## VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, on June 25, 2018 at 7:30 p.m. relative to the following matter:				
Applicant: Sofia Kakoulidis On behalf of: Herself				
Property Located at: 4 Hemlock Court, Laurel Hollow				
Sec Blk Lot(s)14				
Zoning District: Residential Case #: ZV3-2018				
Requirements for which Variance is requested: Principal building shall be set back at least 40 feet from every lot line not abutting a street (proposed setback is 24.45 feet)				
Applicable Sections of Chapter 145-5(B)(1)				
At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated.				
Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties?  yes no _X Reason: The proposal is reasonable conditioned upon suitable.				
evergreen screening as required herein.				
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance?  yes no _X Reason: The location proposed is appropriate and negative impacts limited through suitable evergreen screening.				
3) Is the variance requested substantial?  yes no X Reason: See #1 and #2 above. In addition, the applicant's amended plan submitted to the Board and approved herein increased the side yard to 26.45 feet.				
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?  yes no X Reason: See #1, #2, and #3 above.				
TO TO TOUSOIT. OCC #1, #2, and #3 above.				
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created?  yes X no Reason: But on the facts of this case, self-created hardship does not mandate denial.				

The beauty	peals, after taking into consideration the above five factors, finds that:				
The benefit to the applicant does not outweigh the detriment to the Neighborhood or community and therefore the variance requested is denied.					
And the Board of of Sections: 14 granted in order	it to the applicant does outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community.  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds that variances of side yard setback  If Zoning Appeals further finds				
CONDITIONS: order to minimize	The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:				
Condition #1:	Compliance with all items set forth in the Building Inspector's report dated 9/18/2018.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Adverse impa	ct to be minimized: Potential noncompliance with Village requirements.				
O = == 4!4! = == #0.					
Condition #2:	Submission of a revised landscape plan to the satisfaction of the Board of Appeals including two staggered rows of "Green Giant" arborvitae shrubs (or substantially similar evergreen species) eight (8) feet in height and six (6) feet				
× .	on center.				
Adverse impa	ct to be minimized: The evergreen screening will serve to minimize visual				
Condition #3:	impacts, if any.				
Condition #3.					
Adverse impact to be minimized:					
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW					
	APPROVED / BZA				
	APPROVED / BZA These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the				
	APPROVED / BZA These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. <b>This is not a permit.</b>				
	APPROVED / BZA These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the				
	APPROVED / BZA These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional				
	APPROVED / BZA  These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner  ZV3-2018  10/18/2018				
	APPROVED / BZA These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner				
Record of Vote or	APPROVED / BZA  These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner  ZV3-2018  10/18/2018				
Motion to Appro	APPROVED / BZA  These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner   ZV3-2018 10/18/2018  Case # Date Signature, Chairman, BZA  Member Name Aye Nay Chairman Mohr X  Ve by Member Lebedin Member Blumin X				
	APPROVED / BZA  These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner  ZV3-2018				
Motion to Approx Seconded by Me	APPROVED / BZA  These plans were approved by the Board of Appeals of the Incorporated Village of Laurel Hollow. This is not a permit.  Applicant must now submit any and all additional documentation required by the Building Inspector in order to obtain a permit in a timely manner   ZV3-2018  Tase #  Date  Signature, Chairman, BZA  Member Name Chairman Mohr We by Member Lebedin Member Blumin Member Blumin Member Parziale Member Parziale Member Parziale Member Lebedin				

## VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS AND DECISION

A public hearing of the Board of Zoning Appeals was held in the Village Hall, Village of Laurel Hollow, on June 25, 2018 at 7:30 p.m. relative to the following matter:				
Applicant: Sofia Kakoulidis On behalf of: Herself				
Property Located at: 4 Hemlock Court, Laurel Hollow				
Sec. <u>26</u> Blk. <u>1</u> Lot(s) <u>14</u>				
Zoning District: Residential Case #: ZV3-2018				
Requirements for which Variance is requested: Total surface coverage shall not exceed 20% of the lot area. Proposed=21.67%				
Applicable Sections of Chapter 145-5(A)(1)(d)				
At said hearing the Board considered the following factors and made determinations as stated.				
Will an undesireable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties?      yes no _X Reason: The proposal is reasonable conditioned upon suitable evergreen screening as required herein.				
2) Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by a feasible alternative to the variance?  yes no _X Reason: The location proposed is appropriate and negative impacts limited through suitable evergreen screening.				
3) Is the variance requested substantial?  yes no X Reason: See #1 and #2 above. In addition, the applicant's amended plan submitted to the Board and approved herein decreased the proposed surface coverage to 21.64%.				
4) Will the variance have an adverse impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?				
yes no _X Reason: See #1, #2, and #3 above.				
5) Is the alleged difficulty self-created?  yes X no Reason: But on the facts of this case, self-created hardship does				

The Board of App	peals, after taking into cons	sideration the abov	ve five factors, finds that:			
			ment to the Neighborhood or community			
and therefo	ore the variance requested	is denied.				
and the Board of of Sections: 145-granted in order	Zoning Appeals further find <b>5(A)(1)(d)</b> of the Zoning Co	ds that variances or the design of the design of the minimures.				
CONDITIONS: order to minimize	The Board of Zoning Appeals finds that the following conditions are necessary in e adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the reasons following:					
Condition #1:	Compliance with all items set forth in the Building Inspector's report dated 9/18/2018.					
Adverse impa	ct to be minimized: Poter	ntial noncomplia	nce with Village requirements.			
Condition #2:	Submission of a revised	landecane nlan	to the satisfaction of the Board of			
Condition #2.			f "Green Giant" arborvitae shrubs (or			
•			eight (8) feet in height and six (6) feet			
) .	on center.					
	·	/				
Adverse impa			ing will serve to minimize visual			
Condition #3:	<u>ımpac</u>	cts, if any.				
			1			
Adverse impact to be minimized:						
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAUREL HOLLOW  APPROVED / BZA						
	These plans were appr		d of Appeals of the			
	Incorporated Village of	•				
Applicant must now submit any and all additional						
documentation required by the Building Inspector in						
order to obtain a permit in a timely manner.						
	ZV3-2018	10/18/2018	14- A. M			
	Case #	Date	Signature, Chairman, BZA			
			1			
Record of Vote or	n Motion as stated above:	Member Name Chairman Me				
Motion to Approve by Member Lebedin		Member Blun	nin X			
Seconded by Me	mber Parziale OF LAUREL HOLLOW	Member Kaufm				
Filed	in the Office of the	Member Parzi	And the second s			
Village day of	Clerk on the 23 OCT 0, 2018	Member Lebe	din X			
Signed:	day Popper					