of 13 trees. And most of those trees have to do with regrading in order to create a flat area for the property.

There is a large expanse of steep slope, very steep slope. And a portion of severely steep slope on the property. So we feel we have done the best we can to limit our disturbance there, limit the area of our disturbance as much as possible.

The bulk of their disturbance falls within the lowest category steep slope 15 to 25
percent. We have about eight thousand square feet of disturbance.

And the second highest second category very steep slope we have small area exactly in the
center of about 2000 square feet.
Again, by utilizing retaining walls we can limit our disturbance as much as possible, but achieve the goal which is flat usable space for the owner's family.

The proposed pool is located adjacent to the existing driveway. The location itself is really dictated by the two existing dry wells that serve the home right in the center of the rear yard. So we had to maintain proper separation from those dry wells and that's really how we determined this proposed pool location.

In speaking with the client I know there is a number of variances pertaining to setbacks. In speaking to the client prior to the hearing we did agree that in speaking to the pool company we could relocate the pool equipment behind the pergola so it would comply with the setback.

I know that's something that is a sensitive topic to the board with the neighbors since it does generate noise. So he did agree to relocate the pool equipment behind the pergola.

That would comply with the setbacks requirements.

MR. AVRUTINE: Just let me interrupt you for a moment, Mr. Rant.

So at this time is it the applicant's intention to withdraw the application for the set yard setback relief with respect to the pool equipment?

MR. RANT: Correct.
MR. AVRUTINE: So that's withdrawn. And a revised plan will be submitted depicting a compliant location for the pool equipment.

MR. RANT: Correct.
MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.
MR. RANT: Much of the vary -- many of the variances have to do with there is an existing shed on what would be the west side of the property. It's been there for many years. It's an existing shed. We're looking to maintain it in its current location. It hasn't created any detriment to the community. It is a richly wooded site. So it has no impact on the neighbors.

So we feel that maintaining it in its
existing condition would not be any type of detriment to the neighborhood.

The remaining variances have to do with on-grade structures. The proposed pool and patio area there is a pergola. That pergola does comply with the setback. The setback variances requested pertain to the pool and the patio.

Again, these are on-grade structures that have no real visual impact to the surrounding properties. And the retaining wall itself requires a variance.

Now in order to connect it up to the existing grading and not have additional regrading along the side yards and clearing. That's really the purpose and necessary why the retaining walls in those locations are necessary you can see we're not doing any regrading inside yard. The same goes on the north side.

So, again, by just utilizing a wall just to meet up with the existing grade we feel that it won't create any adverse impact.

I believe we have addressed all the comments by the village engineer. We're
containing all our runoff from our newly created surfaces, any disturbed areas. So I think all in all from drainage standpoint it would be a vast improvement on existing conditions.

Again, there is a vast setback to the rear property from the retaining wall. So it won't be visible from the neighbors. There is a large screening that encompasses the entire property.

So we feel that the improvements themselves will not create any adverse impact. Any questions from the board?

MR. AVRUTINE: Before there are questions you're application also include a request for fill. Can you address that please?

MR. RANT: So part of the application we are proposing to import approximately 565 cubic yards of fill. We'll be utilizing as much fill onsite as we're generating to fill in the retaining walls from our dry well excavation as well as our in-ground pool.

And the additional soil will be trucked on to site used for fill to create a level lawn area in the rear of the property.

We also did submit a landscape plan.

What's being proposed although it is heavily screened around it's a row of arborvitaes of green screenings that would encompass the entire proposed area. Which will help screen add any additional screening for any areas that aren't currently screened.

MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Rant, is that the landscape plan that was indicated as approved in the draft resolution of the Planning Board authorizing the tree removal that you described?

MR. RANT: Correct. One of the requests by the village arborist was to generate a landscape plan. We did make some modifications to help save some trees. Our original application had 20 feet. We were approved for 13 trees.

One of the requests was to add this additional screen. And this plan as submitted to the Planning Board reflects that screening.

MR. MOHR: This is the first time we're seeing that. I'm okay.

MR. LEBEDIN: We discussed that. That's fine.

MR. MOHR: I would like to hear from town engineer Mr. Antonelli with regard to the
application what transpired and how remedied some of the issues that were first presented.

MR. ANTONELLI: First of all, I reviewed drainage grading plan slope application and fill application and I will say on the record that the slope categories that are mapped appear to be accurate.

I checked the fill calculations and that appears to be accurate. I did submit a letter to the board. I believe it was mentioned in the exhibits. It was dated February 15. In that letter I had a number of minor comments regarding the application. They were taken care of except for one thing.

And I think I do want, besides having a question, I do want to make sure I mentioned it, and that is I had pointed out that there are a number of trees in the rear yard towards the west side that are x'd out. They have x's on them to be removed. And there is no grading through that area.

So just wondered what the purpose of that was. I think it looks like it's numbers three through 11 possibly or 14. I see a 14 there.

So it's trees number three, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, 11, 14, oh and one one. I see it now. That it's like a group above the retaining wall and near the one dry well.

MR. RANT: So that area.
MR. ANTONELLI: It's not being graded.
MR. RANT: That area being graded with
fill. The existing contour at trees 5 and 6 is 66 and 68 and that area is grading raised elevation 76. So it's flat from the 76 proposed contour to the top of the. Wall that's why no proposed contours are being shown.

MR. ANTONELLI: Give me a second. It's really hard to see because it's like a gray scale topo then that's in the steep slope area which has cross hatching in two directions really hard to see, but I understand it now. Okay. I'm okay.

MR. RANT: There was another area that was brought up where we had tree removal by arborist on the west side. We were doing additional excavations and we eliminated that grading to save, I think it was about 7 trees.

So the really the cluster that's right in
the center that would be where there is significant amount of fill in order to backfill behind the retaining walls.

MR. ANTONELLI: I do have a question, Mike, you had mentioned the relocation of the pool equipment. Does that impact the grading and drainage plan at all?

MR. RANT: No, it would be located adjacent to tree number 12 directly behind the pergola. So, no regrading, no additional grading will be necessary. That area is already proposed to be flat.

MR. ANTONELLI: So the grading plan will still show that yard drain in the location of where there shown on the plan of the 18.

MR. RANT: Correct.
MR. ANTONELLI: I have no further comments, Mr. Chairman.

MR. MOHR: Questions from the board?
MR. PARZIALE: No, you answered all the questions I had.

MR. LEBEDIN: No, it was good.
MR. MOHR: If there are any questions from the public at this time.

MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that there are none.

At this time we will have a motion to close the public hearing.

MR. LEBEDIN: I move.
MR. PARZIALE: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: First moved by Lebedin. Seconded by member Parziale.

All in favor?
(Board says aye.)
MR. AVRUTINE: Public hearing is closed.
I would recommend that the board first consider the variance application before the board. And that the record reflect that the area of variances before the board are Type Two under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act.

Any motion on variance application before the court?

MR. LEBEDIN: So move.
MR. AVRUTINE: Motion to approve?
MR. PARZIALE: I will second it.
MR. AVRUTINE: Okay. Member Lebedin. Seconded by member Parziale.

All in favor.
(Board says aye.)
MR. AVRUTINE: Variances are approved.
The next is the slope and fill
applications. At this time I would entertain a motion for the board to declare itself lead agency in the New York State Quality Review Act.

Member Kaufmann, motion to approve.
MS. KAUFMAN: So moved.
MR. AVRUTINE: Second please?
MR. PARZIALE: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: Seconded by member Parziale.
All in favor? All say aye.
Next is a motion to declare the matter unlisted under the New York State environmental quality review act.

Motion.
MR. LEBEDIN: So moved.
MR. AVRUTINE: Member Lebedin.
Second?
MR. PARZIAIE: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: All in favor.
(Board says aye.)
MR. AVRUTINE: In this regard I would
request that the village engineer address his recommendation regarding the SEQRA determination of the board.

MR. ANTONELLI: As unlisted action the applicant is required to submit the SEQR, S-E-Q-R, short form. It's the shorten Environmental Assessment Form which they did.

And I reviewed part one that was submitted and I prepared on behalf of the village, I prepared part two. And I'm prepared to present that at this time. I am, based on the information submitted, and based on my review of the application, I believe there is, that the actions will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact.

So I filled this form out accordingly.
MR. AVRUTINE: And based upon your analysis, Mr. Antonelli, will you recommend that the board enact negative declaration under SEQR?

MR. ANTONELLI: Yes.
MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.
At this time, subject to the board's approval, will there be a motion to adopt a negative declaration under the New York State

Environmental Quality Review Act.
MR. PARZIALE: I will make that motion.
MR. AVRUTINE: Parziale.
May I have a second?
MS. KAUFMAN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: Second by member Kaufman.
All in favor?
(Board says aye).
MR. AVRUTINE: Next is a motion on the --
MR. ANTONELLI: The part three of the form
I signed as preparer, but there is also a signature required by the chairman of the board.

MR. AVRUTINE: Correct. And the chair will execute that. We'll make that part of the file.

Motion on the application?
MR. MOHR: Motion to approve.
MR. AVRUTINE: Motion to approve by Chairman Mohr.

Can I have a second.
MS. KAUFMAN: Second.
MR. AVRUTINE: Second by member Kaufman.
All in favor?
(Board said aye.)
MR. AVRUTINE: Application approved.
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