INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING PUBLIC HEARING April 17, 2019 7:30 p.m.

VILLAGE HALL
1492 Laurel Hollow Road Syosset, New York 11791-9603

PRESENT:

RUSSELL MOHR, CHAIRMAN
JEFFREY BLUMIN, MEMBER
CINDY KAUFMAN, MEMBER
VINCENT PARZIALE, MEMBER
LOUIS LEBEDIN, MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT:
HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney JAMES ANTONELLI, Village Engineer

ALSO PRESENT:
KEVIN SHEEHAN, Landscape Architect
LAUREL GROUP
31 Prospect Street
Huntington, New York

NEWMAN ZVI-2019
Hearing on variances needed for rear and side yard setback 80 Wildwood Drive

MR. AVRUTINE: This the Public Hearing, ZV1-2019, on the appeal and application of Elaine Kawas of the Laurel Group on behalf of Gregg and Melissa Newman to install multiple accessory structures at 80 Wildwood Drive where, firstly, the proposed accessory structures are not set back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting the street as required by Section 145-(B) (2) of the Laurel Hollow Village Code. The proposed setbacks are as follows:

The pool patio rear-yard setback is 23 feet 7 inches; the pool rear-yard setback is 33'9 inches; the pool equipment side-yard setback is 12 feet 10 inches; the pool equipment rear-yard setback is 18 feet 3 inches; the fire pit side-yard setback is 24 feet 6 inches. The fire pit -- the fire pit terrace side-yard setback is 15 feet 11 inches, and the in-ground trampoline rear-yard setback is 26 feet 3 inches.

In addition, the proposed sports
court is not set back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting the street as required by Section

145-5(B) (3) of the Laurel Hollow Village Code. The proposed rear-yard setback is 26 feet 7 inches.

The property under application is designated as Section 25 Block 64 Lot 7 on the Land and Tax Map of Nassau County.

The exhibits in connection with this hearing are as follows:

First: Notification from the Nassau County Planning Commission dated January 29, 2019 that the above matter is referred to the Laurel Hollow Board of Zoning Appeals for action as it deems appropriate.

The next exhibit is the Legal Notice of Public Hearing dated April 2nd, 2019.

The next exhibit is the affidavit of posting from Nick Porcaro that the legal notice was posted conspicuously on the bulletin board located at the main
entrance of the Village Hall on April 5, 2019 .

The next exhibit is a copy of the legal notice published in the North Shore Leader on April 10, 2019.

The next exhibit is an affidavit from the Deputy Clerk stating that the notice of public hearing was mailed to interested parties on April 5, 2019.

The next exhibit is a document confirming that the notice of public hearing was published to the Village of Laurel Hollow website and Village website NEWS subscribers on April 5, 2019 .

The next exhibit is an affidavit of mailing from the applicant indicating that the notice of public hearing was mailed on April 10, 2019 to the individuals set forth in the affidavit.

The next exhibit consists of minutes from the Planning Board meeting of January 22, 2019 regarding tree removal.

And the final exhibit is the Tree

Removal and Planting Plan approved by the Planning Board on January 22, 2019. Good evening.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good evening.
MR. AVRUTINE: Please give your name and address for the record.

MR. SHEEHAN: My name is Scott Sheehan, $S-H-E-E-H-A-N$, of the Laurel Group. My address is 31 Prospect Street in Huntington. I'm a registered landscape architect here to represent Gregg and Melissa Newman on their property that has been described.

What I would like to start off with is kind of the overall survey of the property.

You can see how the property lines lay out. It's a unique property in the area. Most properties are more consistent in terms of their space. It's at the end of Wildwood Drive.

I don't know if you've ever been down that road, it's a dead-end, or the cul-de-sac of that community and the highlighted portion is their property lines.

You can see in this shape where it's very narrow through here to get those two-acre zonings for this area which then, in turn, makes it a less usable lot.

Right now there is an existing pool and patio that is pre-existing non-conforming located here, and it is roughly -- I'm sorry, it exists at 8 feet 3 inches to the property lane. So we have a pool that is in need of a lot of repair and we figured on installing a new pool and wanted to get a better location for it, as well, and make it a more conforming position on the property.

So, the plan we have here is this.

So that was this location being removed there to have lawn space and bringing it to the western side of the property and how it lays out with the home. 20 by 40 rectangular pool, very simple, very standard. Located in the positions of setbacks were discussed in
that. We have the numbers here.

So now we are, instead of 8 feet, we are 24 feet at the closest where there is a little bump-out for a little sitting area and 37 feet to the main area of the pool; so a considerable distance further away from the property lines.

We also didn't put patios around the entire pool area, itself. We want to reduce the amount of space and also use what is proper for sun angles, as well as use of the pool. There's no need to go around the whole entire patio space around the whole pool.

There is a slight change of grade in this area, so that is why there is a retaining wall in this location. It does not affect any slopes or steep slopes in nature there. So it's only a 3-foot high wall to kind of level this area off making it more usable.

There is an area here with a fire pit sitting here that doesn't overlook to the pool. The pool equipment sits
behind here, well screened from all the neighbors and so forth.

There is an existing driveway that approaches this area to the north. That is also open now, but it's going to be all well screened with evergreen trees, starting at 8 to 10-foot high, deciduous trees.

If you notice on the top portion of this, there is a whole lot of planting of a 15-foot buffer. I don't know if anyone has been to the site, but it's kind of deceiving. When you walk out to the site there you see a lot of woods in the background and a fence in the distance which is the neighbor's fence.

Their property line is very short. It is right at this location and there is no plantings in this area here up to that property line. So we're adding a maximum of $15-f o o t ~ a d d i t i o n a l ~ b u f f e r ~ t o ~$ that space with evergreen shrubs and trees and deciduous trees. All been reviewed by the Planning Board and approved, especially with the tree
removal that we have in place for this property.

Even in this corner here where the pool equipment is, is all hedging and more native, native, deciduous trees going in that are very full to the bottom increasing the amount of, $I$ would say, border planting as well as screening from any property there.

There is a large consistent amount of existing woods in this spot there, so we positioned it purposely in this area so it is furthest away from any other residential homes to make it appeasing for everybody; and, also, useful for the pool.

Another portion of the project is the proposed tennis court location over here. Because of its nature and design, we've positioned it to be parallel, perpendicular to the home itself so it works in the flow of property. There is this long piece that goes out to Cold Spring Harbor Road and the positioning of this, it keeps about over 240 feet
from the edge of this court, all the way out to the property line of Cold Spring Harbor Road. So there is still an additional some-odd feet to the road itself, which also sits about 4 to 5 feet lower in elevation than it does 50 feet in. Anything passing by is a lower profile. Cars going by over 40 miles-an-hour through a dense dilapidated, for a lack of better term, but there's a lot of briar and brush in there, it wouldn't even be seen on top of that.

On top of that, we are also adding a number of screening trees, all evergreen, stay green all year round, a variety of species, that start at 8 to 10 feet tall and will be growing up to the height of over 15,20 feet, and even up to 30 in some cases over time. So it creates a dense coverage through that space.

All the drainage has been considered for this court itself to the property keeping everything within.

There is a right-of-way easement here there we're outside of totally in terms of grading as well. So we positioned the court to be as balanced as best as possible because of the nature of the property itself, so it gives 35 feet on the south side and 26 feet here.

Then you go to the other corner, also 26 feet here. So I am able to keep these around 26 feet on both corners that are the most over, to kind of equal balance for all neighbors and positioning it in a place that is the closest to the home as possible.

That is the best location I feel for this court. Being they have children, they want to use this court for recreation. There are a ton of ticks in this space, which I've received myself walking through those woods. So this kind of gets that little buffer away from their usable space a little bit more from having those nasty habits. We have all heard of how much ticks have
been an issue now, mosquitos, with all
the viruses that they are spreading now, so getting that little bit of buffer away helps as well.

We did reach out to local,
actually, all the neighbors based on the requirements of the Village, but we also reached out with a personal letter from myself and the Newmans describing the project in specifics and having an aerial view of the design with their homes shown to all four abutting neighbors. They actually -- I actually have a copy of that here if anybody is willing to have that.

MR. AVRUTINE: Would you like to submit that as an exhibit?

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.
MR. AVRUTINE: This is a letter
that was sent by you?
MR. SHEEHAN: This is the letter that was sent by myself to the abutting neighbors.

MR. AVRUTINE: Okay.
MR. SHEEHAN: It also goes with a
no-objection affidavit asking for their signatures of no objection.

MR. AVRUTINE: One minute, please.

I would like to note for the record, that this is a letter dated April 4, 2019. The name at the bottom is Scott Sheehan and Mr. Sheehan just indicated that this was sent to the abutting neighbors.

That will be marked as Applicant's Exhibit Number 1 .

MR. SHEEHAN: This was the illustration that was sent with that showing the layout of the property and how the other neighboring homes are located to them with their addresses.

MR. AVRUTINE: I will attach this to the letter and it will all be part of Applicant's Number 1 .

MR. SHEEHAN: We got some responses with three of the neighbors of no objection. I have those. I can read you their addresses, if you would like.

MR. AVRUTINE: Put that into the record, then we will mark those.

MR. SHEEHAN: 70 Wildwood Drive, 503 Cold Spring Road and 505 Cold Spring Road.

I did also receive a phone call from Helen Travis from 533 Cold Spring Road. I had a conversation on the phone with her about the project, as well as a personal visit to her home. We went through these exact plans with her, answered any questions as best as I could about the project. And that's where we stand. I haven't heard a response besides that.

MR. AVRUTINE: One moment.

I would like to put on the record we have three sheets, all entitled no objection affidavit.

The first one is signed by Khalida, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Dory} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-$,Y , Stutman, S-T-U-T-M-A-N residing at 70 Wildwood Drive.

The second one is signed by Dana Ginobbi, $G-I-N-O-B-B-I, \quad$ and $M i c h a e l$ Ginobbi, 503 Cold Spring Road.

The third is signed by Holly,

H-O-L-L-Y, Pyke, P-Y-K-E, Brown, B-R-O-W-N, at 505 Cold Spring Road.

These three documents,
collectively, will be marked as
Applicant's Exhibit Number 2.
You may continue.
MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
So I also would like to add that we had an extensive review at the Planning Board reviewing every tree with the Village Arborist, if $I$ didn't say that already, and understanding that there was only a couple of trees they asked to keep, which we did. We also added a couple more trees, more than a couple, based on their recommendation, we gladly put that into place. It was a pretty extensive review on all of that.

The condition of what is there now is just older areas of red cedars and a lot of vegetation fallen over from not being kept over the years because there's an older wooded lot back from, I guess, development when this was done back in this $80 s$, this development
location.

I feel that granting the variance of this doesn't really change the character of the neighborhood because all the -- kind of looked at this particular neighborhood itself, and there are about 27 homes in this neighborhood, if $I$ have that correct, and a third of them have tennis courts.

I think we have gone above and beyond, talked about nine have tennis courts. So we have gone above and beyond to make sure that the neighbors are happy with what is going on here, and understand what is going on here.

We've added a substantial amount of plantings for this entire property, which is a lot going in here, to make sure things are well screened and well protected and safe, in terms of fencing and so forth.

With pool code enclosure, we're all up to New York State Pool Code, and I don't think it really changes the character based on those elements of
what the neighborhood has.
I believe this application warrants an approval based on the presentation that I projected to you. I don't feel it will have an adverse effect on the neighborhood. I think we are improving it. It will be more manicured. It will be a better space for the homeowners here that goes with everything that all the other neighbors have.

It is unfortunate that they have such an unusual shaped lot that has unusable space. It's half of their lot, a two-acre lot. Most people can actually use their two acres. This is one acre, they're paying taxes on two. It is a very small sliver throughout that that would not be able to otherwise be used in any other way.

MR. AVRUTINE: I have a question.
I would like to know whether you can certify for the Board, for the record, that the plan that is before the Board this evening, in terms of the tree removal approval that you're seeking,
that this plan complies in all respects with the plan approved by the Planning Board.

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, it does.
I have made that part of the packet you have all received in terms of tree removal with a list, the calculations, and there are specifically which ones we are allowed to keep. You can see they're bubbled in here which ones were asked to be kept. And then there is also a separate planting plan here that depicts all the information of the planting the Planning Board wanted to have.

MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.
Does that conclude your presentation?

MR. SHEEHAN: It does.

MR. ANTONELLI: I have a question.
Mr. Sheehan, as part of the second exhibit, the three letters that you have, would you be able to indicate on the plan sheet which properties those refer to.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: We can use the exhibit I think, Jim.

MR. AVRUTINE: Applicant's Exhibit

Number 1 shows abutting properties.

So from the no objection affidavit documents, which are Applicant's Exhibit 2, we have 70 Wildwood, which is directly to the east, assuming the arrow, the top is north.

Then we have there --

MEMBER PARZIALE: Should be west.

MR. SHEEHAN: It's represented on here, this is west here.

MEMBER PARZIALE: This is west here.

MR. AVRUTINE: Much better.

The next one, 503 Cold Spring Road, which is directly to the south, and then 505 Cold Spring Road which is to the south and east. The one is not the abutting, the resident that has not signed is 533 Cold Spring Road to the north and east.

MR. ANTONELLI: Thank you.

MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Antonelli, do
you want to see it?
MR. ANTONELLI: No, I'm fine, thank you.

MEMBER BLUMIN: Are there plans for outdoor lights?

MR. SHEEHAN: They will have just some area lighting with path lights. Path lights and some up-lights for the trees themselves that would work just to enhance the architecture of the tree. There's no flood lighting or anything like that. No lighting for the tennis court.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Before we hear from the Board, I would like to see if there is anything from the public, unless you have anything further.

MR. SHEEHAN: I don't have anything further.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Is there anyone from the public who would like to speak?

MS. TRAVIS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: Yes.
MR. AVRUTINE: Please state your name and address, please.

MS. TRAVIS: My name is Helen Travis and I am the owner of 533 Cold Spring Road.

I did have some questions and just statements, I guess.

I kind of wondered why the Newmans were unable to build more within the 40-foot parameter. You see that it kind of switched sideways, it could go that way. It wouldn't maybe be as nice for their residence, but it would be nicer for me. I am just saying I was just wondering why they couldn't kind of tilt it a little more, it would jet out less that way and this way. That was a question.

Then I just wanted to point out that this is visible from my front yard, as opposed to the other people. I think it would be in their backyards.

I guess the most important thing, really, is that from this, it's hard for me to tell exactly how it is going to impact. My lanes that I -- I work in the garden right here all the time and
my lane goes right by here. This is going to be, apparently, a sports area, not just a tennis court, so there will be roller blading and that sort of thing, $I$ think.

I have a right-of-way, it's a really old one that used to go down to the farm. This is the farm. And I have had trouble with the -- not recently, and certainly not the Newmans that I know of, but of people using it to walk through. And $I$ am fearful if there isn't a fence or something of that nature to protect it, kids or adults or anyone might be more tempted to just walk through the lane to get to it. But that's just what $I$ experienced.

I also wanted to know about the lighting because $I$ know the Newmans like to keep their house lit up at night, so I assume it is not going to be lit up all night. So I didn't know if there was going to fencing around the court or just going to be the trees, which looks fine as far as can $I$ tell, but if it
could be staked out for me so I can see more clearly that would be helpful for me to fully understand. It's kind of hard to understand from the map.

I did ask Mr. Sheehan that for me and he couldn't because he's not exactly sure. I guess they have to have a special person come through.

I did want to know, and I don't know if you know or can answer this, whether or not if $I$ were to subdivide, because $I$ do have 4 acres, and if $I$ were to subdivide to sell this 2 acres, would it affect the value by -- maybe it's just a little, jutting into the property, so maybe it doesn't impact, doesn't matter, but if $I$ were to, would it impact on the value of my sale.

And, finally, $I$ want to know what the construction timetable would be and when that would happen.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Thank you.

Mr. Sheehan?

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. I'm not sure if $I$ remember all the questions, I'll do
my best. If I miss something let me know.

So, in terms of the positioning of the court, I have positioned it in a place that was, $I$ think, fair on all sides as best as could. Keeping it square parallel with the home that is out here, of course, aesthetically it's best for the design. But it also positions it where it's, I think, 26 feet to the property line here and it's 26'7 inches, $I$ believe, in this location on the western side, 26'7 and 26.

MR. AVRUTINE: What is that?

MR. SHEEHAN: That is the east, northeast side, 26. And then the southwest side would be 26'7.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: To be clear, it's 26'7 and 26 to the fence line, not to the court.

MR. SHEEHAN: Right, to the fence.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: Which is very different than to the court.

And what's the difference between the fence line and the court?

Do you have an approximate between the fence line and the court?

MR. SHEEHAN: Approximately 6 to 8 inches.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: It has to be more than that.

MR. SHEEHAN: The fence line is right on the court because the court is 60 by 120. So your actual court area playing --

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Meaning the surface.

MR. SHEEHAN: The surface of the court.

MEMBER PARZIALE: Probably need a buffer around, as well.

MR. SHEEHAN: Well, the court, see how the tennis court is this and there are areas outside that are play. The fence goes right up against that, not to protrude out any further distance away from the court. It's very close to the court.

Then there is the lawn space and then some plantings all around the
perimeter.
So by having 26 feet and change, because we talked about it being to the fence, that gives us, onto this area here, if $I$ start to twist it, it gets closer to that home. So I'm trying to keep things balanced as best as possible because of the way of the shape of this is. If this sweeps away, this doesn't fall within that setback.

So, I guess, on Mrs. Travis's side, that side has the least impact because it's furthest away in this northwest side.

The other side is a bit closer. That's the reason for the positioning, to balance everything out as best as possible.

MR. AVRUTINE: I belive one of the other questions was lighting for the court.

MR. SHEEHAN: There's no lighting for the court.

MR. AVRUTINE: None whatsoever.

That can be a condition of the
approval if the Board wanted to impose that condition?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, yes.
MEMBER PARZIALE: How about a condition if there was an additional maybe a few trees just to satisfy -plantings just to satisfy the neighbor so that if she felt that wasn't -- it looks like it's adequate, there's a tremendous amount of trees, but maybe if she wanted to get involved with a few extra trees.

MR. SHEEHAN: I do note that we discussed at length kind of a real feel of what is there. I understand that. We also talked about what type of trees are there.

I also showed photos of what types of evergreen trees are there. She was concerned that whatever was planted by some pasts owners of your property or was --

MR. AVRUTINE: Please address the Board.

MR. SHEEHAN: I'm sorry.

I understood that whoever planted trees on her property in the past, they've grown up, gotten bare at the bottom, I don't know what trees they were.

MR. AVRUTINE: When you say her property, do you mean Mrs. Travis?

MR. SHEEHAN: Mrs. Travis.

MR. AVRUTINE: You're talking about plantings on the neighbors property or on the applicant's property.

MR. SHEEHAN: Mrs. Travis described there were plantings on her own property that had grown over time and had gotten bare at the bottom.

I assured her the type of plants we were planting would not do that because I described and showed her photos of what type of evergreen trees we would be planting. I don't know if that satisfied her, but at the time of the meeting it seemed to have.

If there was additional trees that would have to come from the homeowner, himself, if that would be something they
would consider putting in a few
deciduous trees to kind of add to that, which we have already done on the border of the south side.

MR. AVRUTINE: Describe the
fencing. I believe Mrs. Travis asked about that.

MR. SHEEHAN: There is fencing around the tennis court, so...

MR. AVRUTINE: Just around the tennis court portion?

MR. SHEEHAN: Around the entire tennis court, as well as leading to the home, which is estate fencing so it would be black vinyl chain link fencing which when installed in a wooded area kind of disappears within the shadows of all the other vegetation, as well as screening with additional plant material of evergreen.

What is here, running from the tennis court to the home, which is locking in for pool code enclosure, is an estate fence which is, to describe it verbally, would be like an aluminum
version of a wrought iron fence. Then there is a chain link fence existing now that remains on the south side that should be added to continue down the rest of their property and enclosed where there is additional estate fencing on the driveway side.

The estate fencing is the portion that exposes itself to viewable areas from your driveway or street so it's more aesthetic, and the chain link fencing is put around the perimeter to hide within the plantings and not be visible.

MR. AVRUTINE: Also, just to clarify for the record, when you indicated this fencing surrounding the tennis court you're talking about -- on your plan -- the rectangle within the larger rectangle, not the actual sports court but the interior portion.

MR. SHEEHAN: The fencing is the perimeter.

MR. AVRUTINE: Referring to the tennis court.

MR. SHEEHAN: This whole thing is the tennis court.

MR. AVRUTINE: I thought the tennis court was the smaller portion.

MR. SHEEHAN: No. This smaller portion is just the painted lines for the games.

MR. AVRUTINE: I just wanted to clarify, it was unclear to me.

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: So the entire sports court is surrounded by the black chain link fence what you described.

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: What is the height of that fence?

MR. SHEEHAN: 8 feet.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: It says 10 feet on your plan.

MR. SHEEHAN: It does? I misspoke then, you're correct, it is 10 feet.

That's typical for a tennis court for tennis balls to not be flying out of the play area, so it contains it within. That's why we're putting 8 to 10 feet
trees there that grow over 15.
MR. AVRUTINE: Have you checked with the Building Inspector whether a 10-foot fence is permissible under the Code? I'm not sure as I sit here.

MR. SHEEHAN: I believe we have and I believe it is, because I also --

MR. AVRUTINE: Clarify that before - -

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure.

MR. AVRUTINE: -- before you have a problem later, assuming this application is approved.

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.

There were a few other questions, I've forgotten where we left off.

MR. AVRUTINE: I think there was a question about your view on the impact of property values in the case of a subdivision of Mrs. Travis's property.

MR. SHEEHAN: And the right-of-way, also.

So, the way -- I'm not sure how -it's a hard question to answer, who knows how it would be subdivided.

I don't know if it would be people's backyards, side yards or what, but if it's going to be subdivided, likely -- I don't know how many acres Mrs. Travis has.

MR. AVRUTINE: She indicated four.

MR. SHEEHAN: So it will be one-acre lots or two-acre lots?

MR. AVRUTINE: Two.

The zoning district requires a minimum of two-acres.

MR. SHEEHAN: So it would be two separate lots which would likely be the same type of residence that we are dealing with here in this neighborhood.

I would imagine they may want a tennis court of some nature in the future and a pool. Typically, this is what we see in homes of this stature.

The right-of-way is on Mrs.
Travis's property. I know that their children are not using it because they come in through their own property through the front.

I can't really speak to how the
community uses the right-of-way which goes out to Cold Spring Harbor Road, which is on Mrs. Travis's property and is kind of an open invitation. So, I think that is the Newmans's responsibility to block off who comes off of Cold Spring Harbor Road off an open right-of-way.

I think the right-of-way is there, actually for what purpose, I'm not really sure. I think there is a water easement right next door. I don't know if that's because of the water easement to get access to do repairs to that water easement when needed by utility trucks. But it's actually adding another layer of distance from usable space anyway, so that's how I think we are creating a good distance away.

If you include the right-of-way as well as the water easement, you're over 40 feet away, even though the property line on paper is 26.

MR. AVRUTINE: Excuse me, sir, if you wish to address the Board, please
stand up and come forward and give your name and address.

MR. NEWMAN: Gregg Newman, 80 Wildwood Drive.

I know what you were referring to because before the new owners at 70 Wildwood, there were old owners and those kids used to do whatever they wanted to do up and down that, and it was more disturbing. It was equally as disturbing for me as it was for you because they'd have their friends hanging out in the cul-de-sac right by this right-of-way and they'd all meet there.

Fortunately, three or four years ago we got a new neighbor and $I$ have not seen it since. But $I$ felt the same way as you.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Mr. Antonelli?

MR. ANTONELLI: I just want to make a comment since $I$ have been the engineer here for a while.

We have dealt with Jericho Water and they are quite protective of their
water main easements and don't like to see woody vegetation planted within them, even though some of them are overgrown, and that may happen.

I noticed -- I just want to make a comment -- that that northeast corner of the sports court is very close to the edge of that 20-foot water main easement and it doesn't leave a lot of room for planting.

MR. AVRUTINE: It doesn't appear there are any plantings, maybe just one tree just nipping it.

MR. ANTONELLI: I just wanted to make the comment as long as we're talking about effective screening.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: I assume if they want to rip the tree out and do work, they are going to do that.

MR. ANTONELLI: Correct, and they don't replace them.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Right.

MR. SHEEHAN: The planting is on --

MR. AVRUTINE: But the owner is responsible, too.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Yes.

MR. SHEEHAN: And the planting is not within the easement itself, how it's designed.

There was a comment about it being staked out.

I mentioned to Mrs. Travis that at the time it was raining and when we had our meeting that $I$ can walk you out to that space to see the area and I can show you generally where the court is, but we would, of course, get everything staked out by a licensed surveyor before starting the project, so there is no question in terms of following the plan and it's on the actual space that it is.

There is not going to be any room for error because, actually, Bladykas \& Panetta is the surveyor of record, and also has a contract with the Newmans to do that stake out for the pool and the tennis court already. If we get approved, then we request to do that.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Any questions from the Board?
(No response.)
I do think that Member Parziale's comment with regard to the additional plantings would be helpful.

I can take into consideration what Mr. Antonelli is saying with regard to not planting in the easement. Obviously, you were mindful of that, but it should be looked at.

If you can make that buffer area thicker, that would help from protecting the sight line from Mrs. Travis's property to the fence line.

MR. SHEEHAN: I can do that, but then I would be getting into the water easement.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Okay.
MR. AVRUTINE: There is some room further --

MR. SHEEHAN: Further this way for sure, I was talking about this.

MR. AVRUTINE: I understand.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: There is some room here to make it a little thicker.

MR. SHEEHAN: Sure, sure.

MEMBER BLUMIN: I think what you are hearing from the Board is we're wrestling with this because it is such a significant variance that is being requested and we take that very seriously.

As you said, it's the shape of the plot of land that led you to this and I commend you for what you have put together here with respect to the landscaping and kind of protecting your property from the neighbors and their ability -- your ability to see out and their ability to see in.

It looks like a significant investment that is being made and upgrades to parts of the property.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Can $I$ ask another question?

In addition, you said earlier with regards to the way the property owner sits in the rear of the property, there's a chain link fence beyond the property line.

MR. SHEEHAN: Which is the
neighbors.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: But you're also proposing to put up a new chain link fence for pool code.

MR. SHEEHAN: For pool code, enclosure.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: To do the entire property line. So there will be a chain link fence, a layer of different trees to buffer the entire property.

MR. SHEEHAN: Which doesn't exist now. I have a photo of the property.

MEMBER BLUMIN: Now you can see right through to the neighbor's house.

MR. SHEEHAN: There really is no buffer there at all.

MEMBER BLUMIN: I think we've all visited the property.

MR. SHEEHAN: So, I don't know if this is helpful or not.

This is the south property line.
That red line is the property line, the edge of the grass. That is why this property is very short in that backyard.

There is no way to kind of deal
with that. So we are again making a pool more conforming by shifting it further away and adding a 15-foot buffer around the whole perimeter there.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Right, almost the entire pool currently sits in the 40-foot setback.

MR. SHEEHAN: Exists now.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: It will be reduced by quite a significant amount with regard to the pool structure itself.

MR. AVRUTINE: We will mark this as an exhibit.

This is a photograph of a portion of the premises under application entitled the top of Newman residence, 80 Wildwood Drive.

We will mark it as Applicant's Exhibit Number 3 .

MR. SHEEHAN: I also took a photo in the woods without leaf cover standing at this location 50 feet away. And you -- even without leaves growing over the winter -- $I$ can show you this -- that is me close up. That is 50 feet away.

It's like a blur. You can't even see that. That's without even leaves on all the vegetation. That's where all this perimeter vegetation is to remain.

We want to keep as much of that as possible because it would be a small fortune to clean all of that up. We want to leave that as natural as is and just deal with what we have here.

Between here and Mrs. Travis, to her front door, is over 300 feet. So I know it is not 300 feet of woods, but there is a significant amount of natural vegetation buffer of that water easement, as well as what is off of the right-of-way on her own property.

So I think the filter view is going to be big. It is not going to be something that is going to be standing out in your face.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: So let me ask a question.

With regards to the -- beyond the planting schedule, are you saying during the construction that area will be left
natural.

MR. SHEEHAN: Right. The whole water main easement is meant to remain natural.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Will remain natural.

MR. SHEEHAN: We are grading in it, we are not planting in it. It's not for them to be touched.

MR. AVRUTINE: Will there be a construction fence erected to protect those areas?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. Because everything is going to be staked out like I mentioned. For best management practices, we make sure there are construction fences around the pool areas so there's no accidents.

Construction zones are important to define, as well as construction roads that come in. We don't want trucks driving around. It's important to make sure they stay -- we don't want them to bust up something that we have to fix, and I am sure the Newmans don't want to
be responsible for that.
MEMBER BLUMIN: Did you say that
the trees that you're planting around the, I guess, the north and east end of the sports court will be 8 to 10 feet at planting?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, at planting.
We are going to be covering that height of the fence. 8 to 10 feet, correct. The top of my hand is eight feet, probably to the ceiling is probably close to 11.

MR. AVRUTINE: Does it include root ball?

MR. SHEEHAN: It does not, it's from the base of the plant up, not the root ball.

MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.

MEMBER BLUMIN: It seems like there will be a lot more privacy for everyone in the neighborhood as a result of this plan.

MR. SHEEHAN: That's because what I feel is there now, a good portion of it is dead or fallen over. What is being
cleaned up and adding now is new viable plants that will survive. They'll have irrigation on it, they'll be fertilized, it's going to survive, not just wither away.

MEMBER PARZIALE: I see plantings are not staggered in the beginning, but staggered in the back.

Are you going to stagger them so they look natural?

MR. SHEEHAN: We can do that, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: I think we need to talk about a couple of conditions.

One condition would be no lighting.
The second condition would be an increased planting plan along the -northerly perimeter.

MR. AVRUTINE: Northwest.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: Yes, where there is room between --

MEMBER BLUMIN: Northeast.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: Between the easement and the fence line.

MR. SHEEHAN: What $I$ would suggest
for that is bring this evergreen
vegetation in closer to the fence line
so then that remaining buffer outside
can be planted with deciduous trees,
which would probably be more or less
what you've been looking at is deciduous
trees, so it gives you that double
layer, filtered layer of plant material
to match more of what you have been
looking at.
CHAIRMAN MOHR: Anything else, Jeff?

Any other questions from the Board?
(No response.)

Are there any more comments from
the public?

MRS. TRAVIS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Just come forward.

MRS. TRAVIS: I was just wondering
more specifically about the lighting.

I understand there will be lighting path or something to the court or
something like that. You said lighting of the shrubs.

MR. SHEEHAN: So there are some
various areas of just lighting of plant material that are specimens.

When I say path lighting, there is a path light in areas where you are traversing. It's mostly around the pool area, not the tennis court, so we're not lining the tennis court with path lights or anything like that. The tennis court will not be lit at all.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: I don't really see any paths on the plan.

MR. SHEEHAN: There is not paths on the plan, but there are patios for the tennis court. So when you come off a step or there's a corner or there's a gate, usually that's where you would have a path light.

That path light sits only 20 inches high, so it's knee-high. It illuminates the ground for no tripping over a step, or there is the location of a gate so you can see where you are entering something. It's for safety so there's no issues of not seeing where you're walking to.

MRS. TRAVIS: It's a $24 / 7$ thing, the lights stay on?

MR. SHEEHAN: No. Those are put on
timers to go on during -- or a switch where it's used and usually it can be done by photocell so when it gets dark it comes on, it's just on a set of timers. The photocell turns it on when it gets dark and it sets for five hours and it turns off again. It's not 24/7.

MRS. TRAVIS: Okay, thank you.

MEMBER BLUMIN: You wouldn't see that from outside.

MR. SHEEHAN: You wouldn't see that.

MRS. TRAVIS: Thank you.

MR. SHEEHAN: It's their personal space so there is no flood lights or anything like that.

MRS. TRAVIS: I guess one other thing.

The people are doing the construction, they will be doing it from the Newman side or?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. Accessing it
from Wildwood, that dead end, coming up their driveway and kind of splitting both sides.

MR. AVRUTINE: And the applicant would be acceptable to having that be a condition that all construction machinery and vehicles access through Wildwood and use the existing driveway, as opposed to any other possible route?

MR. SHEEHAN: I believe so. I can ask Mr. Newman.

MR. NEWMAN: I don't think there is any other way.

MR. AVRUTINE: Just clarifying what you said, okay.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Any other questions
from the Board?
(No response.)
No questions from the Board.
MR. AVRUTINE: Anything more from the public?
(No response.)
May I have motion to close the public hearing.

MEMBER BLUMIN: So moved.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Blumin.

Is there a second?

MEMBER PARZIALE: Second.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Parziale.

All in favor?

MEMBER BLUMIN: Aye.

MEMBER PARZIALE: Aye.

MEMBER LEBEDIN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Aye.

MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record
reflect that this matter is deemed Type

II under the New York State

Environmental Quality Review Act.

May we have a motion on the application?

MEMBER PARZIALE: I make a motion
to pass the application.

MR. AVRUTINE: With the following conditions, which $I$ will read in the part of the motion if that is acceptable.

MEMBER PARZIALE: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: First is that there will be no tennis court lighting. Secondly, there will be increased
planting, and $I$ believe that is on the northwest portion of the tennis court, and those will also be -- when they're planted -- 8 to 10 feet in height.

Lastly, that all construction equipment and vehicles will enter the premises via Wildwood Drive and the existing driveway.

Is that correct?

MEMBER PARZIALE: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: Okay, that's the motion.

Is there a second?

MEMBER BLUMIN: Second.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Blumin. And we will poll the Board on this.

Chairman Mohr?
CHAIRMAN MOHR: Aye.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Parziale?

MEMBER PARZIALE: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Kaufman?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I am recusing.

MR. AVRUTINE: You are abstaining?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Abstaining.

MR. AVRUTINE: Member Kaufman is
abstaining.

Member Blumin?

MEMBER BLUMIN: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: And Member Lebedin?
MEMBER LEBEDIN: Abstaining.

MR. AVRUTINE: The application
passes three votes aye, with two abstentions with the conditions as set forth on the record.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you, thank you, very much.

C E R T I F I C A T I O N:

I, Mary Anne Coppins, Court

Reporter, hereby certify that the above transcript is a true and accurate copy of the minutes taken by myself stenographically in the within matter.

Mary Anne Coppins

Court Reporter

