1		
2		INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW
3		BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS PUBLIC HEARING
4		June 25, 2018 7:30 p.m.
5		VILLAGE HALL 1492 Laurel Hollow Road
6		Syosset, New York 11791-9603
7		
8	PRESENT:	RUSSELL MOHR, CHAIRMAN
9		JEFFREY BLUMIN, MEMBER
10		LOUIS LEBEDIN, MEMBER
11		VINCENT PARZIALE, MEMBER
12		
13	ALSO PRES	ENT:
14		HOWARD AVRUTINE, Village Attorney JAMES ANTONELLI, Village Engineer
15		
16		
17		
18		ZV3-2018 - Kakoulidis - 4 Hemlock Court
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		RONALD KOENIG OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25		

MR. AVRUTINE: Case No. ZV3-2018, the public
hearing on the application of Sofia Kakoulidis to
construct a new garage, covered walkway, and portico at
4 Hemlock Court, where a principal building shall be set
back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting a
street as required by Section 145-5(B)(1) of the Laurel
Hollow Village Code. The proposed setback is
24.45 feet.

Secondly, the proposed total surface coverage exceeds 20 percent of the lot area in violation of Section 145-5(A)(1)(d) of the Laurel Hollow Village Code. The proposed surface coverage is 21.67 percent.

The property under application is designated as Section 26, Block 1, Lot 14, on the Land and Tax Map of Nassau County.

The exhibits in connection with this application are as follows:

First, notification from the Nassau County
Planning Commission dated June 13, 2018 that the matter
is referred to Laurel Hollow Board of Zoning Appeals for
action as it deems appropriate.

The next exhibit is legal notice dated June 11, 2018.

The next exhibit is an affidavit of posting from Nick Porcaro that the legal notice was posted

conspicuously on the bulletin board located at the main entrance to the Office of the Village Hall on June 15, 2018.

The next exhibit is an affidavit of publication by Michele Snow of Richner Communications stating that the legal notice was published in the Oyster Bay Guardian on June 15, 2018.

The next exhibit is a document that confirms that the legal notice was published to the Village website and sent to Village website NEWS subscribers on the June 13, 2018.

The next exhibit is an affidavit from the Deputy Clerk stating that the legal notice was mailed on June 12, 2018 to the agencies set forth in the affidavit.

The next exhibit is an affidavit of mailing from the applicant indicating that the notice of public hearing was mailed on June 13, 2018 to the individuals set forth in the affidavit.

The next exhibit consists of correspondence from a neighbor, Annette Givelekian.

And the last exhibit is an amended letter of denial dated June 19, 2018.

Do we have representatives of the applicant here this evening?

MR. VAGGI: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: Please state your name and address for the record.

MR. VAGGI: Good evening.

My name is Douglas Vaggi, DRV Architect, P.C., 1180 Park Avenue, Franklin Square, New York.

I'm here with Jim Haralampoudis, also from the same office. And also here is the owner, Sofia Kakoulidis, and John Moutopoulous.

MR. AVRUTINE: Proceed.

MR. VAGGI: We're proposing to do what is considered an attached garage to the existing house.

Although the walls are separated, we have a roof connection from the main house over to this new garage.

The owners have, in addition to John and Sofia, there is two adult children and a mother that lives in the house. They all have cars, plus John has a couple collectors that he likes to keep. Right now all the parking is out in the circular drive in the front, which to us we feel is a detriment to the community. And there's also no on-street parking, so we're looking for a place to store the cars out of view.

Due to the nature of the house, the configuration of the property, we have a ranch-style house, which naturally is a larger footprint than a

two-story house could be. The existing garage, there is an existing two-car garage attached off on the east side of the property. So what we're looking to do is come off the same driveway and have a new garage, 34 feet by 24 feet, set into this existing driveway area.

The driveway dips down a bit, existing driveway dips down a bit from the topography along the east property line. So what that's doing is with this addition, I can show you in a section how, the profile to the neighbor's property is decreased. So we'd have two bays to come in. We're looking to possibly get four cars stored in there plus the two cars off on the existing side. And there is an existing covered porch that extends out along the back. That will continue across. The roof covering would extend across to the new garage as a breezeway connection.

We've got a space about 14 foot 9 inches from the east wall of the existing house to the west side of the proposed garage, so that if people, if they do pull their car out, there is still space to maneuver and get past with the new garage as well.

Then this section over here kind of shows that the grade to the east is elevated about 3 or 4 feet from where the slab -- the slab is being set in line with the existing garage slabs since everything is coming off the

same driveway. So you can see up here where the grade -- there's actually a retaining wall existing along that east side of the driveway, and we're going to maintain that, and we got the grade up about 3 feet from the proposed slab. So with that and the property line having a 6-foot-high stockade fence, the limitation from the house to the east really is not seeing much because of the plane of the roof here. We did a hip all around, not only for the purposes of matching the house style, but also eases off the vertical dimension of the walls. If we need to expand the dry well out for the new roof area, we can take care of that.

We are refacing the front. It's a very long house, very low profile. We're doing some accents to that. There are no zoning issues with that.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Any questions from the Board?

MEMBER PARZIALE: What type of screening are
you going to do for the neighbor's property so the
neighbors aren't impacted?

MR. VAGGI: Along the east, we can add arborvitae or some type of evergreen planting. There are some trees that will remain as well. To some extent, this is the stockade fence, we can add some growth along the fence in this area here if needed, but there is not going to be a large expanse of wall that's

1	going to be visible to the neighbor.
2	CHAIRMAN MOHR: There is no landscape plan
3	that was prepared for this application?
4	MR. VAGGI: No.
5	CHAIRMAN MOHR: What is the total height
6	from slab to the peak is 18 feet; is that right?
7	MR. VAGGI: 14.3 plus 3.9, yes 18 feet.
8	CHAIRMAN MOHR: 18 feet. And 3 feet below
9	grade?
10	MR. VAGGI: Three feet below grade, roughly.
11	MEMBER BLUMIN: How did you make the
12	determination where to place the new structure?
13	MR. VAGGI: Again, it was essentially because
14	of the pullout from the existing garage. Should they
15	want to pull a car out and keep it parked here, it's not
16	in the way of the rest of the new garage area. So if
17	somebody parked here, either way it works that you could
18	pull out and make the turn to get into the circular
19	driveway whether you're pulling out from the existing
20	garage or the proposed.
21	And 14.9, it's really a little undersized, but
22	we wanted to try and keep it as tight as we could. And
23	the garage itself is 24 foot outside dimension which is
24	also brick veneered, so the inside dimension is coming
25	in around 23 feet. We're trying to keep it as tight as

25

1	possible.
2	MEMBER LEBEDIN: On the coverage area, the
3	existing coverage as I calculate it is already
4	20.7 percent. So do you have approval, was that already
5	granted giving you to exceed the 20 percent requirement?
6	MR. VAGGI: They purchased in 2013. I don't
7	know if anything has been done prior to that.
8	MEMBER LEBEDIN: Obviously you're exacerbating
9	the coverage issue.
10	MR. VAGGI: We included every piece of
11	impervious coverage in there. You'll see everything
12	listed down there.
13	MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Lebedin, we can check with
14	the Building Department if you'd like to see whether
15	there were any prior applications for relief in that
16	regard.
17	MR. VAGGI: The inspector didn't bring
18	anything up on that.
19	MR. AVRUTINE: Understood.
20	CHAIRMAN MOHR: Is there you know, we
21	always have to ask the question, is there any way you
22	can possibly comply?
23	MEMBER LEBEDIN: You're already over.
24	MR. VAGGI: Being we are over already
25	CHAIRMAN MOHR: I'm talking with regards to

the side yard setback.

MR. VAGGI: The setback there, if we're looking for the six spaces, and it's a tight six if we were able to get four into the new garage, just unfortunately with the positioning of the house, it's a very long house and the setback is already 44 feet on one side, the garage has to be on the east side only because the existing garage there just makes sense for the whole flow into the house. There's really no place for us to go with it. If we start to extend forward, the garage in the front would be a front yard encroachment. It's just the most practical place.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: You have 14.9 to play with with the breezeway, right?

MR. VAGGI: To play with --

CHAIRMAN MOHR: With trying to -- a typical parking spot is about 20 by 10, right?

MR. VAGGI: Well, a legal commercial space.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: So if you're backing out, you have a big driveway, is there a possibility to squeeze that in a little bit to get closer to the 10 feet to get closer to the 40-foot setback? You would still be requesting relief.

MR. VAGGI: It becomes a space that's not practical to park in anymore. You only have that

10-foot space where we're creating a situation of why have it. If we didn't have it at all, we can't have the two corners of the garage meeting. The 10-foot doesn't really give us enough to work with. Fourteen to 15 foot allows for that car to be parked outside the garage door and still be able to get past.

MEMBER PARZIALE: You mentioned that, so that the neighbor didn't have any issues, you would put in some drainage to take the load of the water?

MR. VAGGI: Yes, as a concern. I don't know if there's any impact on the neighbor. We're lower than their property to begin with, so there is no surface runoff going. We'd probably do it more for our sake because the driveway kind of pitches down into this area existing. So the extent of the garage -- the driveway expansion is very limited. We're really building a new garage at the point of the existing driveway edge. So if anything, it's probably more for roof coverage.

MEMBER LEBEDIN: Are you committed to put in a dry well?

MR. VAGGI: It's really for the roof. There's dry well for the driveway already. But again, it's not impacting the neighbor.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Would anybody from the public like to speak on this matter?

MR. BOLLON: I'm the neighbor. I'm Richard Bollon. I'm here with my wife, Leticia. We're both on the deed. We've been here for forty years.

MR. AVRUTINE: Mr. Bollon, can you spell your name and give your address.

MR. BOLLON: B-O-L-L-O-N, 2 Hemlock Court.

So our property is the dividing line between
4 Hemlock Court.

You know, the little history about this property -- fortunately or unfortunately, I kind of senior all of you people. None of you were here 40 years ago. When this property was developed, this is what the builder put out. And you can see what he put out. This is all trees. This was the meaning to come to Laurel Hollow. You came to Laurel Hollow to be in a place of trees.

We received a certified letter on Monday,

June 18. I immediately called Nancy Popper. She

explained that we could look at the plans on Wednesday

at 12:30, and we came to the Village Hall and saw the

plans. We were shocked on the plans. We were never,

you know, invited to look at these plans or even told by

the neighbors that they were even addressing doing

something like this.

We were told on the initial letter that the

setback was 50 feet. I know that you are the one -- I believe the attorney was the one that stated on a subsequent amended letter -- by the way, which we never received. We only received that on Wednesday from Nancy Popper. So we had no time to respond to the fact that it was actually 40 feet and not 50.

And not only that, my attorney who could not be here tonight, who is in real estate and my corporate attorney, kind of doesn't believe that that's true, that that is not a structure that is a portion of the house, just having that one little piece attaching that structure to the house. He believes it should be 50 feet and not 40. So that has to be cleared up.

MR. AVRUTINE: I can explain that, if you would like.

MR. BOLLON: Okay.

MR. AVRUTINE: Initially, it was an error by the building inspector categorizing this as a detached accessory structure. Even though it is not fully integrated into the home like a typical garage may be, it is still connected and that makes it part of the primary structure. So a variance is still required, but the distinction being that since it's part of the primary structure it is now the primary structure that is not in compliance with the Code and that setback is

	Ğ
1	40 feet whereas the accessory structure would be
2	50 feet.
3	If your attorney has a question regarding
4	that, there are legal remedies and you can discuss those
5	with him.
6	MR. BOLLON: Certainly.
7	MR. AVRUTINE: Also, just to clarify for
8	everyone here, if you needed more time or if you still
9	need more time to present your case or to gather more
10	information, it is perfectly appropriate for you as an
11	interested resident to request that the hearing not be
12	closed tonight, that it be kept open and continued on a
13	future date so that you would have the opportunity to
14	present whatever it is you would like to present for the
15	Board to consider.
16	MR. BOLLON: I'll finish what I have to
17	present tonight.
18	MR. AVRUTINE: I just want to make those
19	points clear to you. That's all.
20	MR. BOLLON: First of all, I have some
21	exhibits which I'll leave with you.
22	MR. AVRUTINE: Anything you wish to submit,
23	you can.
24	MR. BOLLON: The first exhibits are noted 1A,

25

1B and 1C.

1	1A shows the actual development as it was
2	divided. And the reason I'm showing you this is so that
3	on the number 2 and 4, it shows the actual distance in
4	the front from my property is 212 feet and for the other
5	property it's 197 feet. His property is not as
6	rectangular as mine. However, the distance from the
7	front to the rear of the property is approximately
8	375 feet. This comes into play on my next idea.
9	MR. AVRUTINE: Do you wish to submit that at
LO	this time?
L1	MR. BOLLON: Yes.
L2	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that
L3	Mr. Bollon is submitting are you ready to submit the
L4	other two or just 1A at this time?
L5	MR. BOLLON: I'm going to submit all of this.
L6	MR. AVRUTINE: Are you doing them all now or
L7	separately?
L8	MR. BOLLON: I can do them all now in one
L9	shot.
20	MR. AVRUTINE: That would be better.
21	We have three separate exhibits labeled 1A, 1B
22	and 1C which we will now mark for the record.
23	MR. BOLLON: Let me explain what 1B is.
24	1B is actually a copy of my three-car garage
25	that exists.

1

MR. AVRUTINE: A photo?

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOLLON: An actual drawing. The actual, you know, what was original.

This three-car garage is 29 feet wide and 21 feet deep. If you Google up what an average garage will be in depth, it ranges from 16 to no more than 21 feet. A garage of 34-foot depth is unheard of. not a garage then. That's a storage facility. Not only that, it's 18 feet high and you're putting it -- this is supposed to be put within 24 feet of my property line. Eighteen feet high, a rectangular building, 25 feet wide and 34 feet deep, that's not a two-car garage. That's a four-car garage. Therefore, he would have a six-car garage in his house, which according to my intuition as far what Laurel Hollow, I believe that you're limited to a five-car garage.

Is that correct?

MR. AVRUTINE: I don't believe there is a limitation on that, but I can check the Code.

MR. BOLLON: I thought it was. So here's the exhibit on the garage which will give you an idea.

MR. AVRUTINE: This second sheet is being marked as Opposition Exhibit 1B.

MR. BOLLON: And the last exhibit is an actual plan of my property with our existing pool with our

existing tennis court where it shows that our main building is 83 feet from our property line. The pool is 69 feet from the property line and set back approximately three quarters of the way on our property. The tennis court is on the right side. It has no effect here.

The reason I'm showing you this is because both of our houses -- and we knew the Pittases for over 30 years and they were close friends of ours -- were set back 100 feet. It was some of the only buildings in that property that was set back 100 feet. So from the 100-foot setback of his building, add the other setback for this 34-foot garage, add 34 feet, and now you are more than midway between the front and back of this 377 feet, which, and I'll show you in the next exhibits, gives a direct view from our pool, a direct view from all of our established areas of use, et cetera. So this is the exhibit showing that.

MR. AVRUTINE: This document will be marked as Opponent's Exhibit 1C.

MR. BOLLON: So now we have some pictures showing you what the property looks like from my point of view, and they're all noted as to where the view is from.

For example, the first view is west view of

the property line of 4 Hemlock Court and house, and the proposed building would be in the direct view of this. The next three show exactly from the pool. You can already visualize the top of their house, and the new building would be across from the mid to that house 34 feet back after the setback, an intrusion which to tell you the truth after 40 years of living here I never thought would happen.

MR. AVRUTINE: These are all photos. If it's acceptable to you, we will mark them all as one exhibit and we'll call them Opponent's Exhibit No. 2A, a three-page set of photographs.

MR. BOLLON: They're marked on the back as to the exact location.

MR. AVRUTINE: Okay.

MR. BOLLON: Now you go to the property line on my side of the property line and you stand on the property line looking directly at where the new, that proposed building would be built. By the way, there is at least two or more, probably three, established oak or hardwood trees that would have to be removed. Nobody determined that. So these two pictures show from my property line viewing the space that would be incorporated with the 25-by-34 rectangular 18-foot-high building.

1	MR. AVRUTINE: Marking these as Opponent's
2	Exhibit No. 3, and that's two photographs.
3	MR. BOLLON: The next two exhibits show you
4	exactly the destruction of the 50-to-60-year oak or
5	hardwood trees that need to be done in order to put the
6	25 by 34 and height of 18 foot proposed building.
7	CHAIRMAN MOHR: What does this show?
8	MR. BOLLON: This is standing on my property
9	line looking at the exact spot where that building would
LO	be built.
L1	MR. AVRUTINE: We've marked these two
L2	additional photos as Opponent's Exhibit 3.
L3	MR. BOLLON: And the last four or five photos
L4	show something of interest, because you touched upon it
L5	and asked what are you going to put between yourself and
L6	your neighbor. These exhibits show what they don't put
L7	between me and them.
L8	The only barrier between myself and their
L9	property is what we've planted over the last 40 years.
20	This neighbor has actually denuded and defoliated
21	everything right to his property line. If you don't
22	believe me, these show that. That's the back. It tells
23	you where it's facing on the other side.
24	MR. AVRUTINE: Sir, how many photos?

MR. BOLLON: There are five.

25

1	This one, this is what exists now right to my
2	property line. It's not pachysandra. It's not ivy.
3	It's dirt.
4	MR. AVRUTINE: Do you wish to make those part
5	of the exhibit as well?
6	MR. BOLLON: Yeah, sure. They all show
7	basically the same thing.
8	And that goes right to my property line from
9	the front to the back. So where would I believe that
10	this individual, after encroaching on my property line,
11	that he would actually put something that would make any
12	difference?
13	MR. AVRUTINE: Just one moment.
14	MR. BOLLON: Sure.
15	MR. AVRUTINE: This is a set of five
16	photographs, and they will be marked as Opponent's
17	Exhibit No. 5.
18	MR. BOLLON: I'll just say a few words in
19	closing, and then, if you don't mind, my wife would like
20	to say a few words after I close.
21	I don't want to be here. Okay. This is
22	nothing that I want to do. And for the 40 years that
23	we've lived here, we've never had to approach something
24	like this from any of our neighbors.

Laurel Hollow is what it is. If you look at

25

our property, and some day you could drive by, you'll see how many trees we actually had secured and still have room for a 50-foot pool, a tennis court in the back, and life as it should be in Laurel Hollow.

This is not Laurel Hollow. I'm not going to look at a 25-by-34-by-18 rectangular building so that an individual who is in the auto business can store vehicles on his property.

Thank you.

MR. AVRUTINE: Ma'am, do you wish to say something?

MS. BOLLON: Yes.

MR. AVRUTINE: Your name and address.

MS. BOLLON: Leticia Bollon, I'm the wife.

2 Hemlock Court, the same address.

Forty years ago, in 1978, we built our house, custom built it, and we designed it narrow and deep for the purpose of protecting our privacy. We kept all the trees around us. And now we got this letter in the mail that a new garage is proposed.

I'm concerned. I feel we'll be affected by this garage because it'll be noisy, it'll restrict our view, we'll have a lack of fresh air and space, no trees, no privacy. My hammock is right there, because it's not in the driveway. It's in the backyard where my

1	hammock is. So I'm concerned about these things.
2	And furthermore, I feel that it'll have a
3	negative impact on the neighborhood because they're
4	going to see more surface coverage and less peaceful
5	landscaping.
6	So for these reasons, I'm objecting to the
7	garage.
8	CHAIRMAN MOHR: Thank you.
9	MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you.
10	MS. BOLLON: I don't know anybody who has a
11	six-car garage, but
12	MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you very much.
13	MS. BOLLON: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN MOHR: Would anybody else from the
15	public like to speak?
16	MR. KRUMHOLZ: Yes.
17	MR. AVRUTINE: Sir, your name and address,
18	please.
19	MR. KRUMHOLZ: William Krumholz, 12 Hemlock
20	Court.
21	I'm not adjoining to the neighbor. I'm one
22	two houses away. I've been there more than 20 years.
23	I was always taught by my father to welcome
24	your neighbors and to be welcomed. But I think this is
25	unreasonable. And most of all, I have to respect

1 someone who was there before me, 20 years before me. And everything he said, I actually agree. 2 You know, we would like to accommodate them in 3 some way. Maybe we can, but not that structure. 4 5 think it's overwhelming. And that's it. 6 7 CHAIRMAN MOHR: Please step forward. MR. McCRORIE: James McCrorie, 16 Hemlock 8 9 Court. 10 Good evening. Thanks for your service, 11 gentlemen. I am at 16 Hemlock Court. I have a different 12 13 vantage point from either the Bollons or Mr. Krumholz. When I come out of my side door which is the door we 14 15 use, we see the driveway. We see the cars in there. don't have a particular objection, but we don't -- we 16 17 would rather see less cars in the driveway. I had a much different experience than 18 19 Dr. Bollon. The occupants, who we're neighborly with 20 but we don't socialize with, they approached us before 21 the letter. They offered to show us the plans. They 22 had the plans with them. They explained to us what they

We are -- the Givelekians who wrote the letter in support are our next door neighbors. Admittedly, in

23

24

25

were going to do.

the summer there's a lot more of the landscaping we put up. We don't see the trees as much in the winter. It's not like we're commenting on look at all those cars. We thought it thoughtful of them, we've never been out with them socially, to come and tell us, I'm sure you don't like seeing the cars. So I would be here as a neighbor that would be in favor of it and if there's any accommodations that could be made.

Mr. Moutopoulous approached me recently to ask how I was able to get -- they're called green giants instead of the arborvitae -- how I was able to get them so big and full. I put them in because Dr. Bollon had an objection to a shed that was outside the setback. He just told this Board that he's never come up here. When I moved in in 2009, he was the only one objecting through the variance for the pool, which doesn't affect him across the street, and reporting me for taking trees down when I was under the permit. I was under the limit. We had landscape designers. So in him telling the Board that he doesn't want to be here and that he's never come up and done this, he has. It's on record. I was the applicant.

So I would say I'm in favor of it and I would rather not see the cars in the driveway. But I don't -- it's not like we're making a complaint about the cars in

1	the driveway, but especially from what they showed us
2	the structure will like look.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN MOHR: This is not a time for
5	rebuttal. If you would like to speak to the
6	application
7	MR. BOLLON: Sure. I don't want to be abused
8	by counselor.
9	CHAIRMAN MOHR: Again, it's not a time for
10	rebuttal.
11	MR. BOLLON: But he made statements that are
12	false, and I don't want you to believe that. They are
13	false. I was never against his pool. We were
14	against
15	CHAIRMAN MOHR: You can talk about it outside.
16	MR. BOLLON: He made in public and in front of
17	you a false statement. The only thing that was brought
18	upon is he took 50 trees down on his property.
19	CHAIRMAN MOHR: Sir, it's not on the record.
20	MR. BOLLON: If he comes in front of you and
21	makes false statements and he's a counselor, an
22	attorney, he should know better.
23	MR. AVRUTINE: Sir, right now you're being out
24	of order.
25	If someone else wishes to speak.

Please give your name and address.

MR. ANDRIOTIS: Gary Andriotis,

A-N-D-R-I-O-T-I-S, 44 Timber Ridge.

I'm not in the immediate vicinity. I'm here because I'm going to meet you next month and I wanted to get the feel of how these hearings go. I hired Bladykas & Panetta. I'm doing something with a steep slope, and I wanted to get a feel.

I just wanted to add -- and I know that gentleman. You know, I've met a few people because I'm new to the neighborhood, about a year. And I respect anybody here that's 40 years. We moved here for trees as well. I actually have the most wooded property on Timber Ridge. I even have hawks. I have little animals. It's an amazing nature preserve on my property.

But one of the things I also moved here for is I have children. They're going to get older and they're going to want cars and stuff like that. I will be doing a garage in the next ten years, I don't know how big his is, I can't really picture it, but, you know, on my property as well. So I can see why he would want to add a garage on these 2-acre lots. There's plenty of room. I don't know if I'll be able to do, I haven't done that part yet, but it would be in my plan.

And as a car collector myself, which I realize
he's a car collector, a typical garage is not 20 feet
deep for a car collector because he has his tools,
benches, the doors must open all the way, there must be
plenty of room. So a typical garage in Lattingtown or
anywhere you're building new construction, which is what
I do for a living, they're much larger than what was
mentioned. I just want to make that clear.

I don't know if it's going to help or hurt this gentleman, but that's my point for being up here right now.

CHAIRMAN MOHR: Thank you.

MR. ANDRIOTIS: Thank you.

MR. AVRUTINE: Anyone else wish to speak on the application?

Let the record reflect that no one else wishes to speak.

Mr. Bollon, I was just conferring with the Chair. In light of the comments that you made at the outset, do you wish to make a request that the public hearing be kept open for purposes of you making an additional submission, whether personally or through your attorney, that the Board would consider in connection with this application?

MR. BOLLON: Yes.

RK

1	MR. AVRUTINE: You do. Okay.
2	So what the Board is going to propose to do
3	is, close the public hearing portion of the case, but
4	keep the record open for a period of 30 days within
5	which anyone who wishes to make a written submission can
6	do so. That way, you can have your attorney or
7	yourself, as I indicated before, or anyone else for that
8	matter, submit whatever they wish in terms of
9	evidentiary material for the Board to consider in its
10	deliberations on this case.
11	So at this time, we would have the motion to
12	close the public hearing but to keep the record open for
13	a period of 30 days for additional submissions.
14	May I have a motion in that regard?
15	MEMBER BLUMIN: So moved.
16	MR. AVRUTINE: Member Blumin.
17	A second?
18	MEMBER LEBEDIN: Second.
19	MR. AVRUTINE: Member Lebedin.
20	All in favor?
21	CHAIRMAN MOHR: Aye.
22	MEMBER BLUMIN: Aye.
23	MEMBER PARZIALE: Aye.
24	MEMBER LEBEDIN: Aye.
25	MR. AVRUTINE: Let the record reflect that

1	this is a Type II matter under the New York State
2	Environmental Quality Review Act.
3	The public hearing portion of the application
4	is closed. However, there will be a period of 30 days
5	from today within which any interested party can submit
6	additional information for the Board's consideration.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. VAGGI: Can we respond to the comments
9	that were made today?
10	MR. AVRUTINE: You mean rebuttal?
11	MR. VAGGI: Just to respond to what's been
12	said.
13	MR. AVRUTINE: Do you want to submit that in
14	writing as part of your
15	MR. VAGGI: Whatever the Board prefers.
16	MR. AVRUTINE: The public hearing is now
17	closed. So there'll be an opportunity to do that in
18	writing. I think it would be better in this instance to
19	do it in writing.
20	MR. VAGGI: So the next hearing would be when?
21	MR. AVRUTINE: There's no more hearing. Just
22	get your
23	MR. VAGGI: Okay.
24	MR. AVRUTINE: written submission within
25	30 days. Anyone else will have 30 days. And then the

1	Board will render its decision.
2	CHAIRMAN MOHR: I would recommend, in writing,
3	that you put in a landscape plan, and to revisit if
4	there is any way to shorten that breezeway
5	MR. VAGGI: We will consider it.
6	CHAIRMAN MOHR: to get closer.
7	MR. VAGGI: I understand he's going to have a
8	problem no matter what, but we'll try to do what we can.
9	MEMBER LEBEDIN: And something they can do
LO	about the height.
L1	MR. VAGGI: The height again, that's why I was
L2	asking to respond to the comments that were made, the
L3	height is not 18 feet from his property. It's maybe
L4	15 feet max to the ridge at his property. Now if you're
L5	standing at a 6-foot fence, I don't know how he's
L6	looking into the yard. But if he's at the 6-foot
L7	stockade fence, what is he actually seeing if he's
L8	looking straight at it. He's not seeing an 18-foot
L9	structure.
20	MS. BOLLON: These fences are only 5 feet.
21	MEMBER LEBEDIN: You have a coverage issue and
22	you're basically interfering with setback, and now you
23	have a height issue.
24	MR VACCT: There is no zoning issue with the

height.

25

1	MEMBER LEBEDIN: In a sense of the optics.
2	MR. VAGGI: It's the same height as the rest
3	of the house. No difference.
4	CHAIRMAN MOHR: But if you reduce the
5	breezeway, you're reducing the coverage, right, and
6	you're also shortening up the issue on the side yard.
7	I'd recommend you take a look at it and see what you
8	could do.
9	MR. AVRUTINE: Also, the Board always
LO	encourages in cases like this that you reach out to the
L1	impacted neighbor to see if there is any common ground
L2	in connection with the application, whether there can be
L3	some accommodation or compromise that would be
L4	satisfactory. It may not be possible.
L5	MR. VAGGI: I just wanted to point out that
L6	the neighbor to the west, the house to the west is a
L7	precedent, like 25 foot side yard setback existing.
L8	MR. AVRUTINE: You can submit that as part of
L9	your supplement submission.
20	MR. VAGGI: Thank you.
21	**************************************
22	ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE ORIGINAL STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES IN THIS CASE.
23	IN THIS CASE.
24	
25	RONALD H. KOENIG Senior Court Reporter