VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION **Sports Court Setback** A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, on **4/17/2019** at **7:30 pm** relative to the following matter: Applicant: Elaine Kawas, the Laurel Group On behalf of: **Gregg and Melissa Newman** Property Located at: 80 Wildwood Drive, Laurel Hollow Sec. 26 Blk. C Lot 258 Zoning District: Residential Case #: **ZV1-2019** Requirement for which Variance is requested: proposed sports court is not set back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting a street; proposed rear yard setback = 26'7". Applicable Section(s) of Chapter 145-5(B)(3) At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated. 1) Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties? X Reason: The proposed structures will be adequately screened and will not adversely impact surrounding properties. 2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance? yes no X Reason: The shape and topography of the property dictates locating the structures as proposed. 3) Is the variance requested substantial? X no ____ Reason: However, under the facts of this case, the relief sought is appropriate.

4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the

X Reason: The proposed minimizes impacts both on the subject

neighborhood?

no

property and neighboring properties.

5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created?

yes X no Reason: See #3 above.

yes

The Board of Appeals, after taking into consideration the above five factors, finds that:
The benefit to the applicant does not outweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community and therefore the variance requested is denied.
X The benefit to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community, and the Board of Zoning Appeals further finds that variance of sports court is not set back
40' from lot lines not abutting a street of Sections: 145-5(B)(3) of the Zoning Code is the minimum variance that should be granted in order to preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community because adverse impacts have been
mitigated to the maximum extent practicable and for these reasons the variance is granted with
conditions as indicated.
CONDITIONS : The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:
Condition #1: There will be no lighting for the tennis court/sports court. Adverse impact to be minimized: Light spillover onto adjoining properties
Condition #2: Additional evergreen screening will be provided on the northwest portion of the tennis Court/sports court to the satisfaction of the Board of Zoning Appeals. The applicant shall submit a proposed plan regarding same for the Board's consideration.
Adverse impact to be minimized: _Visual/noise mitigation for adjoining properties
Condition #3: All construction equipment and vehicles shall enter the premises via Wildwood Drive and utilize the existing driveway on the subject premises. Adverse impact to be minimized: Potential inadvertent slope/topography disturbance on the subject property
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW APPROVED / BZA
These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the
Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit. Applicant must now submit any and all additional
documentation required by the Building Inspector in
order to obtain a permit in a timely manner
Case # Date Signature, Chairman, BZA
Record of Vote on Motion as stated above: Member Name Aye Nay Chairman Mohr X
Motion to Approve by Member Parziale Member Blumin X
Seconded by Member Blumin Member Kaufman Abstain Member Parziale
INC VLG OF LAUREL HOLLOW Member Lebedin Abstain
Filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on the 1.3
Signed: 1 Grapes Print: Nova y Popper

VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, on 4/17/2019 at 7:30 pm relative to the following matter:
Applicant: Elaine Kawas, the Laurel Group On behalf of: Gregg and Melissa Newman
Property Located at: 80 Wildwood Drive, Laurel Hollow
Sec. <u>26</u> Blk. <u>C</u> Lot <u>258</u>
Zoning District: Residential Requirement for which Variance is requested: proposed accessory structures are not set back at least 40 ft. setback from lot lines not abutting a street; Proposed: pool patio rear yard=23'7"; Pool rear yard=33'9"; pool equipment side yard=12'10"; pool equipment rear yard=18'3"; firepit
Side yard=24'6";firepit terrace side yard=15'11"; and in-ground trampoline rear yard=26'3"
Applicable Section(s) of Chapter 145-5(B)(2) At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated. 1) Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties? yes no _X Reason: The proposed structures will be adequately screened and will not adversely impact surrounding properties.
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance? yes no _X Reason: _The shape and topography of the property dictates locating the structures as proposed.
3) Is the variance requested substantial? yes X no Reason: However, under the facts of this case, the relief sought is appropriate.
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood? yes no _X Reason: <u>The proposed minimizes impacts both on the subject property and neighboring properties.</u>
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created? yes <u>X</u> no Reason: See #3 above.

The Board of Appeals, after taking into consideration the above five factors, finds that:
The benefit to the applicant does not outweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community and therefore the variance requested is denied.
X The benefit to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community, and the Board of Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of <u>accessory structures not set back</u>
40' from lot lines not abutting a street of Sections: 145-5(B)(2) of the Zoning Code is the minimum variance that should be granted in order to preserve and protect the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community because adverse impacts have been
mitigated to the maximum extent practicable and for these reasons the variance is granted with
conditions as indicated.
CONDITIONS : The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:
Condition #1: All construction equipment and vehicles shall enter the premises via Wildwood Drive and utilize the existing driveway on the subject premises.
Adverse impact to be minimized: Potential inadvertent slope/topography disturbance on the
subject property
Condition #2:
Adverse impact to be minimized:
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW APPROVED / BZA
These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit. Applicant must now submit any and all additional
documentation required by the Building Inspector in
order to obtain a permit in a timely manner.
ZV1-2019 4/17/2019 4- H
Case # Date Signature, Chairman, BZA
Record of Vote on Motion as stated above: Member Name Aye Nay
Chairman Mohr X
Motion to Approve by Member Parziale Member Blumin X
Seconded by Member Blumin Member Kaufman Abstain
Member Parziale X Member Lebedin Abstain
Monto occurrence

INC VLG OF LAUREL HOLLOW
Filed in the Office of the
Village Clerk on the 13
day of JUNE 2019
Stands Hume Vapper
Nancy 16pper